

List of litigations on 14.03.2022

a) The list of files pending before Courts on 14.03.2022 in which the company CONPET S.A. has the status of claimant

1. File no. 19024/281/2009 - Ploiești Courthouse

Parties: Conpet S.A. - claimant

Compania de Transport Feroviar S.A. - Defendant

Subject matter: Conpet filed a lawsuit requesting the defendant to pay the amount of RON 50,511.6 representing the difference in payment from the value of the repair of the engine of the LDH 70-675 locomotive in the amount of RON 60,381.60, as well as the legal expenses.

Clarifications: By the Conclusion of 02.09.2011, the court **suspended** the case pursuant to art. 36 of Law 85/2006.

Procedural status of the case: Merits - **Suspended**

Deadline: -

2. File no. 6179/105/2009 - Prahova Court

Parties: Conpet S.A. - creditor

Petroconduct S.A. - debtor

Subject matter: Bankruptcy. Conpet S.A. by the Debt Declaration no. 5949/23.02.2010 requested the registration at the list of creditors with the amount of RON 202,890.47 representing penalties for non-execution on time of the obligations assumed by contract no. L45/18.03.2004 and MST9 / 09.06.2004, equivalent value of tubular material, transport costs, loading, unloading of tubular material, court costs. Conpet SA was registered on the creditors' list of the debtor S.C. Petroconduct S.A. with the amount of RON 62,739.06.

Clarifications: The debtor's judicial liquidator registered the company on the debtor's preliminary list with only a part of the claim claimed by Conpet, respectively RON 62,739.06, from the total claim in the amount of RON 216,412.56, although our company filed all appeals which were at his disposal.

By the **Sentence no. 118/02.03.2022** The Prahova Court orders the closure of the debtor's bankruptcy procedure. It orders the removal of the debtor from the Trade Register. It discharges the liquidator of any duties and responsibilities. It orders the notification of this sentence to the debtor, the creditor, the special

administrator, the Territorial Directorate of Public Finances, the Trade Register Office attached to the Prahova Court, to make the closing and delisting statements, as well as the publication through the National Bankruptcy Register. The decision may be appealed after communication.

Procedural status of the case: --

Deadline: --

3. File no. 3033/105/2012 - Prahova Court

Parties: Conpet SA - creditor

Vasrep Petro Construct SRL - debtor

Subject matter: Bankruptcy. By the Sentence no. 238/03.03.2014 the Prahova Court ordered the entry in the bankruptcy procedure of the debtor Vasrep Petro Construct SRL, the sealing of the assets from the debtor's fortune and the fulfillment of the other liquidation operations.

Conpet SA formulates a request for admission of the claim requesting the registration on the list of creditors Vasrep Petro Construct SRL with the amount of RON 126,877, including VAT, representing the value of material costs, costs for workmanship and equipment necessary for bringing the pipeline to the state before the unlawful act was committed by the debtor, deed consisting in the unlawful destruction and theft of two sections of 4,120 ml in length from the pipeline Ø 10¾" Iancu Jianu - Ghercești. Conpet appeals against the measure of non-registration of Conpet SA on the Preliminary List with this claim, which is the subject matter of file no. 3033/105/2012/a1. By the Sentence no. 1958/19.11.2012, the Prahova Court dismissed Conpet's appeal, Conpet appealed again; the Ploiești Court of Appeal allowed the appeal, quashed the sentence on the merits and sent it for retrial.

By the **sentence no. 1008/17.09.2014** (file no. 3033/105/2012/a1 *) the Prahova Court admits the appeal to the preliminary title. It orders the registration of the appellant creditor Conpet S.A. in the consolidated list of creditors of the debtor with the amount of RON 1,473,628 the value of materials, workmanship and equipment as well as RON 120 judicial stamp duty appeal and RON 2500 expert fee. Vasrep appealed.

By **decision no. 141/15.01.2015** The Ploiești Court of Appeal rejects the appeal as unfounded.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: 22.03.2022

4. File no. 2803/120/2013 - Dambovită Court

Parties: Conpet SA - creditor

Ecprod SRL - debtor

Subject matter: Insolvency. General procedure

Request for admission of claim. Conpet SA filed a request for admission of a claim on the property of the debtor Ecprod in the amount of RON 25,728.89. Conpet is registered on the List of creditors with the amount of RON 25,728.89.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: 06.04.2022

5. File no. 7932/118/2013 * - Constanța Court

Parties: Conpet SA - civil party

Bivolaru Gabriel, Chihaia Marin, Mangalea Gheorghe, Arhire Adrian, Poenaru Marius Ciprian, Boamfa Paul, Luca Viorel, Meridicos Romeo Ovidiu, Dimofte Petronel, Matei Marius Ionut, Ahălanei Ioan - defendants

Romeo International Service Company SRL Năvodari - defendant

Romeo International Service Company SRL Năvodari represented by Nicolaidis Constantin - defendant

Ministry of Public Finance - ANAF - civil party

DGFP - civil party

Subject matter: Tax evasion offenses (Law 87/1994, Law 241/2005), art. 323 Criminal Code, Grand larceny (art. 208-209 Criminal Code). Conpet SA became a civil party for the amount of RON 928,785.94 representing the value of the amount of stolen crude oil (277 tons), pipeline remediation works and laboratory analyzes.

Clarifications: By the **sentence no. 472/17.12.2015** the Constanța Court admits the civil action filed by the civil party Conpet SA. Ploiești. It obliges jointly and severally the defendants Bivolaru Gabriel, Chihaia Marin, Mangalea Gheorghe, Ahalana Ioan, Arhire Adrian, Poenaru Marius Ciprian, Boamfa Paul, Merdicos Romeo Ovidiu, Dimofte Petronel, Luca Viorel and Matei Marius Ionut to pay to the civil party Conpet SA. the amount of RON 90,814.50 (the equivalent of 30 tons of crude oil stolen on 05/27/2013). It obliges jointly and severally the defendants Bivolaru Gabriel, Chihaia Marin, Mangalea Gheorghe, Ahalana Ioan, Arhire Adrian, Poenaru Marius Ciprian, Boamfa Paul, Merdicos Romeo Ovidiu, Dimofte Petronel, Luca Viorel and Matei Marius Ionut to pay to the civil party Conpet SA. the amount of RON 93,841.65 (the equivalent of 31 tons of crude oil stolen on 05/31/2013). It obliges jointly and severally the defendants Bivolaru Gabriel, Chihaia Marin, Mangalea Gheorghe, Ahalana Ioan, Arhire Adrian, Poenaru Marius Ciprian, Boamfa Paul, Merdicos Romeo Ovidiu, Dimofte Petronel, Luca Viorel and Matei Marius Ionut to pay to the civil party Conpet SA. the amount of RON 111,027.27 (the equivalent of 36 tons of crude oil stolen on 06/03/2013). It obliges jointly and severally the defendants Bivolaru Gabriel, Chihaia Marin, Mangalea Gheorghe, Ahalana Ioan, Arhire Adrian, Poenaru Marius Ciprian, Boamfa Paul, Merdicos Romeo Ovidiu, Dimofte Petronel, Luca Viorel and Matei Marius Ionut to pay to the civil party Conpet SA.

the amount of RON 585,977.25 (the equivalent of 190 tons of crude oil stolen on 04.06.2013 and 05.06.2013). It obliges jointly and severally the defendants Bivolaru Gabriel, Chihaiia Marin, Mangalea Gheorghe, Ahalana Ioan, Arhire Adrian, Poenaru Marius Ciprian, Boamfa Paul, Merdicos Romeo Ovidiu, Dimofte Petronel, Luca Viorel and Matei Marius Ionut to pay to the civil party Conpet SA. the amount of RON 17,289.32 representing the value of the decommissioning works of the artisanal installation and for bringing the pipeline to its initial state. It obliges jointly and severally the defendants Bivolaru Gabriel, Chihaiia Marin, Mangalea Gheorghe, Ahalana Ioan, Arhire Adrian, Poenaru Marius Ciprian, Boamfa Paul, Merdicos Romeo Ovidiu, Dimofte Petronel, Luca Viorel and Matei Marius Ionut to pay to the civil party Conpet SA. the amount of RON 9,576.84 representing the equivalent of laboratory analyzes, for 17 samples of petroleum product. Note that the civil party Conpet SA. waived the civil claims in the amount of RON 20,268.11 for the deed of 03.08.2013 because the damage was fully recovered. The decision was appealed by the defendants.

By **decision no. 675/10.06.2016** the Constanța Court of Appeal admits the appeals made by the Prosecutor's Office attached to the Constanta Court and by the defendants Bivolaru Gabriel, Chihaiia Marin, Mangalea Gheorghe, Arhire Adrian, Poenaru Marius Ciprian, Boamfă Paul, Luca Viorel, Meridicos Romeo Ovidiu, Dimofte Petronel, Matei Marius Ionut, Ahălanei Ioan and SC Romeo International Service Company SRL Năvodari. It abolishes in full the criminal sentence no. 472/17.12.2015 pronounced by the Constanța Court in file no. 7932/118/2013 and orders the case to be sent for retrial to the Constanța Court. Here it receives * and orders the case to be sent to the judge of the preliminary chamber (7932/118/2013*/a1).

By the **Conclusion no. 93/28.02.2017** the Constanța Court rejects as unfounded the requests and exceptions formulated by the defendants Bivolaru Gabriel, Chihaiia Marin, Merdicos Romeo Ovidiu, Arhire Adrian and Mangalea Gheorghe. It finds the legality of notifying the court with Indictment no. 569/P/2013 of 08/30/2013 issued by the Prosecutor's Office attached to the Constanța Court of Appeal, which ordered the prosecution of the defendants. It ascertains the legality of the administration of the evidence and of the execution of the criminal investigation acts. It orders the commencement of the trial of the case. The conclusion was contested, rejected by CA Constanța.

Also, by **the Conclusion of 28.02.2017**, the Constanța Court finds the plea of non-compliance with the constitution admissible. It notifies the Constitutional Court with the settlement of the plea of non-compliance with the constitution invoked by the defendant Bivolaru Gabriel, in the file no. 7932/118/2013 * of the Constanța Court, regarding the constitutional challenge of art. 280 para.1, art. 281 paragraph 1 and art. 282 paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Code of Criminal Procedure - file no. 812D/2017. The plea was rejected as unfounded.

Procedural status of the case: Merits- retrial

Deadline: 16.03.2022

6. File no. 1862/114/2014 - Buzău Court

Parties: Conpet SA - creditor

Geluval Stor SRL - debtor

Subject matter: By the Sentence no. 621/26.10.2016, the Buzău Court orders the entry into the general bankruptcy procedure of the debtor, the sealing of all the assets from the debtor's property, their inventory and the fulfillment of the other liquidation operations. Conpet SA formulates a request for admission of the claim requesting the registration on the list of creditors of Geluval Stor SRL with the amount of RON 1,440.90, representing delay penalties due for payment over the term provided in the contract for a value of 7 invoices issued by Conpet for services provided in under Contract no. STA 101/20.03.2012 concluded with the defendant, amount to which the debtor was obliged by the sentence no. 8867/16.06.2014 given by the Ploiești Court in the file no. 109/281/21014, remained final by non-appeal.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: 24.03.2022

7. File no. 1510/262/2014 - Moreni Court

Parties: Conpet SA - claimant

Pîrvu Gheorghe - defendant

Pîrvu Nicolae - defendant

Grigorescu Gabriel - defendant

Zlăteanu Dragoș Marian - defendant

Dărmănești Commune, legally represented by the Mayor of Dărmănești Commune - defendant

SC Nimb Dâmbovița SA - defendant

Subject matter: Conpet filed a lawsuit requesting the court to order the defendants, jointly and severally, to pay to Conpet the amount of RON 34,944.18 as civil damages - representing the equivalent of remedial works of the Link 14 fiber optic pipeline and cable, destroyed on 03.06.2011, within the commune of Dărmănești, Dâmbovița county, works necessary to bring them to the initial state before committing the deed, i.e. in working order, amount to which is added the interest from the date on which the sentence in the present case becomes final and until the date of actual payment; payment of the legal expenses.

Clarifications: By the **Conclusion of 08.01.2015**, the Moreni Court suspends the trial of the case in relation to the defendant SC Nimb Dambovita SA. It dismisses the case regarding the other defendants and the formation of a new file (184/262/2015 - finalized by obliging the defendants Pîrvu Gheorghe, Pîrvu Nicolae, Grigorescu Gabriel and Zlăteanu Dragoș Marian to pay to Conpet the amount of RON 34,944.18 and the related interests of this amount, starting with the date of finality of the Sentence no. 97/04.02.2016

and until the date of actual payment. The decision was executed and is the subject of the file No. 30/2018 – Bailiff’s Office Petrov Sergiu Alexandru).

Note: By the Conclusion of 14.12.2012 - file no. 9446/120/2012 - the Dâmbovița Court ordered the opening of the general insolvency procedure against the debtor Nimb Dâmbovița SA. By the Sentence no. 611/09.10.2014 The Dâmbovița Court orders the opening of the general procedure of judicial reorganization and the confirmation of the reorganization plan. The reorganization procedure is ongoing.

By the **Conclusion of 22.06.2017** pronounced in the file no. 1510/262/2014 the Moreni Court, finding that the law applicable to the insolvency proceedings of the defendant SC Nimb Dambovita SA is Law no. 85/2006 and that the suspension will last until the closing of the insolvency procedure pronounced by the syndic judge based on art. 11 para. 1 letter n of Law no. 85/2006, maintains the suspension of the trial of the case ordered by the Conclusion of 08.01.2015.

Procedural status of the case: Merits - **Suspended**

Deadline: -

8. File no. 6819/118/2013 - Constanța Court

Parties: Conpet SA - creditor

Tobias SRL - debtor

Subject matter: Bankruptcy. Conpet S.A. requested the registration on the list of creditors of the debtor with the amount of RON 663 representing the equivalent value of 230 kg of aluminum (RON 575) and 110 kg of scrap metal (RON 88), handed over to Tobias SRL on 06/04/2013, based on the contract of sale - purchase of waste no. 2013/ADM/15.02.2013 concluded between Conpet and Tobias.

The request formulated by Conpet was admitted in part by the judicial administrator, in the sense that our company was registered on the list of creditors with the amount of RON 643.11, the difference of RON 19.89 representing the 3% environmental fund and due to the Environmental Fund Administration.

The percentage of the Conpet receivable is 0.014% of the total of receivables entered in the list.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: 05.05.2022

9. File no. 717/105/2015 - Prahova Court

Parties: Conpet SA - creditor

Football Club Petrolul Ploiești SA - debtor

Subject matter: Bankruptcy. Conpet filed a request for admission of the claim by which it requested the registration on the list of creditors of the debtor Fotbal Club Petrolul Ploiești SA with a claim in the amount

of RON 14,465.33. Conpet was entered on the list of receivables of the debtor's creditors with the requested amount.

Clarifications: By the sentence no. 821/22.06.2016 The Prahova Court admits the request of the judicial administrator. It approves the conclusions of the judicial administrator's report. It orders the beginning of the general procedure of the debtor's bankruptcy. It orders the dissolution of the debtor company and the lifting of the debtor's right of administration. It orders the sealing of the debtor's assets and the fulfillment of the other liquidation operations.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: 06.04.2022

10. File no. 13386/3/2015 - Bucharest Court

Parties: Conpet SA - creditor

Perfect Metal SRL - debtor

Subject matter: Bankruptcy. Conpet S.A. requested the registration on the list of creditors of the debtor with the amount of RON 221,189.85 representing penalties for delay, compensations, interest and legal expenses.

The request was accepted but Conpet was entered on the list in the **category of unsecured creditors** and not in the category of secured creditors as would have been the case considering the content of our request for registration in the preliminary list of creditors. Conpet filed an appeal against the preliminary list of creditors, which was the subject matter of the file no. 13386/3/201 / a1 with a deadline on 18.09.2015. By

Decision no. 7106/18.09.2015 The Bucharest Court rejects the appeal as unfounded. The percentage of the Conpet receivable is **0.42625 of the total receivables entered on the list.**

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: 09.09.2022

11. File no. 19602/3/2015 - Bucharest Court

Parties: Conpet SA - creditor

Top Birotica SRL - debtor

Subject matter: Bankruptcy. Conpet S.A. requested the registration on the list of creditors of the debtor with the amount of RON 2,258.72 representing delay penalties due for the delayed delivery of the equipment that was the object of the contract P-CA 438/17.11.2014.

Conpet was registered at the debtor's list of creditors with the amount of RON 2,258.72.

The percentage of the Conpet receivable is 0.010% of the total receivables entered on the list. The amount recovered so far: RON 0

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: 22.06.2022

12. File no. 2899/62/2015 - Braşov Court

Parties: Conpet SA - creditor

Condmag SA - debtor

Subject matter: Bankruptcy. Request for admission of the Conpet claim against the property of the debtor Condmag SA – RON 42,950.85 representing penalties.

Conpet was registered on the list of creditors with the amount of RON 42,2950.85 representing an unsecured debt. The percentage of the Conpet receivable is 0.02% of the total receivables entered on the list. The amount recovered so far: RON 166.15

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: 15.03.2022

13. File no. 8156/281/2014 * - Ploieşti Court

On appeal: file no. 447/42/2020

Parties: Conpet SA - civil party

Matei Marinel - claimant for judicial review

Subject matter: Grand larceny. Revision. Matei Marinel formulates a request for revision of the criminal decision no. 1383/14.10.2013 pronounced by the Ploieşti Court of Appeal in the file no. 19230/281/2011.

Note: By the Criminal Decision no. 1383/14.10.2013 Ioniţă Ion, Marin Matei Georgian and Matei Marinel were obliged to pay to Conpet an amount of RON 13,259.79. The decision was enforced and is the subject of file no. 200/2016 located at the Bailiff's Office Petrov Sergiu Alexandru.

Clarifications: By **Sentence no. 1162/03.08.2020** The Ploieşti Court admits the request for review formulated by the claimant for judicial review Matei Marinel, against the criminal sentence no. 1286/07.06.2013 of the Ploieşti Court, abolished and finalized by the criminal decision no. 1383/14.10.2013 of the Ploieşti Court of Appeal. It cancels the criminal sentence no. 1286/07.06.2013 of the Ploieşti Courthouse, as well as MEPI no. 1538/2013 of 15.10.2013 issued by the Ploieşti Courthouse. It orders the acquittal of the defendant Matei Marinel, under the aspect of committing the crime of grand larceny, provided by art. 208 para. (1) - art. 209 para. (1) letters a) and g) para. (3) letter a) Previous Criminal Code, with the application of art. 41 para. (2) Criminal Code. It finds that the defendant Matei Marinel was detained from 22.11.2013 to 12.11.2014, inclusive. The decision was appealed by the Prosecutor's Office attached to the Ploieşti Courthouse.

By **Decision no. 954/26.10.2020** The Ploiești Court of Appeal admits the appeal declared by the Prosecutor's Office attached to the Ploiești Courthouse against the criminal sentence no. 1162 of August 3, 2020 pronounced by the Ploiești Courthouse, which it annuls in its entirety and sends the case for retrial to the first instance according to the considerations of the present decision. Final.

Procedural status of the case: Review

Deadline: 15.03.2022

14. File no. 310/120/2016 - Ploiești Court of Appeal

Parties: Conpet SA - civil party

OMV Petrom SA - civil party

SNTGN Transgaz SA - civil party

Gruia Gheorghe, Vasile Valentin, Ciobanu Viorel, Dudas Pavel, Tudorache Marius, Parvu Valentin, Georgescu Anda, Manda Marin - defendants

Subject matter: Establishment of an organized criminal group (art. 367 NCP). Conpet became a civil party for the amount of RON 405,536.24, representing the equivalent value of the stolen pipelines.

Clarifications: By the Conclusion of 05/31/2016, the Dâmbovița Court sends to the Prosecutor's Office the case started by the indictment given in the criminal prosecution file no. 124/D/P/2013 of the Prosecutor's Office attached to the High Court of Cassation and Justice - DIICOT – Dâmbovița FO regarding the defendants G G, V V, C V, D P, T M, P V, G A, M M injured people being OMV Petrom SA, Conpet SA, National Company for Natural Gas Transport „Transgaz” SA. The Prosecutor's Office filed an appeal against the decision, pending before the Ploiești Court of Appeal. By the Conclusion of 13.10.2016, the Ploiești Court of Appeal admits the appeal filed by the Prosecutor's Office attached to the High Court of Cassation and Justice - DIICOT - Dâmbovița Field Office, annuls the contested decision and, re-judging, finds the legality of the referral of the Dâmbovița Court by the indictment no.124/D/P/2013 of the Prosecutor's Office attached to the High Court of Cassation and Justice - DIICOT - Dâmbovița Field Office, administration of evidence and execution of criminal investigation acts. It orders the commencement of the trial.

By the **Sentence no. 366 / 09.05.2019** The Dâmbovița Court admits the civil actions filed in the case by the civil parties of the National Company for Natural Gas Transport “TRANSGAZ” S.A., S.C. CONPET S.A., and S.C. OMV PETROM SA, specified during the judicial investigation and obliges the defendants to compensate, as follows: a) For the deeds committed to the detriment of the civil party, the National Company for Natural Gas Transport „TRANSGAZ” SA, it jointly obliges the defendants: Gruia Gheorghe, Vasile Valentin, Ciobanu Viorel, Dudaș Pavel, to pay the amount of RON 51,588; b) For the deeds committed to the detriment of the civil party S.C. CONPET S.A., it jointly and severally obliges the

defendants: Gruia Gheorghe, Vasile Valentin, Georgescu Anda to pay the amount of RON 869,084, 26; c)

For the deeds committed to the detriment of the civil party S.C. OMV PETROM S.A., it jointly and severally obliges the defendants: Gruia Gheorghe, Vasile Valentin, to pay the amount of RON 28,134.11; It dismisses the civil action brought by Amuza Daniel as unfounded. The decision was appealed by the defendants.

By the **Decision no. 1292/22.12.2021** The Ploiești Court of Appeal rejects as unfounded the appeals declared by the Prosecutor's Office attached to the High Court of Justice DIICOT Ploiești Territorial Service and by the defendants: Gruia Gheorghe, Vasile Valentin, Ciobanu Viorel, Dudaș Pavel, and Georgescu Anda. Vasile Valentin filed an appeal in cassation.

Procedural status of the case: Appeal in cassation

Deadline: --

15. File no. 8529/281/2016* - Ploiești Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA - civil party

Constantin Costel, Matei Marian, Stan Mihai Catalin, Matei Valentin Dumitru - defendant

Subject matter: Grand larceny. Conpet became a civil party for the amount of RON 158,780.39 composed of:

- RON 24,691.2 (including VAT) representing the equivalent value of the quantity of 30,000 liters stolen during September-October 2015 by the defendants Stan Mihai Catalin, Matei Marian and Matei Valentin Dumitru

- RON 128,394.0 (including VAT) representing the equivalent value of the quantity of 156,000 liters stolen during September-October 2015 by the defendants Constantin Costel, Stan Mihai Catalin and Matei Marian.

- RON 5,695.19 (including VAT) representing the equivalent value of the pipeline remediation works, necessary to bring it to its initial state before the commission of the crime, i.e. in working order.

Conpet filed a request to increase the amount of the application for civil party to the amount of RON 243,127.77 representing the damage suffered by Conpet as a result of the theft of petroleum products that took place between September and October 2015 from the pipeline 14 "Brazi inside the skid 24" administered by Conpet. The damage suffered by Conpet is of RON 248,822.96 composed of:

- RON 39,218.96 (including VAT) representing the equivalent value of the quantity of 30,000 liters stolen during September-October 2015 by the defendants Stan Mihai Catalin, Matei Marian and Matei Valentin Dumitru

- RON 203,914.72 (including VAT) representing the equivalent value of the quantity of 156,000 liters stolen during September-October 2015 by the defendants Constantin Costel, Stan Mihai Catalin and Matei Marian.

- RON 5,695.19 (including VAT) representing the equivalent value of the pipeline remediation works, necessary to bring it to its initial state before the commission of the crime, i.e. in working order.

Clarifications: By the **sentence no. 2366/13.12.2019** The Ploiești Courthouse partially admits the civil action filed by the civil party Conpet SA and jointly obliges the defendants Constantin Costel, Matei Marian, Stan Mihai Cătălin and Matei Valentin Dumitru to pay to it the amount of RON 243,127.77 representing material damages. The decision was appealed by Conpet, as well as by Constantin Costel and Matei Marian.

By Decision no. 538/2021 the Ploiești Court of Appeal admits the appeals made by the defendants Constantin Costel, Matei Marian, against c.s. no. 2366/13.12.2019, ordered by the Ploiești Courthouse in the file no. 8529/281/2016, it partially annuls the appealed sentence and orders the retrial of the civil side by the Ploiești Courthouse.

By the civil Sentence no. 1748/01.11.2021 pronounced by the Ploiești Court in the file 8529/281/2016 * in retrial, the court obliged the defendants to pay the amount of RON 243,127.77 representing the equivalent amount of 186,000 liters of stolen crude oil. Conpet appealed because the court did not oblige the defendants to pay the amount of RON 5695.19 representing the equivalent value of the pipeline remediation works, necessary to bring it to its initial state before committing the crime, i.e. in working order.

By the **Decision** no. 15/05.01.2022 The Ploiești Court of Appeal admits the appeals declared by the appellant civil party SC Conpet SA and by the appellant defendants: CONSTANTIN COSTEL and MATEI MARIAN. It shall annul the judgment under appeal in its entirety and order that the case be referred to the retrial in accordance with the terms of this Decision.

Procedural status of the case: Merits - retrial

Deadline: --

16. File no. 8262/281/2016 - Ploiești Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA - creditor

Conpet Club Football Association - debtor

Subject matter: Dissolution of a legal entity. Request for admission of the claim. Conpet SA formulates a request for admission of the claim on the property of the debtor Conpet Club Football Association, against which the dissolution was ordered by the Civil Sentence no. 8683/04.10.2016 pronounced by the Ploiești Courthouse in the file no. 8262/281/2016, by which it requests the court to admit the application for registration on the list of creditors of the debtor Conpet Club Football Association with the amount of RON 424.94, as a certain, liquid and due receivable, born before the admission of the dissolution application, representing the penalties payment rest, according to the invoice no. 1653/31.05.2015, calculated for the late payment of the obligations arising from the Lease Agreement no. ADM 366/23.10.2012, concluded by

Conpet SA with the Conpet Club Football Association. By the address 39101/28.09.2017 Conpet requested the completion of the value of the debt registered by the Conpet Club Football Association and with the amount of RON 1,358.84 representing delay penalties born prior to the dissolution request (total RON 1,783.78).

Procedural status of the case: Merits

17. File no. 789/105/2017 - Prahova Court

Parties: ICIM SA by CITR Bucharest administrator - debtor

Conpet SA- creditor

Subject matter: Insolvency proceedings. Conpet requests the registration on the list of creditors of the company ICIM SA represented by judicial administrator CITR BUCHAREST SUBSIDIARY SPRL, with the total receivable in the amount of RON 393,934.37 representing delay penalties, legal expenses, execution costs.

A) RON 50,094, 8 representing the equivalent value of the works for repairing the damage to the pipeline Ø 6 RA Moreni-Ploiești and the lost crude oil, legal interest, legal expenses and execution costs established by the enforceable title - civil sentence no. 1014/28.01.2015 pronounced in the file no. 113/281/2014 remained final and corrected by the Conclusion of correction of the material error on 06.06.2016, by which the Ploiești Courthouse admitted the request for summons filed by the claimant Conpet S.A.

B) RON 343,839.57 composed of the amount of RON 331,271.57 representing penalties for delay in accordance with the provisions of art. 8.1. from the contract 0135/1995 (modified by art. 5 of the additional act 9/2005 and the additional act no. 10/2006), as well as the amount of RON 12,568 representing judicial stamp duty, judicial stamp and expertise fee.

Conpet was entered on the list with the requested amount.

The percentage of the Conpet receivable is 0.75 % of the total receivables entered on the list.

ICIM filed an appeal which is the subject of the file no. 789/105/2017 / a1 and requested:

- mainly a partial amendment of the preliminary list of creditors in connection with the rejection of the application for entry of the claim in the amount made by Conpet
- in the alternative, a partial amendment of the preliminary list of creditors for the purpose of entering the conditional Conpet claim.

The ICIM appeal was the subject matter of the file 789/105/2017 / a1. By Decision 776/2018, the Prahova Court rejects the appeal regarding the preliminary list formulated by the debtor INTREPRINDEREA CONSTRUCȚII INSTALAȚII MONTAJE SA regarding the claim of the creditor CONPET SA

By the Conclusion of 11.09.2020, the Prahova Court ordered the entry into the bankruptcy procedure of the debtor.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: 22.03.2022

18. File no. 2782/229/2018 - Fetești Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA - claimant

Zacon Trandafir - defendant

Subject matter: Conpet SA filed a summons for the named Zacon Trandafir so that based on the evidence that will be administered, the court will issue a court decision by which:

- found the absolute nullity of the sale-purchase contract no. 1047/03.02.1999, concluded between Conpet SA, as seller and Zacon Trandafir as buyer;
- orders to the defendant to pay the legal expenses.

In the alternative, the defendant Zacon Trandafir is required to pay the equivalent value of the property at the fair market value established following the administration of a forensic technical expertise.

The court ordered the stamping of the second end of the application with the amount of RON 4472. The fee was paid by Conpet.

Clarifications: By the **Conclusion of 22.04.2021**, the Fetesti Courthouse defers the judgement for the date of 05.05.2021, disjoins the second end of the request and orders the formation of a new file, having as object an application for damages.

By **Sentence no. 828/2021 of 29.07.2021**, it rejects as unfounded the request for summons filed by the claimant S.C. CONPET S.A. in contradiction with the defendant ZACON TRANDAFIR, having as subject matter the annulment of the deed (affirmance of absolute nullity). It admits the counterclaim filed by the defendant-claimant ZACON TRANDAFIR, in contradiction with the claimant-defendant S.C. CONPET S.A. having as subject matter the finding of a valid conclusion of the contract concluded between the parties. It obliges the claimant to pay to the defendant the amount of RON 7,471, as legal expenses (RON 3,000 - lawyer's fee, RON 4,471 - judicial stamp duty). The decision can be appealed in 30 days after the communication, which will be submitted at the Fetesti Courthouse. Pronounced by making the solution available to the parties through the court registry, today, 29.07.2021. Conpet appealed.

Procedural status of the case: appeal

Deadline for judgement: --

19. File no. 27022/3/2018 - Bucharest Court

Parties: Conpet - creditor

PETROCONSTRUCT GROUP S.R.L - debtor

Subject matter: Bankruptcy - general insolvency proceedings.

By the application for admission of the initial claim, Conpet requested the registration on the list of creditors with the claim in the amount of RON 17,176.14 representing unpaid debt and penalties resulting from the ADM 803/05.12.2017 contract (space rental). From this debt, the amount of RON 2,867.90 was recovered through compensation, being a receivable that was born after the opening of the procedure.

Conpet is registered on the list of creditors (BPI 21250/08.11.2018) **with the amount of RON 14,308.24.**

Clarifications: --

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: 05.04.2022

20. File no. 13717/281/2019 - Prahova Court

Parties: Conpet SA - creditor

Dobrogeanu Dumitru - debtor

Subject matter: Conpet formulates a request for the return of the foreclosure by which it requests the court, as by the decision that will pronounce it, to order the return of the foreclosure carried out on the basis of the enforceable title represented by the decision no. 669 of 20.12.2011 of the Prahova Court in the execution file no. 20/2016 to the Bailiff's Office Divoiu Maria, by partially restoring the situation prior to the execution, in the sense of obliging the defendant DOBROGEANU DUMITRU (pursuant to art. 723 of the Civil Procedure Code) to pay the following amounts to the undersigned:

- RON 5,930 amount which represents the difference between the amount actually enforced and the debt we owed.

- RON 576 as legal expenses consisting of stamp duty and equivalent value of photocopies of the execution file.

Also, it requests the updating with the penalizing legal interest of the amount of RON 5,930 starting with the date of 11.04.2016 and up to the date of the effective payment.

Clarifications: By the **Conclusion of 27.11.2020**, the Ploiești Courthouse reopens the case in order to summon the appellant with the mention of paying a remaining judicial stamp duty in the amount of RON 250, with the right of re-examination within 3 days from communication and with the possibility to apply for the granting of facilities for the payment of the stamp duty within 5 days from the communication.

By Sentence no. 4382/28.05.2021, the Ploiești Courthouse dismisses based on the plea of claimant's lack of interest regarding the amount of RON 576, invoked ex officio, as unfounded. It admits the action brought by Conpet S.A., against the defendant DOBROGEANU DUMITRU. It orders the return of the enforcement in the enforcement file no. 20/2016 of the Bailiff's Office Divoiu Maria for the amount of RON 5,930 and it obliges the defendant to pay the claimant this amount. It obliges the defendant to pay the claimant the amount of RON 576 as legal expenses in file no. 8872/281/2016. It obliges the defendant to pay the claimant

the amount of RON 460.65 as legal expenses incurred in this case. With right of appeal in 30 days after the communication. The appeal application will be submitted at the Ploiesti Courthouse. Dobrogeanu Dumitru appealed.

Procedural status of the case: Appeal

Deadline: 19.01.2022

21. File no. 8727/105/2017 - Prahova Court

Parties: Conpet SA - claimant

PAULUS S.R.L. - Defendant

Subject: Bankruptcy - general procedure

Subject matter: On 14.10.2019, Conpet filed a payment request with the judicial administrator CITR BUCHAREST SUBSIDIARY SPRL (art. 75 * paragraph 3 of Law no. 85/2014) for the payment of the amount of **RON 32,493.44**, amount due on 07/04/2019 by PAULUS SRL (CF 4000500), for not fulfilling the obligations established by the contract no. S-CA 1191/05.07.2017 (air conditioning maintenance). The request was granted.

Clarifications: Paulus filed an appeal against the measure of the judicial administrator file 8727/105/2017/a13 - Appeal term 09/09/2020 (Ploiesti Court of Appeal). By Decision 213/2020, the appeal was rejected.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: 24.03.2022

22. File no. 2036/83/2019 - Satu Mare Court

Parties: Conpet SA - claimant

PRODREP MG S.R.L. - Defendant

Subject: bankruptcy

Subject matter: On 18.11.2019, Conpet filed an application for registration on the list of creditors of the debtor PRODREP MG S.R.L. with the amount of RON 284,496.11 representing:

- damages in the amount of RON 148,926.49 provided in art. 18.2 of the works contract L-CA 699 of 28.09.2017 (20% of the contract value provided in art. 3 of the contract);
- penalties of 0.5%/day of delay provided by art. 17.1 of the works contract L-CA 699 of 09/28/2017 applied to the value of the unexecuted works in the amount of RON 46,575 for a number of 162 days of delay calculated from 10.04.2019 to 19.09.2019 the date of termination of the contract;
- equivalent value for electricity supply in the amount of RON 16.54 for the period 01.08.2019-31.08.2019, resulting from the execution of the works contract L-CA 699 of 28.09.2017;

- penalties of 0.5%/day of delay in the amount of RON 78,644.16 provided by art. 17.1 of the works contract L-CA 537 of 27.07.2017 applied to the value of unexecuted works for a number of 168 days of delay calculated from 01.05.2019 to 15.10.2019 the date of opening the insolvency procedure;

- penalties of 0.5%/day of delay in the amount of RON 10,333.92 provided by art. 17.1 of the works contract L-CA 380 of 10/05/2016 applied to the value of the unexecuted works for a number of 114 days of delay calculated from the date set for the completion of the works until their actual completion.

Conpet filed an application for registration on the supplementary list and for the amount of RON 18,724.8 (address 38994/04.12.2020) representing the current receivable born after the date of opening the insolvency and appeal procedure to the extent of the administrator to recover the amounts of RON 5,105.26 (address 30649/29.09.2020) and RON 49,295.45 (address 38987/04.12.2020) representing aggregate repairs, performed during the warranty period.

Clarifications: at the deadline on 20.10.2020, the court admitted the request of the judicial administrator and pursuant to art. 145 paragraph 1 point A letter c and point B of Law no. 85/2014 ordered the beginning of the bankruptcy procedure in the general form of the debtor PRODREP MG S.R.L. - SC 339/F/20.10.2020 BPI 18101/28.10.2020. On 26.11.2021 Conpet made a request for intervention in the file 2036/83/2019/a9-**deadline 22.02.2022**. Conpet appealed against the measure of the administrator 2036/83/2019 / a10 - **in judgement – 02.03.2022**.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: 03.05.2022

24. File no. 4532/281/2020 * - Ploiesti Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA - claimant

Dobrogeanu Dumitru - defendant

Subject matter: Conpet filed an appeal against the documents issued in the enforcement file no. 1/2020 pending before the Office of the Bailiff Divoiu Maria and requested:

1. Cancellation of the summons from 12.02.2020 issued by the Office of the Bailiff Divoiu Maria for the payment of **a debt in the amount of RON 19,342.63 (RON 16,321.30** representing the difference resulting from the calculation of inflation related to the amount of RON 53,116 for the years 2018 and 2019 starting with 20.12.2011 until 31.12.2018 for the amount due in 2018 and starting with 20.12.2011 until 31.12.2019 for the amount due in 2019, **RON 1,079.1** representing execution expenses and **RON 1,942.23** representing the executor's fee)
2. Cancellation of the Conclusion establishing the execution expenses in the amount of **RON 3,021.33** from 12.02.2020 issued by the Office of the Bailiff Divoiu Maria in the execution file no. 1/2020

3. Cancellation of the notice of establishment of the attachment issued on 12.02.2020 issued by the Office of the Bailiff Divoiu Maria in the execution file no. 1/2020
4. Return of the execution for the amount of **RON 131.55** representing the difference resulting from the calculation of the inflation index calculated by the bailiff Divoiu Maria (16,321.30 RON) according to the conclusion of 12.02.2020 and the calculation made by CONPET S.A. according to INS (16,189.75 RON).
5. Return of the execution for the amount of **RON 1,311.97** paid as a debit update with the inflation index for the period 01.01.2018-31.12.2018 within the real payment offer no. 5/2018 – the Bailiff’s Office Petcu Alexandru
6. Return of the execution for the amount of **RON 1,333.21** paid as a debit update with the inflation index for the period 01.01.2019-31.12.2019 within the real payment offer no. 2/2019 made by the Professional Civil Society of Bailiffs Goslan and Stanga
7. Return of the execution for the difference in fee paid in addition to Bailiff’s Office Divoiu Maria within the execution file no. 1/2020, respectively the amount of **RON 587.78** (16,189.75 CONPET calculation as debit - 1,311.97-1,333.21 = 13,544.57; the debit is $13,544.57 \times 10\% = 1,354.45$; 1,942.23 RON fee paid - 1,354.45 RON fee due = 587.78 RON)
8. Return of the execution for the amount of **RON 850** representing unjustified execution expenses

Clarifications: By **Conclusion no. 1136/16.07.2020** The Ploiești Courthouse admits the plea of the territorial incompetence of the Ploiești Courthouse, a plea invoked ex officio by the court. It declines the competence to settle the case having as object a contestation to execution formulated by the claimant CONPET S.A., in contradiction with the defendant Dobrogeanu Dumitru in favor of the Câmpina Courthouse.

By **Conclusion no. 51/13.01.2021** The Câmpina Courthouse admits the plea of the territorial incompetence of the Câmpina Courthouse, invoked by the appellant CONPET SA. It declines the competence to settle the case regarding the appellant CONPET SA and the respondents DOBROGEANU DUMITRU and Bailiff’s Office DIVOIU MARIA in favor of the Ploiești Courthouse. It notes the negative conflict of jurisdiction that occurred between the Ploiești Courthouse and the Câmpina Courthouse. It suspends the settlement of the case until the negative conflict of competence is resolved. It sends the case to the Prahova Court for a ruling by the competent regulator. At the deadline of 25.02.2021, the Prahova Court sent for settlement to the Ploiesti Courthouse - the Ploiesti courthouse file 4532/281/2020 *. At the deadline of 29.06.2021, the court ruled and remanded the case pending for an expertise. At the deadline of 20.01.2022 the case was judged, the court remained in ruling – 14.03.2022.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline:

24. File no. 1186/223/2020 - Drăgășani Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA - petitioner

ITM Vâlcea - respondent

Subject matter: Appeal against contravention minutes no. 009540/07.05.2020 prepared by ITM Vâlcea by which Conpet S.A. was sanctioned with a fine of RON 8,000 for the documents in the periodic training files of Mr. Hotei Stefan.

Clarifications: By **Sentence** 582/25.05.2021 the court admitted in part the misdemeanor complaint filed by the petitioner CONPET S.A., against the misdemeanor report series VL ITM no.009540 concluded on May 7, 2020 by I.T.M. Vâlcea, in contradiction with the respondent Vâlcea Territorial Labor Inspectorate. It amends the misdemeanor report series VL ITM no.009540 concluded on May 7, 2020 by I.T.M. Vâlcea and consequently, **replaces the sanction of the contravention fine in the amount of 8,000 lei applied based on art. 39 paragraph 4 of Law no. 319/2006 with the sanction "Warning"**. It draws the petitioner's attention to the provisions of Article 7 of G.D. no.2/2001 amended. With the right to appeal within 30 days from the communication

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: --

25. File no. 16445/281/2020 - Ploiești Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA - civil party

Drăghici Adrian Marian - defendant

Tocu Petrică - defendant

Constantin Dan - defendant

Atanasiu Ion - defendant

Subject matter: Grand larceny. Conpet was a civil party for the amount of RON 87,184.57, representing the equivalent value of 40 liters of stolen and unrecovered gasoline (84.26 RON), the equivalent value of 17,615 kg of gasoline lost after the discharge of the pipeline (in order to intervene to remedy the pipeline at the point where it was damaged) (53,930.69 RON) and the equivalent value of the remediation works for the pipeline Ø 6^{5/8}" Țicleni - Ploiești, consisting in the decommissioning of the artisanal installation, works necessary to restore the pipeline in working order (RON 28,956.81) .

Clarifications: By Conclusion no. 690/09.12.2020 pronounced in the file no. 16445/281/2020/a1 rejects the requests and pleas invoked by the defendants DRĂGHICI ADRIAN MARIAN, TONCU PETRICĂ, CONSTANTIN DAN and ATANASIU ION, finds the material and territorial competence of the court, the legality of the referral with the indictment no. 3569/P/2014 of 30.06.2020 of the Prosecutor's Office attached to the Ploiești Courthouse, of the legality of the administration of evidence and of the execution

of criminal investigation acts. It orders the beginning of the trial in the case registered in the Ploiești Courthouse under number 16445/281/2020. The Conclusion was contested by the defendants. By Conclusion no. 138/26.03.2021 The Prahova Court admits the appeals of the defendants: DRĂGHICI ADRIAN MARIAN; TONCU PETRICĂ; CONSTANTIN DAN; ATANASIU ION. It annuls the contested decision and sends the case for retrial to the same court, the Ploiești Courthouse, according to the provisions of the present decision. By **Conclusion no. 379/12.07.2021** pronounced in the file no. 16445/281/2020 / a1

* The Ploiești Courthouse rejects as unfounded the requests and pleas invoked by the defendants DRĂGHICI ADRIAN MARIAN, CONSTANTIN DAN and ATANASIU ION, it finds the material and territorial competence of the court, the legality of the notification with the indictment no. 3569/P/2014 of 30.06.2020 of the Prosecutor's Office attached to the Ploiești Courthouse, the legality of the administration of evidence and of the execution of criminal prosecution acts, regarding the defendants: DRĂGHICI ADRIAN MARIAN, TONCU PETRICĂ, CONSTANTIN DAN. It orders the beginning of the trial in the case pending before the Ploiești Courthouse under number 16445/281/2020 regarding the defendants DRĂGHICI ADRIAN MARIAN, TONCU PETRICĂ CONSTANTIN DAN and ATANASIU ION. The conclusion was appealed by the defendants. By the Conclusion no. 418 / 07.10.2021 The Prahova Court rejects as unfounded the appeals filed by the appellants-defendants DRĂGHICI ADRIAN MARIAN, TONCU PETRICĂ, CONSTANTIN DAN.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: 11.05.2022

26. File no. 6143/2/2020 - Bucharest Court of Appeal

Parties: Conpet SA - claimant

The Insured Guarantee Fund – defendant

Subject matter: Conpet **appealed against Decision no. 24238/25.09.2020** issued by the Insured Guarantee Fund by which the payment requests no. 79167, 79166, 79163, 81691, 81687, 81698, 82691, 82690, 88271, 88728 and 89684 were rejected.

Clarifications: CONPET S.A. formulated payment requests for the refund of amounts related to policies concluded with the Insurance-Reinsurance Company ASTRA S.A. during the years 2009-2015, but by decision no. 24238/25.09.2020 which is the subject matter of the appeal, the Insured Guarantee Fund did not refer to the requests made by CONPET S.A. for the refund of the amounts due. The payment requests no. 79167, 79166, 79163, 81691, 81687, 81698, 82691, 82690, 88271, 88728 and 89684 specified in the decision are not related to any documents issued by our company.

Procedural status of the case: By **Sentence no. 1051/30.06.2021** The Bucharest Court of Appeal admits the request. It annuls the decision no. 24238/25.09.2020 issued by the Insured Guarantee Fund. It obliges

the defendant to issue an administrative act admitting the request for payment made by the claimant for the amount of RON 36,430.13. The decision can be appealed within 15 days since the communication. The appeal will be submitted to the Bucharest Court of Appeal - Section VIII Administrative and Fiscal Litigation.

Deadline: --

27. File no. 25520/212/2020 - Constanța Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA - claimant

Safir Gabriela - defendant

Subject matter: Conpet formulates a request for summons of the defendant SAFIR GABRIELA domiciled in Ovidiu locality, 101 Poporului street (or 82 A), Constanța county so that by the decision you will pronounce to order the **establishment of the share due to each co-owner on the building located in Ovidiu locality, Poporului street no. 82 A, Constanța county, building located in the joint property (joint ownership)** of our debtor SAFIR MARIUS (execution file 51/2019- Bailiff's Office Menaef Cristian) and of the defendant SAFIR GABRIELA.

Clarifications: By the **Conclusion of 13.01.2021**, the Constanța Courthouse annuls the request for summons invoking that it did not submit within 10 days the land book extract regarding the building.

Against the conclusion, Conpet filed a request for re-examination, by which we showed that the building under joint ownership of the defendant Safir Gabriela and our debtor Safir Marius is not tabulated, the right of joint property not being registered in the land book, Conpet being aware of the existence of the property right in the patrimony of the defendant and of the debtor from the relations received from the Ovidiu City Hall. At the same time, we demonstrated to the court that only the exclusive property right of the debtor on the land building is written in the land book and we attached the land book extract for the land, as well as all the steps taken by Conpet and Bailiff Menaef Cristian.

By Conclusion no. 5783/21.04.2021, issued in the file 25520/212/2020/a1, The Constanța Courthouse admits the request for re-examination submitted by Conpet and orders the resubmission of the file of the compiled C31 for the continuation of the procedure.

By the **Conclusion of 11.01.2022**, the Constanta Courthouse, based on art. 413 para. (1) pt. 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure, suspends the trial of the present action until the final settlement of the file no. 27269/212/2021, pending before the Constanța Courthouse. With a separate right of appeal, during the suspension of the trial.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: --

28. File no. 704/249/2021 - Lehliu Gară Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA - claimant

NATIONAL ROAD INFRASTRUCTURE ADMINISTRATION COMPANY S.A. – R.D.R.B. Bucharest / National Roads / Highways Section Bucharest - Section 1 Bucharest - defendant

Subject matter: Conpet complains against the Traffic Violation Report (PVCC) Series BU 19 No. 000112 concluded on 11.02.2021 requesting:

1. Cancellation of the Traffic Violation Report (PVCC) Series BU 19 No. 000112 concluded on 11.02.2021 by the NATIONAL ROAD INFRASTRUCTURE ADMINISTRATION COMPANY S.A. – R.D.R.B. Bucharest/National Roads/Highways Section Bucharest - Section 1 Bucharest;
2. Exemption of CONPET S.A. the payment of the civil penalty;
3. Ordering the defendant to pay the legal expenses incurred by this trial.

Clarifications: By Sentence no. 636/04.06.2021 The Lehliu-Gară Courthouse admitted the misdemeanor complaint filed by the petitioner CONPET S.A. Company in contradiction with the respondent C.N.A.I.R. S.A. through the Bucharest Regional Directorate of Roads and Bridges. It cancels the report of the contravention series BUI19, no. 000112/11.02.2021. It obliges the respondent to pay to the petitioner the amount of RON 20 as legal expenses. With the right to appeal within 30 days from the communication. CNAIR appealed against the above-mentioned decision, which is pending before the Călărași Court.

Procedural status of the case: Appeal

Deadline: 26.05.2022

29. File no. 238/42/2021 - Ploiești Court

Parties: Conpet SA - claimant

Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development defendant

Ialomița County Directorate for Agriculture defendant

National Agency for Cadastre and Real Estate Advertising defendant

Subject matter: Obligation of the defendants to pay CONPET S.A. of the amount of **RON 238,300** representing the amount paid by CONPET S.A. and undue. The amount was paid in April 2017 for the removal from the agricultural circuit of a land area of 74,712 sq m, which was subsequently reduced by the restoration of the documentation to an area of 56,462 sq m. The investment objective was “Oil pipeline replacement Ø 14 " C2 - C3 on 8 sections in Balta Ialomiței area, Ialomita County on a length of approx. 4,037 m”- Project no. 160/4993/2016 PETROSTAR.

By the **Conclusion no. 130/08.09.2021** The Ploiești Court of Appeal admits the motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction of the Ploiesti Court of Appeal. It declines jurisdiction to settle the case in favor of the Prahova Court. No appeal.

Clarifications: --

Deadline: 11.03.2022

30. File no. 1907/105/2021 - Prahova Court of Appeal

Parties: Conpet SA - claimant

Tiab SA - defendant

Subject matter: Conpet files a lawsuit against TIAB SA Bucharest, requesting:

1. Obligation of the defendant TIAB S.A. upon payment to CONPET S.A. of the amount of RON 179,800 representing damages in the amount of 20% due according to art. 19.2 of the supply contract no. P-CA 731/20.10.2017;
2. Obligation of the defendant TIAB S.A. upon payment to CONPET S.A. of penalties in the amount of RON 2,777,910, due by the defendant in accordance with the provisions of art. 18.1 of the contract no. P-CA 731/20.10.2017, for the non-execution in time of the obligations of supply, installation and commissioning of some technical Security systems at Independența Platform, Independența Warehouse and at Băicoi Station;
3. Obligation of the defendant upon payment of the legal expenses.

Clarifications: By the Decision no. 1057/13.10.2021 The Prahova Court partially admitted Conpet's request for a summons and obliged the defendant to pay the claimant the amount of RON 179,800 representing damages. It dismisses the request as unfounded. It obliges the defendant to pay the claimant the legal expenses of RON 4,701 representing the judicial stamp duty corresponding to the admitted claims. With appeal within 30 days from the communication. The appeal will be submitted to the Prahova Court. Conpet filed an appeal against Decision no. 1057/13.10.2021.

Deadline: A trial date has not yet been established.

31. File no. 1270/229/2021 - Fetesti Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA- Claimant

Zacon Trandafir - Defendant

Subject matter: Claims

Clarifications: File disjointed from file no. **2782/229/2018**.

Procedural status of the case: Suspended

By the **Conclusion of 22.04.2021**, the Fetesti Courthouse ordered the suspension of the trial until the resolution of the merits case, from which the second end of the request was disjointed.

32. File no. 3011/105/2021 - Prahova Court

Parties: Conpet S.A. - claimant

Tiab S.A. - Defendant

Subject matter: Conpet is suing TIAB S.A. Bucharest requesting:

1. Finding the unilateral termination of the Supply Contract no. P-CA 559/08.08.2017 in accordance with the provisions of art. 19.4 letter b) of the contract;
2. Obligation of the defendant TIAB S.A. upon payment to CONPET S.A. of the amount of RON 109,841.24 representing damages in the amount of 20% due by the defendant according to art. 19.5 paragraph (1) of the supply contract no. P-CA 559/08.08.2017, as a result of the unilateral termination of the contract in accordance with the provisions of art. 19.4 letter b) of the contract;
3. Obligation of the defendant TIAB S.A. upon payment to CONPET S.A. of penalties in the amount of RON 1,081,936.25, due by the defendant in accordance with the provisions of art. 18.1 of the contract no. P-CA 559/08.08.2017, for non-execution in time of the obligations of supply, installation and commissioning of a technical system of Security at Marghita Platform, penalties calculated until the date of the unilateral termination of the contract (24.06.2021);
4. Obligation of the defendant to pay the legal expenses.

Subsidiarily, in so far as the court dismisses the main heads of claim, Conpet requested:

1. Termination of the Supply Contract no. P-CA 559/08.08.2017 through the fault of the defendant TIAB S.A. in accordance with Art. 19.1 of the contract, for the non-execution of the obligations consisting in the supply, installation and commissioning of a technical safety system at Marghita Platform.
2. Obligation of the defendant TIAB S.A. upon payment to CONPET S.A. of penalties in the amount of RON 917,174.39, due by the defendant in accordance with the provisions of art. 18.1 of the contract no. P-CA 559/08.08.2017, for non-execution in time of the obligations of supply, installation and commissioning of a Technical Security System at Marghita Platform, penalties calculated until the date of the unilateral termination of the contract (24.06.2021) as well as in continued until the date of the final decision of the court.
3. Obligation of the defendant to pay the legal expenses.

Clarifications: --

Deadline: 25.03.2022

33. File no. 13833/281/2021 - Ploiesti Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA - appellant

Toncu Stefan - respondent

Subject matter: Conpet files an enforcement appeal against the decision ordered by the Bailiff's Office Petrov in the enforcement file 139/2019.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Clarifications: By the **Conclusion no. 2477/20.12.2021** The Ploiesti Courthouse admits in part the enforcement appeal filed by the appellant Conpet SA in contradiction with the respondent Toncu Stefan. It cancels the conclusion issued on 12.10.2020 in the execution file no. 13912019 by the Petrov Sergiu Alexandru Bailiff's Office by which it was ordered the cessation of the forced execution started against the respondent.

It orders the continuation of the forced execution initiated against the respondent in the mentioned execution file until the full recovery of the claim provided in the enforceable title.

It dismisses the petition having the subject matter of ordering the respondent to pay the legal expenses as unfounded.

With the right to appeal, which is submitted to the Ploiesti Courthouse, within 10 days from communication.

Deadline: --

34. File no. 4289/105/2021 - Ploiești Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA - claimant

Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development defendant

Ialomița County Directorate for Agriculture defendant

National Agency for Cadastre and Real Estate Advertising defendant

Subject matter: Obligation of the defendants to pay CONPET S.A. of the amount of **RON 121,313** the amount paid by CONPET S.A. as a guarantee. The amount was paid on 18.06.2015 for the removal from the agricultural circuit of a land area of 26,453 sq. m, but the construction permit was not obtained for the investment objective, which should have led to the return of the guarantee. The investment objective was *“Replacement of the Călăreți-Ploiești imported crude oil pipeline Ø 12 ¾" F1 on 5 sections, in length of 4115 m and Ø 12 ¾ "F2 on 5 sections, in length of 3800 m, total 7915 m".* – a PETROSTAR project. At the deadline of 12.01.2022, the court ruled on the plea of jurisdiction of the Prahova Court. By the Decision 8/12.01.2022, the court admitted the motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction of the Prahova Court, invoked by the defendant MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT. It declines the jurisdiction to settle the case in favor of the Ploiești Courthouse. No appeal.

Clarifications:

Deadline: 14.03.2022

35. File no. 17936/281/2021 - Ploiești Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA - claimant

SYSTEMS OF ECOLOGICAL SOLUTIONS SRL Ilfov - defendant

CĂTĂOIL PRODUCTIONS SRL - defendant

Subject matter: Conpet is filing a summons, requesting the court:

1. Obligation, jointly and severally, of the defendants to pay CONPET S.A. of the amount of RON 29,927.68 representing delay penalties calculated for the period 12.09.2019 – 25.05.2020 inclusive, due by the defendants in accordance with the provisions of art. 16.1 (1) of the contract no. S-CA 806/06.12.2017, for the non-execution of the sludge cleaning services, the performance of the operations related to the cleaning of the tank as well as the transport of the resulting sludge, including the activity of recovery/disposal in safe environment, related to the R9 Crude Oil Tank within the Siliște Automated Crude Oil Pumping Station, Poiana Lacului-Siliște Sector, Division 2 South, Dâmbovița County;

2. Obligation, jointly and severally, of the defendants to pay CONPET S.A. of the amount of RON 155,792.97, representing 20% of the estimated value of the contract, due according to art. 17 of the service contract no. S-CA 806/06.12.2017, following the unilateral termination of the contract due to the fault of the defendant;

3. Orders the defendants jointly and severally to pay the legal expenses of the proceedings in question.

At the deadline of 14.01.2021, the court approved for Conpet the documentary evidence, the video recording evidence and the testimonial test with a witness (Pârvu Raluca), and for the defendant SES the documentary evidence, the video recording test and the testimonial test with two witnesses.

At the deadline of 25.01.2022, the court ordered the reopening of the summons procedure together with the request for summons also against Recop Recycling SRL (former Cătăoil Productions SRL).

Clarifications: --

Deadline: 22.03.2022

36. File no. 19121/281/2021 - Ploiesti District Court

Parties: Conpet SA - petitioner

Dobrogeanu Dumitru

Subject matter: Invalidation of enforcement. Conpet requested the court to order the invalidation of foreclosure carried out on the basis of the enforceable title represented by decision no. 669 of 12/20/2011 of the Prahova Court in the execution file no. 458/2015 to the Divoiu Maria Bailiff's Office, by restoring the situation prior to the enforcement, in the sense of obliging the defendant **DOBROGEANU DUMITRU** (**pursuant to art. 723 of the Code of Civil Procedure**) to pay the following amounts to the undersigned:

- RON 11067.41 representing the amount enforced by the Divoiu Maria Bailiff's Office illegally

- **RON 800.40 as legal expenses** (out of which RON 600 represent the fee of the accounting expertise and RON 200.40 represent the equivalent value of photocopies of the execution file 458/2015), **amount to which the defendant was obliged by the sentence 8369/19.10.2017 pronounced in the file 983/281/2016 remained final by Decision no. 1239/26.09.2018**

- **RON 200.40 represents the equivalent value of the photocopies of the execution file 458/2015** (for the file 8115/281/2016) **amount to which the defendant was obliged by the sentence 2448/26.03.2018 pronounced in the file 983/281/2016** (which ordered the completion of the operative part of the civil sentence 8369/19.10.2017 pronounced in the file with the same number) **remained final by Decision no. 1239 / 26.09.2018 of the Prahova Court**

Also, we request the updating with the penalizing legal interest of the amount of RON 11,067.41 starting with the date of 01.04.2016 and up to the date of the effective payment.

Clarifications:

Deadline: 03.02.2022

37. File no. 3487/255/2021 - Marghita Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA - petitioner

The prosecutor's office attached to the Marghita Courthouse - respondent

Subject matter: Conpet files a complaint against the Nolle Prosequi issued on 24.09.2021 by the Prosecutor's Office attached to the Marghita Courthouse in the file no. 788/P/2011, regarding the commission of the crime of grand larceny requesting the admission of the complaint, the annulment of the Nolle Prosequi dated 24.09.2021 and of the Ordinance no. 18/II.2.2021 dated 09.11.2021, ordered by the Prosecutor's Office attached to the Marghita Courthouse in the file no. 788/P/2011, the continuation of the criminal prosecution and investigations in order to identify the offenders and to bring them to criminal responsibility for the damage, for the purpose of stealing petroleum products, of the crude oil transport pipeline Ø 14 " Petreu Warehouse - Marghita Platform dated 06/13/2011, as well as in order to recover the damage caused to our company (RON 8,498.41).

Clarifications: --

Deadline: Postponement of the ruling on 25.03.2022

38. File no. 27269/212/2021 - Constanța Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA - claimant

Safir Marius - defendant

Safir Gabriela Izabela

Subject matter: Revocation of donation deed. Conpet requested the court to order, pursuant to art. 1562 et seq. Of the Civil Code, that the deed of donation authenticated under the no. 293 of April 8th, 2021 at the Beiu Professional Partnership of Notaries to be declared unenforceable against the CONPET SA company, creditor of the donor debtor.

Clarifications: On 11.03.2022, the Constanța Courthouse admits the request to suspend the trial of the present case, formulated by the defendant Safir Marius. Pursuant to art. 413 para. 1 pt. 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure, orders the suspension of the trial of the case until the final settlement of the file no. 32805/212/2021 pending before the Constanta courthouse, in the case regarding the claimant Conpet, in contradiction with the defendants Safir Marius and Safir Gabriela Izabela, having as action to set aside. The decision may be appealed for the entire duration of the suspension.

Deadline: --

39. File no. 892/315/2022 - Târgoviște Courthouse

Parties: Conpet - petitioner

The Prosecutor's Office attached to the Targoviste Courthouse - respondent

Subject matter: Conpet SA files a complaint against the Nolle Prosequi issued on 24.09.2021 by the Prosecutor's Office attached to the Târgoviște Courthouse in the file no. 187/P/2011, regarding the commission of the crime of attempted grand larceny requesting the admission of the complaint, the annulment of the Nolle Prosequi ordered on 24.09.2021 by the Prosecutor's Office attached to the Târgoviște Courthouse in the file no. 187/P/2011, the continuation of the criminal prosecution and investigations in order to identify the offenders and bring them to criminal responsibility for damaging, in order to steal petroleum products, the Teiș - Moreni crude oil transport pipeline, an offense identified on 22.12.2010 , as well as in order to recover the damage caused to our company.

Clarifications: --

Deadline: 17.03.2022

40. File no. 5081/105/2013 / a13 - Prahova Court

Parties: Energopetrol SA through Judicial Administrator Andrei Ioan Ipurl - respondent

Conpet SA - appellant

Subject matter: Appeal against the measures of the judicial administrator / liquidator

Clarifications: --

Deadline: 03/23/2022

41. File no. 390/284/2022 - Răcari Courthouse**Parties:** Conpet - petitioner

The Prosecutor's Office attached to the Răcari Courthouse - respondent

Subject matter: Conpet SA files a complaint against the Nolle Prosequi issued on 22.11.2021 by the Prosecutor's Office attached to the Răcari Courthouse in the file no. 1428/P/2012, regarding the commission of the crime of grand larceny requesting the admission of the complaint, the annulment of the Nolle Prosequi ordered on 22.11.2021 by the Prosecutor's Office attached to the Răcari Courthouse in the file no. 1428/P/2012, the continuation of the criminal prosecution and investigations in order to identify the offenders and to bring them to criminal responsibility for the theft of components of the installations from the Ø 14" and Ø 20" crude oil transport pipelines, Crevedia river surface crossing area, Dâmbovița county, offense ascertained on 16.08.2012, as well as in order to recover the damage caused to our company (RON 10,906.32).**Clarifications:** --**Deadline:** 30.03.2022**42. File no. 838/202/2022 - Călărași Courthouse****Parties:** Conpet - petitioner

The Prosecutor's Office attached to the Călărași Courthouse - respondent

Subject matter: Conpet SA files a complaint against the Nolle Prosequi issued on 30.07.2021 by the Prosecutor's Office attached to the Călărași Courthouse in the file no. 2114/P/2013, regarding the commission of the crime of grand larceny requesting the admission of the complaint, the annulment of the Nolle Prosequi of 30.07.2021 and of the Ordinance no. 43/II/2/2021 dated 10.01.2022, ordered by the Prosecutor's Office attached to the Călărași Courthouse in the file no. 2114/P/2013, continuation of the criminal prosecution and investigations in order to identify the offenders and bring them to criminal responsibility for the theft between 31.05 - 03.06.2013 of two cathodic protection terminals related to the imported crude oil transport pipelines from Jegălia locality, Dragalina commune, Călărași County, as well as in order to recover the damage caused to our company (RON 1,753.23).**Clarifications:** --**Deadline:** 19.05.2022**43. File no. 456/284/2022 - Răcari Courthouse****Parties:** Conpet - petitioner

The Prosecutor's Office attached to the Răcari Courthouse - respondent

Subject matter: Conpet files a complaint against the Nolle Prosequi issued on 22.11.2021 by the Prosecutor's Office attached to the Răcari Courthouse in the file no. 461/P/2012, regarding the commission of the crime of grand larceny requesting the admission of the complaint, the annulment of the Nolle Prosequi dated 22.11.2021 and of the ordinance no. 7/II/ 2/2022 dated 18.01.2022, ordered by the Prosecutor's Office attached to the Răcari Courthouse in the file no. 461/P/2012, continuation of the criminal prosecution and investigations in order to identify the offenders and bring them to criminal responsibility for stealing a metal terminal from the crude oil transport pipeline within the Crevedia commune, Dâmbovița county, offense found on 12.06.2012, as well as in order to recover the damage caused to our company (RON 2,000 according to the Dismissal Report).

Clarifications: --

Deadline: 30.03.2022

44. File no. 2512/280/2022 - Pitești Courthouse

Parties: Conpet - petitioner

The Prosecutor's Office attached to the Pitești Courthouse - respondent

Subject matter: Conpet files a complaint against the Nolle Prosequi issued on 06.09.2021 by the Prosecutor's Office attached to the Pitești Courthouse in the file no. 1201/P/2014, regarding the commission of the crime of destruction requesting the admission of the complaint, the annulment of the Nolle Prosequi on 06.09.2021 and of the Ordinance no. 404/I /2/2021 on 20.12.2021, ordered by the Prosecutor's Office attached to the Pitești Courthouse in the file no. 1201/P/2014, the continuation of the criminal prosecution and investigations in order to identify the offenders and bring them to criminal responsibility for damaging, for the purpose of stealing petroleum products, the crude oil transport pipeline Ø 10" F1 Orlești - Poiana Lacului, offense identified on 08/12/2011, as well as in order to recover the damage caused to our company.

Clarifications: --

Deadline: 29.03.2022

45. File no. 713/284/2022 - Răcari Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA - petitioner

G.N.M. Dâmbovița - respondent

Subject matter: Complaint against the GNM traffic violation report Series no. 07949 concluded by the National Environmental Guard - Dâmbovița County Commissariat on 11.02.2022 by which Conpet SA Ploiesti was sanctioned with a fine of RON 50,000.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: --

b) The list of files pending before Courts on 14.03.2022 in which the company CONPET S.A. has the status of defendant

1. File no. 8296/281/2007 - Ploiești Courthouse

Parties: Cornea Rodica Aurora - claimant

Conpet S.A., Petrotrans S.A., Regionala Transgaz Bucharest, Ministry of Finance - defendants

Subject matter: Cornea Rodica Aurora claims jointly and severally that the defendants are required to pay civil damages in the amount of EUR 74,000 representing the damage caused by the presence of pipes belonging to the defendants in the basement, the property of the claimant and the payment of civil damages provisionally assessed at RON 10,000 for the period of February 2004 - February 2006 as a result of the use of pipes that crossed the property of the claimant.

Clarifications: The case is suspended based on art. 36 of Law no. 85/2006.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

2. File no. 8297/281/2007 - Ploiești Courthouse

Parties: Rusu Mihaela - claimant

Conpet S.A., Petrotrans S.A., Regionala Transgaz Bucharest, Ministry of Finance - defendants

Subject matter: Rusu Mihaela claims that the defendants should be jointly and severally liable for civil damages in the amount of EUR 74,000, representing the damage caused by the presence of pipes belonging to the defendants in the basement, the property of the claimant.

Clarifications: The case is suspended based on art. 36 of Law no. 85/2006.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

3. File no. 3451/108/2016 ** - High Court of Cassation and Justice

Parties: Conpet SA - defendant

ATU Pecica Town - claimant

Subject matter: ATU of Pecica town filed a request for a summons requesting:

Mainly:

- the obligation of the defendant Conpet S.A. to divert the crude oil pipeline that crosses a number of 22 plots of urban land, intended for the construction of houses, located in our property, in a total area of 20,287 sq. m.

- order the defendant Conpet S.A. to pay the amount of EUR 65,000 representing the equivalent value of the house located in plot no. A141.7760/5/174, entered in L.B. no. 306869 because it can no longer be capitalized by the subscriber.

In subsidiary:

- order the defendant Conpet S.A. upon payment of an annual rent, during the existence of the pipeline, as a result of the encumbrance of the areas shown above by the right of legal easement exercised by Conpet SA; **NOTE:** The annual rent has not been quantified.

- order the defendant Conpet S.A. to the granting of compensations for the period 31.10.2014 – 31.05.2016 as a result of the limitation of the attributes of the property right of the 22 urban land plots and of the decrease of the circulation value of the land on the real estate market due to the restrictions imposed by Order 196/2006 of NAMR on construction.

- order the defendant Conpet S.A. that every 3 years to adapt the amount of compensation to the value of circulation at that time of similar lands and the provisions of future orders of the NAMR.

- the setting by the court of the due date on which the compensations will be paid annually, following the non-payment at the due term to attract the payment of the legal interest related to the amount received as compensation for the delay period.

- award the legal expenses incurred in the event of opposition.

Clarifications: By the Conclusion of 07.12.2016, the Arad Court finds the functional incompetence of the Civil Section I of the Arad Court and declines in favor of the Civil Section II of the Arad Court, the judgment of the action filed by the claimant Territorial Administrative Unit of Pecica Town.

By the Conclusion no. 40/26.01.2017 The Arad Court rejects the request to show the right holder formulated by the defendant Conpet SA in contradiction with the claimant ATU of Pecica Town and with the respondents the Romanian State through the Ministry of Public Finance and the National Agency for Mineral Resources. The decision was appealed by Conpet (File no. 3451/108/2016 */a1 - Timisoara Court of Appeal, deadline: 14.03.2017). By the Decision no. 211/20.03.2017 The Timișoara Court of Appeal rejects the appeal.

By the **sentence no. 336/18.05.2017**, the Arad Court rejects the civil action filed by the claimant ATU of Pecica Town in contradiction with the defendant SC Conpet SA having as subject the obligation to act and claims. No legal expenses. The decision was appealed by the ATU Pecica Town.

By the **Decision no. 761/23.11.2017** The Timișoara Court of Appeal admits the appeal. It annuls the appealed sentence and sends the case for retrial to the Arad Court. Conpet filed an appeal.

Through the Report of 07.06.2018, the High Court of Cassation and Justice found the inadmissibility of the Conpet appeal, it being promoted against a final decision, which does not fall within the hypothesis regulated by art. 483 para. 1 Code of Civil Procedure. The parties may submit a written opinion on the

report within 10 days of its communication. By the Conclusion of 10.10.2018, the HCCJ admits in principle the appeal declared by the appellant-defendant Conpet S.A. against the civil decision no. 761/A of November 23, 2017, pronounced by the Timișoara Court of Appeal - Civil Section II. It sets a deadline for resolving the appeal on January 30, 2019. By the **Decision no. 615/22.03.2019** The HCCJ admits the appeal declared by the appellant-defendant Conpet S.A., quashes the appealed decision and sends the case to a new court of the same court of appeal. According to the report of forensic expertise in the assessment performed in question at the request of the claimant ATU Pecica and submitted by the deadline of 11.01.2021, conclusions: The overall value of compensation for affecting the right of construction proposed by the expert is EUR 137,045.69, which at the exchange rate from 25.09.2020 of EUR 4.8750 has the value of RON 668,097.74. As regards the amount of the annual rent, the claimant did not formulate an objective of expertise. To date, the claimant TAU Pecica has not made any request to specify its claims with the value of the assessment expertise. By Decision no. 306/07.06.2021 The Court rejects the appeal declared by the appellant-claimant, the Territorial Administrative Unit of the City of Pecica, against the civil sentence no. 336 of May 18th, 2017, ordered by the Arad Court in the file no. 3451/108/2016, in contradiction with the appellant-defendant S.C. Conpet S.A. With the right to appeal within 30 days from the communication. The claimant, the Territorial Administrative Unit of the City of Pecica, filed an appeal. The case has not yet been referred to the High Court of Cassation and Justice as a court of appeal. The filter procedure is next and then the trial term is set.

Procedural status of the case: Appeal – filter procedure

Deadline: --

4. File no. 5413/204/2017* - Prahova Court

Parties: Conpet SA - defendant

NAMR - defendant

Dobrogeanu Dumitru - claimant

Dobrogeanu Păun Ioan - claimant

Subject matter: Claims. Dobrogeanu Dumitru and Dobrogeanu Păun Ioan filed a summons requesting the court that by the decision it will pronounce to order the obligation of the defendants to:

1. Payment of an annual rent for the land areas occupied by the two pipelines transporting petroleum products (crude oil) starting with 01.07.2014 and in the future, throughout the existence of the pipelines.
2. Obligation of the defendants to pay the losses suffered by not achieving economic objectives on the area left between the two pipelines after their restriction and the area along DN1 (E60);
3. Payment of legal expenses.

The first head of the claim was estimated by the claimants at the amount of EUR 48,000/year (RON 220,000), and the second head at the amount of RON 25,000/year.

Clarifications: By the Decision no. 594/28.02.2018 the Câmpina Courthouse admits the plea of the material incompetence of solving the case of the Câmpina Courthouse, invoked by the court ex officio. It declines jurisdiction to settle the request in favor of the Prahova Court. No appeal.

By the **sentence no. 2446/28.08.2018** the Prahova Court admits the exception of the lack of passive procedural quality, invoked by the defendant National Agency for Mineral Resources Bucharest. It dismisses the action, in contradiction with that defendant, as being brought against a person without procedural capacity. It admits the exception of the res judicata. It dismisses the claim filed against the defendant SC Conpet SA, as there is res judicata. It finds that the defendants have not applied for legal expenses. The decision was appealed by Dobrogeanu Dumitru.

By the **decision no. 2804/11.11.2019** the Ploiești Court of Appeal rejects the appeal filed by the appellant Dobrogeanu Dumitru as unfounded. It admits the plea of inadmissibility of the cross-appeal. It dismisses as unfounded the cross-appeal declared by the appellant Dobrogeanu Păun Ioan. The decision was appealed by Dobrogeanu Dumitru and Dobrogeanu Păun Ioan.

By **Decision no. 206/04.02.2021**, the High Court of Cassation and Justice rejects the appeal filed by the claimant Dobrogeanu Păun Ioan against the civil decision no. 2804 of November 11, 2019, pronounced by the Ploiești Court of Appeal, Civil Section I. It admits the appeal filed by the claimant Dobrogeanu Dumitru against the same decision. It quashes the appealed decision and the civil sentence no. 2446 of August 28, 2018 of the Prahova Court and sends the case for retrial to the Prahova Court. Final.

Procedural status of the case: Merits - retrial

Deadline: 24.03.2022

5. File no. 5212/105/2018 - Ploiești Court of Appeal

Parties: Conpet SA - defendant

Fondul Proprietatea SA - claimant

Subject matter: Fondul Proprietatea SA files an appeal requesting the court to:

1. Oblige Conpet to pay the amount of RON 734,747.04, representing the net value of the dividends related to a share of 6% of the share capital of Conpet, respectively for a number of 524,366 shares held by the claimant on the registration date of AGOA Conpet from 25.04.2007 (i.e. 14.05.2007), for the financial year of 2006,
2. Oblige Conpet to pay damages, respectively the legal interest related to the dividends from the due date of the amount requested in point 1 and until the date of filing the summons (i.e. 09.11.2018), in the amount of RON 579,015.97.

3. Oblige Conpet to pay thereafter the legal interest related to the net value of the dividends, from the date of filing the summons and until the actual payment of the requested amounts,

4. Oblige Conpet to pay the legal expenses of the present litigation

Conpet S.A. filed an impleader of the Romanian State through the Ministry of Finance and A.A.A.S.

Clarifications: By the **Conclusion of 25.06.2019**, the Prahova Court rejected as unfounded the impleader of the Authority for the Administration of State Assets, formulated by the defendant Conpet S.A. Conpet and the Romanian State through the Ministry of Public Finance filed an appeal against this decision of the court. By the same Conclusion of 25.06.2019, the court admitted in principle the impleader of the Romanian State through the Ministry of Public Finance, formulated by the defendant Conpet S.A. and rejected as unfounded the plea of the lack of passive procedural quality of the Romanian State through the Ministry of Public Finance. The appeal was registered with the Ploiești Court of Appeal with no. 5212/105/2018 / a2. By the **decision no. 515/05.11.2019** the Ploiești Court of Appeal admits the plea of the inadmissibility of the appeal declared by the Ministry of Public Finance. It dismisses this appeal as inadmissible. It rejects the plea of lack of interest and the exception of inadmissibility of the appeal declared by Conpet SA. It dismisses this appeal as unfounded. Final.

By the **Conclusion of 20.09.2019**, the Ploiești Court of Appeal suspended the trial of the case until the settlement of the appeals made against the decision pronounced on 25.06.2019. This conclusion remained final by non-appeal.

By **Sentence no. 633/20.05.2021** The Court unfoundedly rejects the exception of the prescription of the right to action. It admits the action. It obliges the defendant Conpet SA to pay to the claimant the amounts of RON 734,747.04 representing the value of dividends, of RON 579,015.97, representing dividends for the period 26.10.2007-09.11.2018, and the legal interest related to the net value of the dividends from 09.11.2018 and until the actual payment of the main debit. It admits in part the request for bail. It obliges the summoned in guarantee The Romanian State to pay to the defendant SC CONPET SA, the amount of RON 734,747.04, representing the value of dividends, and the legal interest related to this amount starting with 17.12.2018 and until the date of restitution to the defendant of the amount of RON 734,747.04. The decision can be appealed within 30 days from communication.

By **Decision no. 814/01.07.2021** The Court admits the request for correction of the material error made by the claimant. It corrects the material error crept into the content of paragraph 3 of the operative part of sentence no. 633/02.06.2021 pronounced by the Prahova Court, in the sense that it is written: "It obliges the defendant S.C. Conpet S.A. to pay to the claimant the amounts of RON 734,747.04 representing the main debit, of RON 579,015.97, representing dividends for the period 26.10.2007-09.11.2018, and the legal interest related to the net value of the dividends from 09.11.2018 until the actual payment of the main debit.". It admits the request for completion of the decision made by the claimant. It orders the completion

of the civil sentence no. 633/02.06.2021 in the sense that it also provides: It reduces to RON 70,000 the fee of the claimant's lawyer. It obliges the defendant S.C. Conpet S.A. to pay the claimant the following legal expenses: RON 20,347.63 representing judicial stamp duty, RON 4,300 expert fee, RON 8,481.87 expert fee, RON 70 lawyer's fee and RON 1,125 other expenses. It obliges the summoned in guarantee The Romanian State to pay to the defendant S.C. Conpet S.A. legal expenses in the amount of RON 16,943. With the right of appeal within 15 days from communication.

Procedural status of the case: Appeal

Deadline: --

6. File no. 198/241/2020 – Valcea Court

Parties: Podureanu Iordachi - claimant

Conpet S.A. - defendant

Subject matter: Podureanu Iordachi made an appeal requesting the court, by the decision it will issue, to order:

- the obligation of the defendant Conpet SA to pay the amount of RON 150,000 representing moral damages
- the obligation of the defendant Conpet SA to pay the legal expenses

Clarifications: By **Sentence no. 1246/14.07.2021** the Horezu Courthouse admitted the plea of the prescription of the material right to action, invoked by Conpet S.A., it rejected the request for a lawsuit filed by the claimant Podureanu Iordachi in contradiction with the defendant Conpet S.A., as the material right to action is prescribed, and it dismissed as unfounded the defendant's claim for legal expenses. With the right to appeal within 30 days from the communication. Podureanu Iordachi appealed against the above-mentioned decision, which is pending before the Vâlcea Court.

Procedural status of the case: Appeal

Deadline: By the Decision no. 106/11.02.2022 The Vâlcea Court rejected the appeal as unfounded. With an appeal within 30 days from the communication, which is to be submitted to the Vâlcea Court.

7. File no. 4395/270/2020 - Onești Court

Parties: Municipality of Onești - claimant

Local Council of Onești - claimant

Conpet SA - defendant

Subject matter: Onești Municipality and the Local Council of Onești Municipality, Bacău County, have sued Conpet S.A. asking the court:

"1. The obligation of the defendant at the conclusion of the agreement for the exercise of the right of legal easement over the lands with an area of 11,474.5 sq. m., crossed and affected by crude oil transport pipelines

within the municipality of Onești, equivalent to the payment of an annual rent, during the existence of the pipelines, to the local budget of Onești municipality, determined according to the principle of the least violation of the property right and calculated taking into account the circulation value of the affected real estate, established under the law, at the time of the damage.

2. The obligation of the defendant to pay material damages, representing the equivalent value of the lack of use for the land in the area of 11,474.5 sq. m. crossed and affected by the crude oil pipelines within the municipality of Onești, established according to the Market Study approved by the Decision of the Local Council no. 199 of 29.11.2018, respectively according to the Updated Market Study approved by the Decision of the Local Council no. 122 of 29.06.2020, calculated starting with 2017 and until the date of concluding the agreement for exercising the right of legal easement over these lands, to which are added late fees, interest and late payment penalties in the amount provided by the Fiscal Procedures Code applicable in this period.

3. The obligation of the defendant to pay the legal expenses of the present proceedings. '

Clarifications: ---

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: 22.03.2022

8. File no. 17433/303/2020 - Bucharest Court

Parties: Conpet SA - respondent

Dobrogeanu Păun Ioan - appellant

Subject matter: Dobrogeanu Păun Ioan files an enforcement appeal against the foreclosure which is the subject of the enforcement file no. 16/2017 – the Bailiff's Office Raduta Nicoleta respectively the address for setting up the seizure of 05.10.2020, the conclusion of 05.10.2020 regarding the establishment of the additional execution expenses, notification of seizure of 05.10.2020. On 15.07.2021, the court admitted the appeal and annulled the decision to establish additional expenses of 05.10.2021, as well as all other enforcement documents drawn up on 05.10.2021 in the enforceable file no. 16/2017 pending before the Raduta Nicoleta Bailiff's Office.

Clarifications: By **Sentence no. 5837/15.07.2021**, the Bucharest District 6 Courthouse admits the enforcement appeal filed by the appellant DOBROGEANU PAUN IOAN in contradiction with the respondents CONPET SA and the Bailiff's Office RADUȚĂ NICOLETA. It annuls the conclusion establishing some additional expenses of 05.10.2021, as well as all the other enforcement documents drawn up on 05.10.2021 in the enforcement file no. 16/2017 on the role of the Bailiff's Office Raduta Nicoleta. It finds that the foreclosure started against the appellant in the enforcement file no. 16/2017. Pursuant to art. 45 para. 1 letter f) GEO 80/2013, it orders the refund to the appellant of the amount of RON 193,

representing the stamp duty paid through the receipts submitted to the file, f 382 - 387. Enforceable. The decision was appealed by Conpet SA.

Procedural status of the case: Appeal

Deadline: 24.03.2022

9. File no. 19819/303/2020 - Bucharest Court

Parties: Conpet SA - respondent

Dobrogeanu Păun Ioan - appellant

Subject matter: Dobrogeanu Păun Ioan files an enforcement appeal against the foreclosure which is the subject of the enforcement file no. 16/2017 – the Bailiff’s Office Raduta Nicoleta respectively the address for setting up the seizure of 06.11.2020, the conclusion of 06.11.2020 regarding the establishment of the additional execution expenses, notification of the seizure reduction of 06.11.2020.

Clarifications: By **Sentence no. 2725/29.03.2021**, the Bucharest District 6 Courthouse rejects the exception of connection, invoked by the appellant, as unfounded. It rejects the exception of the belatedly formulation of the appeal against the enforcement acts of 06.11.2020, as inadmissible. It admits the exception of the belatedly completing the request with new grounds of appeal, invoked by the response to the response.

It dismisses the application as inadmissible. It obliges the appellant to pay the Bailiff’s Office RADUTA NICOLETA the amount of RON 402.22 expenses for the communication of the copy of the foreclosure file. The decision was appealed by Dobrogeanu Păun Ioan.

At the deadline of 18.11.2021, pursuant to the provisions of art. 413 para. 1 pt. 1 CCP the court ruled the suspension of the trial of the case until the final settlement of the file no. 17433/303/2020.

Procedural status of the case: Appeal - suspended

Deadline: --

10. File no. 1347/90 / 2021- Vâlcea Court

Parties: Conpet SA - respondent

Stan Ionel - appellant

Subject matter: Stan Ionel files an appeal for annulment against Decision no. 281 of 18.03.2021 pronounced by the Vâlcea Court in the file 804/241/2020 (having as subject matter an enforcement appeal) The appellant requests the annulment of Decision no. 281/18.03.2021, the retrial of the appeal and the annulment of the Conclusion no. 47/2020 by which the Horezu Courthouse annulled the enforcement appeal as unstamped.

Clarifications: --

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: 03.02.2022

11. File no. 447/204/2021 - Câmpina Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA - respondent

Dobrogeanu Dumitru-appellant

Subject matter: Enforcement appeal against the foreclosure initiated at the request of Conpet based on Conclusion no. 2912/05.11.2020 ordered by the Câmpina Courthouse in the file no. 6655/204/2020 by which the foreclosure was approved, respectively against the enforcement acts drawn up by the Bailiff's Office Petcu Alexandru in the enforcement file no. 1149/2020. It is required:

1. Cancellation of the summons issued by the Bailiff's Office Petcu Alexandru for payment of a debt in the amount of RON 20,105.55 representing the concurrent amount composed of: RON 17,740.60 representing outstanding debt according to the enforcement request (the amount of RON 2,062.30 plus the current penalty interest in the amount of RON 857.84, the amount of RON 8,202.82 plus the current penalty legal interest in the amount of RON 3,952.60, the amount of RON 298.99 plus the current penalty legal interest in the amount of RON 144.07, the amount of RON 1,000 plus the current penalty legal interest in the amount of RON 481.86, the amount of RON 550.89 and the amount of RON 189.23) and the amount of RON 2,364.95 of foreclosure costs.
2. Cancellation of the conclusion establishing the penalizing legal interest established without the communication of the calculation method.
3. Cancellation of the conclusion establishing the enforcement expenses in the amount of RON 2,364.95.
4. Cancellation of the conclusion of the garnishment notice dated 29.12.2020 issued by the Bailiff's Office Petcu Alexandru in the enforcement file no. 1149/2020.
5. Provisional suspension of the foreclosure for the amounts due as legal interest, penalizing in the amount of RON 5,625.60.
6. Invalidation of the foreclosure for the amounts resulted from the calculation with the penalizing legal interest through an accounting expertise.
7. Invalidation of the enforcement for the amounts representing unjustified enforcement expenses.
8. Legal expenses.

Clarifications: By the sentence 524/24.02.2022, the Campina Courthouse rejects the request to suspend the foreclosure as being devoid of purpose. It partially admits the enforcement appeal filed by the appellant Dobrogeanu Dumitru in contradiction with the respondent SC Conpet SA. It partially cancels the enforcement documents drawn up in the enforcement file no. 1149/2020 of the Bailiff's Office Petcu Alexandru regarding the amount of RON 857.81. It dismisses the appeal as unfounded. It dismisses the

head of the claim for the invalidation of enforcement as unfounded; It obliges the respondent to pay the legal expenses in the amount of 500 representing expert fee Based on art. 45 paragraph 1 letter f of GEO 80/2013 it orders the refund of the stamp duty in the amount of RON 65 upon the finality of this decision. After the final decision, a copy will be communicated to the Bailiff's Office Petcu Alexandru. With appeal within 10 days from the communication.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline:

12. File no. 3377/105/2021 - Prahova Court

Parties: Conpet SA - defendant

Dumitrescu Andrei Sebastian - claimant

Subject matter: Dumitrescu Andrei Sebastian has filed a request for a summons requesting the court to issue a court decision in the sense of:

1. finding the absolute partial voidness of the A.G.E.A. Decision no. 1/10.03.2021 endorsed within Conpet SA, regarding art. 2;
2. finding of the absolute partial voidness of the A.G.E.A. Decision no. 2/11.03.2021 endorsed within Conpet SA, regarding art. 2;
3. Order Conpet SA to pay the legal expenses

Clarifications: By the **sentence no. 29/11.03.2022** The Prahova Court rejects the request. It admits the exception to the ineligibility of the connected claim, invoked by the defendant Ministry of Energy. It dismisses the connected claim as ineligible. It dismisses the main claim as unfounded. With appeal within 30 days from the communication.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline:

13. File 3531/105/2021 - Prahova Court

Parties: Conpet SA - defendant

Dumitrescu Andrei Sebastian - claimant

Subject matter: Dumitrescu Andrei Sebastian has filed a request for a summons requesting the court to issue a court decision in the sense of:

1. finding the incidence of the provisions of Law no. 137/2002 (art. 12, paragraph (5) and the following corroborated, in the absence of a provision contrary to the provisions of Law no. 31/1990) and not of Law no. 24/2017 regarding the increase of the share capital of Conpet SA with the value of the lands for which certificates of attestation of the private property right were issued in favor of Conpet S.A.

2. obliging the Romanian State Shareholder through the Ministry of Energy and the Ministry of Energy not to adopt a conduct through which to give effect to the provisions of Law no. 24/2017 on increasing the share capital by contribution in kind set forth in point 1, within the next meetings of A.G.E.A.

3. Order the defendants to pay the legal expenses of this trial.

Clarifications: By the Conclusion of 07.10.2021, the Court admits the connection request formulated by Conpet. It orders the connection of the file no. 3531/105/2021 to the file no.3377/105/2021.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline:

14. File 3214/95/2021 - Gorj Court

Parties: Conpet SA - defendant

POPESCU D. VASILE - claimant

Subject matter: Popescu Vasile requests through the court the recalculation of the pension and the issuance by CONPET of the necessary certificates.

Clarifications: on 17.02.2022 the court rejected the request. The court noted the waiver of the claimant regarding the lawsuit filed in contradiction with the defendant Conpet S.A. It admits the exception of the res judicata authority regarding the claims regarding the recalculation of the pension by capitalizing the certificate no. 639/16.12.2005, regarding the increase for overtime, as well as the capitalization of the certificate no. 75/01.02.2021, formulated in contradiction with the defendant Gorj County House of Pensions, it rejects these claims as ineligible. It rejects the subsequently amended and specified request for a summons, formulated in contradiction with the defendant Gorj County House of Pensions, regarding the rest of the claimant's claims, as unfounded. With appeal within 30 days from the communication.

Procedural status of the case: merits

Deadline:

15. File no. 13053/303/2020 - Bucharest District 6 Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA - respondent

Dobrogeanu Păun Ioan - appellant

Subject matter: Dobrogeanu Păun Ioan files an enforcement appeal against the foreclosure which is the subject of the enforcement file no. 16/2017 – the Bailiff's Office Răduță Nicoleta respectively the conclusion of 23.06.2021 and 25.06.2021 regarding the establishment of additional expenses, garnishment notice of 25.06.2021, address of seizure from 25.06.2021, garnishment notice of 25.06.2021. At the

deadline of 25.11.2021, pursuant to the provisions of art. 413 paragraph 1 point 1 of the CCP, the court decided to suspend the trial of the case until the final settlement of the file no. 17433/303/2020.

Clarifications: --

Procedural status of the case: merits

Deadline:

16. File 1926/223/2021 - Drăgășani Courthouse

Parties: Filotie Adrian - appellant

Conpet SA - respondent

Subject matter: Filotie Adrian filed an enforcement appeal against the Conclusion No. 157 of 23.07.2021 by which the Bailiff's Office Bălan Ovidiu ordered the debtor Filotie Adrian to pay the amount of RON 16552.06 representing the unpaid difference following the update of the debt with legal interest and the payment of the amount of 1800 representing enforcement expenses.

Clarifications:

Procedural status of the case: merits

Deadline: 20.04.2022

17. File 16204/302/2021 - Bucharest District 5 Courthouse

Parties: Dobrogeanu Păun Ioan - appellant

Conpet SA - respondent

Subject matter: Dobrogeanu Păun Ioan filed an enforcement appeal against the decisions of June 22, 2021, respectively June 23, 2021 issued in the enforcement file 10/2013 of the Bailiff's Office Răduță Nicoleta.

Clarifications: By the **Closing of the hearing on 19.11.2021**, the Bucharest District 5 Courthouse rejects the request for suspension of the foreclosure formulated by the appellant Dobrogeanu Păun Ioan, as unfounded. With an appeal within 5 days from the communication, for the request for suspension, which is to be submitted to the District 5 Courthouse.

Procedural status of the case: merits

Deadline: 18.03.2022

18. File 267/36/2021 - Constanța Court of Appeal

Parties: Safir Marius - appellant

Conpet SA - respondent

Subject matter: Safir Marius filed an enforcement appeal (Article 588 of the NCPP) against the Criminal Decision no. 368/P of 03/23/2018 ruled by the Constanța Court of Appeal - Criminal Section and for criminal cases with minors and family in the court file no. 15042/212/2015

Clarifications:

Procedural status of the case: merits

Deadline: 20.01.2022

19. File 32805/212/2021 – Constanta Courthouse

Parties: Safir Marius - appellant

Conpet SA - respondent

Subject matter: Enforcement appeal

Clarifications: the debtor Safir Marius filed an enforcement appeal against the enforcement documents drawn up by the Bailiff's Office Menaef Cristian, the enforcement file no. 51/2019.

Procedural status of the case: merits

Deadline: 19.05.2022

20. File 1621/105 / 2021- Prahova Court

Parties: Conpet SA - defendant

HABAU PPS PIPELINE SYSTEM SRL, INSPET S.A., REVICOND CANALTECH S.R.L., IAT ENGINEERING & DESIGN S.R.L., HABAU PPS PIPELINE SYSTEM SRL - INSPET S.A. - REVICOND CANALTECH SRL - IAT ENGINEERING & DESIGN SRL Association - claimants
CIS GAZ-HORIZONTAL France ASSOCIATION - intervener for the benefit of CONPET

Subject matter: The applicants claim:

1. Urgent suspension of the Procurement Procedure until the solution of the case; 2. Annulment of decision no. 1/24.03.2021 issued by the CONPET settlement commission regarding the appeal filed by the claimants within the procurement procedure; 3. Cancellation of the CONPET Communication no. 5691/16.02.2021, annulment of all previous and/or subsequent acts, incidents or related issues issued by CONPET regarding the declaration of the tender of the CIS GAZ - HORIZONTAL Association as admissible and winning (including the relevant sections of the Procurement Procedure report, of the assessment minutes, as well as any other communications sent for this purpose to the subscribed and/or the CIS_ HORIZONTAL Association); 4. CONPET's obligation to re-evaluate the tender of the CIS GAZ - HORIZONTAL Association; 5. Orders CONPET to pay the legal expenses.

The claimants' claims relate to the procurement procedure carried out by CONPET S.A. for the award of the contract having as subject matter “Replacement of wires connecting the Danube crossing C1-C2 and Borcea Branch C3-C4”, by which the tender submitted by the Association of the CIS - HORIZONTAL was designated the winner.

Clarifications: By the sentence no. 785/29.06.2021, the Court admitted the exception of ineligibility of heads 3-6 of the amended summons, by reference to the provisions of Law no. 554/2004 and rejects the heads of claim as inadmissible, rejected the exception of devoid of purpose of the head 4 of the modified summons, as unfounded. It rejected the exception of belatedly of the 5th head of point 1 of the modified summons, as unfounded. It rejected the exception of the prematurity of the end of head 5 point 2 of the request for summons, as unfounded. It admitted the exception of the belatedly of the modification of the request for summons invoked by the intervener SC CIS GAZ SA and finds that the claimants are deprived of the right to modify the request for summons by the hearing notes submitted at the trial dates of 21.05.2021 and 27.05.2021. It rejected the request for an amended summons, based on the provisions of the Civil Code, as unfounded. It granted the form of order sought by the intervener to order the claimants to pay the legal expenses. It ordered the claimants to pay the intervener the sum of EUR 59199.64 for legal expenses. With the right to appeal within 30 days from the communication. No appeal was filed.

In February 2022, the intervener CIS GAZ - HORIZONTAL Association filed a request for correction of the material error and a request for clarification of Sentence no. 785/29.06.2021 pronounced by the Prahova Court.

Clarifications: By the conclusion of 10.03.2022, the Court admits the request for correction of material omission formulated by the intervener SC CIZ GAZ SA. It orders the correction of the material omission in the recitals and in the operative part of the civil sentence no. 785/29.06.2021 pronounced by the Prahova Court - Second Civil Section, of administrative and fiscal contentious in the file no. 1621/105/2021, in the sense that the amount granted to the intervener as legal expenses representing attorney's fees is in the amount of RON 59199, 64. It admits the request for clarification regarding the meaning, scope and application of the operative part of the civil sentence no. 785/29.06.2021 pronounced by the Prahova Court - Second Civil Section, of administrative and fiscal contentious in the file no. 1621/105/2021. It clarifies the operative part of the civil sentence no. 785/29.06.2021 pronounced by the Prahova Court - Second Civil Section, of administrative and fiscal contentious in the file no. 1621/105/2021, in the sense that: It obliges the claimants HABAU PPS PIPELINE SYSTEM SRL, INSPET SA, REVICOND CANALTECH SRL, IAT ENGINEERING & DESIGN SRL and the ASSOCIATION HABAU PPS PIPELINE SRL-INSPET SA- REVICOND CANALTECH SRL-IAT ENGINEERING & DESIGN SRL to pay to the intervener the amount of RON 59199.64 as legal expenses. With the right to appeal within 30 days from the communication.

Procedural status of the case: merits

Deadline:

c) The list of files pending before Courts on 14.03.2022 in which the company CONPET S.A. has double status

1. File no. 378/105/2007 - Prahova Court

Parties: Conpet S.A. - claimant-defendant

Petroconduct S.A. – defendant - counterclaimant

Subject matter: Conpet S.A. requests the obligation of the defendant Petroconduct S.A. Ploiesti at:

- payment of the amount of RON 80,548.49 representing penalties for non-execution on time of the obligations assumed by contract no. L 45/18.03.2004 and the contract no. M 59/9.06.2004
 - delivery of the tubular material composed of China steel pipe in the quantity of 504 in the amount of EUR 21,344.4 and China steel pipe in the quantity of 96 m in the amount of EUR 4,366.08 or upon payment to our company of its equivalent value, i.e. the amount of RON 89,291 .50.
 - payment of the amount of RON 20,626 representing transport, loading, unloading expenses for the tubular material
 - payment of the legal expenses in the amount of RON 5,062.24, of which RON 5,057.24 judicial stamp duty and RON 5.00 judicial stamp as well as other legal expenses that we will incur in these proceedings.
- Petroconduct S.A. filed a counterclaim requesting the obligation of Conpet SA to pay the amount of RON 46,214.01, representing the equivalent value of the works executed by it based on the works execution contracts no. L 45/18.03.2004 and no. MST 09/09.06.2004, in favor of the beneficiary Conpet SA.
- Clarifications:** On 22.02.2010, based on art. 36 of Law no. 85/2006, the court ordered the suspension of the case.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: Suspended

2. File no. 1372/212/2017* - Constanța Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA – defendant - counterclaimant

Cruceanu Alin Florinel - claimant-defendant

Subject matter: Cruceanu Alin Florinel filed a request for a summons requesting the court to order:

- the obligation of Conpet SA to pay compensations equal to the market value of the land building with an area of 460 sq. m. located in Lazu commune, Luceafărului street no. 29, Constanța county and of the land

building with an area of 460 sq. m. located in Lazu commune, Luceafărului street no. 31, Constanța county, the equivalent value estimated at EUR 30,000, the equivalent in RON 134,700.

- the obligation of Conpet SA to pay the equivalent value of the lack of land use in the form of an annual rent for the last three years.

- the obligation of Conpet SA to pay the legal expenses of settling the present request.

The claimant subsequently set out his action on the second head of claim, claiming that the court should:

- order Conpet SA to pay the amount representing the non-use of the two land buildings for the period between 10.12.2015 and the date of finality of the decision by which the first head of the claim was admitted.

The lack of use was provisionally assessed for stamping in the amount of RON 5,000.

Conpet filed a counterclaim requesting:

- The obligation on the claimant to allow Conpet S.A. the exercise of the right of legal easement established by the provisions of art. 7 et seq. of Law no. 238/2004 on the two lands owned by the claimant Cruceanu Alin Florinel, located in Agigea commune, Lazu village, Luceafărului street no. 29 and 31, respectively, Constanța County. The exercise of the right of legal easement is to be done on a 2.4-meter-wide corridor located along the main crude oil transport pipeline Ø 20 " Constanța-Bărăganu for the purpose of permanent access to the pipeline in order to daily check the condition of the pipeline and execution of any repair works.

- Establishing the amount of the annual rent provided by law owed by us to the claimant in exchange for exercising the right of legal easement.

Conpet also filed an impleader request with the Ministry of Public Finance and the National Agency for Mineral Resources (N.A.M.R.) that if Conpet S.A. Ploiești will fall into claims regarding the claimants' claims made in the summons to compensate us with the amounts we will be obliged to pay to the claimants.

Clarifications: By the Civil Sentence no. 8561/14.07.2021 the Constanța Courthouse rejects, as unfounded, the pleas of the lack of passive procedural quality regarding the defendants CONPET SA, the ROMANIAN STATE THROUGH THE MINISTRY OF PUBLIC FINANCE, THE NATIONAL AGENCY FOR MINERAL RESOURCES and the MINISTRY OF ENERGY. It rejects as unfounded the pleas of the lack of passive procedural quality regarding the impleaders MINISTRY OF PUBLIC FINANCE, NATIONAL AGENCY FOR MINERAL RESOURCES. It admits the request formulated by the claimant CRUCEANU ALIN FLORINEL, against the defendants CONPET SA, the ROMANIAN STATE THROUGH THE MINISTRY OF PUBLIC FINANCE, THE NATIONAL AGENCY FOR MINERAL RESOURCES and the MINISTRY OF ENERGY. It obliges the defendants, jointly and severally, to pay to the claimant the amount of RON 184,700 representing the market value of the land building with an area of 460 sq m located in Lazu Commune, 29 Luceafărul Street, Constanța County and the market value of the land building with an area of 460 sq m located in Lazu Commune, 31 Luceafărul

Street, Constanța county. It obliges the defendants, jointly and severally, to pay to the claimant the amount of RON 22,164 representing the equivalent value of the lack of land use between 10.12.2015 -10.12.2018. It rejects, as unfounded, the request for impleader formulated by CONPET SA against the MINISTRY OF PUBLIC FINANCE. It rejects, as unfounded, the request for impleader made by CONPET SA against the NATIONAL AGENCY FOR MINERAL RESOURCES. It rejects, as unfounded, the counterclaim formulated by CONPET SA against CRUCEANU ALIN FLORINEL. It obliges the defendants jointly and severally to pay to the claimant the legal expenses in the amount of RON 8414.28 represented by the stamp duty and the expert's fee. With the right to appeal within 30 days from the communication.

Conpet, N.A.M.R., the Romanian State through the Ministry of Public Finance and Cruceanu Alin filed an appeal.

By the **Conclusion no. 1548/07.10.2021**, the Constanta Court admits the exception of the material procedural incompetence of the Civil Section I of the Constanta Court. It declines the competence to resolve the appeal in favor of the Second Civil Section of the Constanța Court. No appeal.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: 21.04.2022

3. File no. 18344/212/2017 - Constanța Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA – defendant - counterclaimant

Mitu Dumitru – claimant - defendant

Mitu Rodica – claimant - defendant

Subject matter: Obligation to do. Mitu Dumitru and Mitu Rodica file a summons requesting Conpet's obligation to pay compensations for the two plots of land with an area of 4,972 sq. m. and 4,977 sq. m. respectively located in Lazu village, Agigea commune, Constanța county affected by the route of some pipelines transporting petroleum products, as well as obliging the defendant to pay an annual rent for the lack of use on the land affected by the exercise of the right of legal easement , starting with 20.06.2014 and compensations in the form of an annual payment for affecting the use of part of the land on which the pipeline is not located, with the obligation to pay the legal expenses.

The claimants assessed the claims brought before the court in the amount of RON 10,000 to stamp the request, showing that after establishing the values by the expertise that they will administer in the case, to pay the difference of judicial fee.

Conpet filed a counterclaim requesting the court:

1. To order the claimants to allow Conpet S.A. the exercise of the right of legal easement established by the provisions of art. 7 et seq. of Law no. 238/2004 on the two plots of land owned by the claimants. The exercise of the right of legal easement is to be done on a 2.4-meter-wide corridor located along the main

crude oil transport pipeline F2 Ø 20" Constanța-Bărăganu for the purpose of permanent access to the pipeline in order to daily check the condition of the pipeline and execution of possible repair works.

2. To establish the amount of the annual rent provided by law due by Conpet to the claimants in exchange for exercising the right of legal easement.

Conpet also filed an impleader request with the Ministry of Public Finance and the National Agency for Mineral Resources (N.A.M.R.) that if Conpet S.A. Ploiești will fall into claims regarding the claimants' claims made in the summons to compensate us with the amounts we will be obliged to pay to the claimants.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: 30.03.2022

4. File no. 2323/120/2018 - Dâmbovița Court

Parties: Conpet SA - defendant - counterclaimant

Buzatu Florin - claimant - defendant

Subject matter: Buzatu Florin files a request for a summons requesting the court:

1. To oblige the defendant Conpet SA to pay the claimant the amount of EUR 150,000, payable at the NBR exchange rate on the day of payment (amount to be revalued on completion of the real estate assessment expertise report to be carried out in the probation case, to be resized and specified the value of the claims, corroborated with the appropriate adjustment of stamp duties), representing fair and equitable compensation for the damage suffered by restricting the possibility of exercising its property right over the land area of 5980 sq. m. located in the built-up area of Slobozia Moară locality, Dâmbovița county, with cadastral number 70618, registered in the land book 70618/ATU Slobozia Moară Dâmbovița county, on which are the underground gas pipelines and above-ground devices owned by the defendant, a land which cannot be used for building;

2. To oblige the defendant Conpet SA to pay a monthly indemnity of EUR 1,000 payable at the NBR exchange rate on the day of payment, starting with the date of the sentence, throughout the existence of underground pipelines and above-ground devices, buildings on the land owned by the claimant and to bear all the costs incurred by the claimant for the stages prior to the construction permit.

3. In the alternative, claims that the defendant should erect all the buildings built on the claimant's property, namely the gas pipelines and the above-ground devices, to restore the land to its original condition or to enable the claimant to carry out the obligation to do so, at the defendant's sole expense.

4. To order the defendant Conpet SA to pay the legal expenses incurred in the present legal proceedings.

Conpet filed a counterclaim requesting the obligation of the claimants to allow our company to exercise the right of legal easement established by the provisions of art. 7 et seq. of Law no. 238/2004 and the

establishment of the amount of the annual rent provided by law due by Conpet to the claimants in exchange for exercising the right of legal easement.

Clarifications: By the **sentence no. 602/10.03.2022** The Dâmbovița Court rejects the exception of the lack of passive procedural capacity of the defendant-claimant Conpet S.A. as regards the claims for compensation and indemnity raised by it. It admits the claimant Conpet S.A.'s lack of passive procedural capacity. As regards the head of claim concerning the obligation to raise the above-ground pipes and devices and to restore the land to its original condition or to empower the applicant to do so, invoked by it and consequently rejects that head of claim in contradiction with the defendant-claimant Conpet S.A. as being formulated against a person without passive procedural capacity. It admits in part the summons. It admits in part the counterclaim. It obliges the defendant claimant to demolish the construction without authorization (foundation) existing on the land belonging to him, with no. cadastral 70618, registered in the land book no. 70618 of the Slobozia Moară ATU, Dâmbovița County, identified according to the sketch drawn up in the expertise report in the topography specialty dated 04.11.2019 (f. 300 vol. I). It establishes the amount of the annual rent due by the claimant defendant to the defendant claimant for exercising the right of legal easement on the land with an area of 890 sqm related to crude oil pipelines O 143/4 and O 123/4, identified according to the sketch prepared in the expertise report of 02.11.2019 (f. 357 vol. I), at the amount of RON 284.8 and obliges the claimant defendant to pay it to the defendant claimant starting with the date of the finality of the present sentence. It dismisses the remainder of the action and the counterclaim. It rejects the request to show the right holder formulated by the claimant defendant in contradiction with the Romanian State, through the Ministry of Public Finance and the National Agency for Mineral Resources. It orders the refund to the claimant defendant of the stamp duty paid and not owed in the amount of RON 1,143.4. It compensates the expenses up to the amount of RON 1,172.7 and obliges the defendant claimant to pay to the claimant defendant the uncompensated difference of RON 836 legal expenses.

Procedural status of the case: --

Deadline: --

5. File no. 1122/284/2019 - Dâmbovița Court

Parties: Sotir Mădălina Rebeca - claimant

Conpet SA - defendant

Subject matter: Sotir Mădălina Rebeca filed a summons requesting the court to oblige Conpet SA to compensate her with the amount of EUR 25,000 for the land area of 523 sq. m., construction yard category, land surface of the claimant located in Cojasca commune, Dâmbovița County having the cadastral no. 72587, undercrossed by the Conpet pipeline.

Conpet SA filed a counterclaim requesting:

1. Obligation on the claimant Sotir Mădălina Rebeca to allow CONPET S.A. the exercise of the right of legal easement established by the provisions of art. 7 et seq. of Law no. 238/2004 on the land owned by her, located in Cojasca commune, Cojasca village, Dâmbovița County. The exercise of the right of legal easement is to be carried out on a 2.4-meter-wide corridor located along the main crude oil transport pipeline Ø 14^{3/4}" Cartojani-Teleajen Refinery (Lukoil) for the purpose of permanent access to the pipeline in order to daily checking of the condition of the pipeline and execution of any repair works. The exercise of the right of easement is to be carried out throughout the existence of the pipeline located on the claimant's land but not later than the date of termination of the oil concession agreement concluded by us, the undersigned CONPET S.A., with the Romanian State.

2. Establishing the amount of the annual rent provided by law due by us, the subscribed CONPET SA, to the claimant Sotir Mădălina Rebeca in exchange for exercising the right of legal easement starting with the date of finality of the decision pronounced in the present case, rent consisting in the equivalent value of the annual use of the land under the exercise of easement.

Clarifications: By the **Conclusion of 25.09.2019**, the Răcari Courthouse rejected the plea of the lack of passive procedural capacity of Conpet SA. It admitted the plea of the lack of passive procedural capacity of the National Agency for Mineral Resources (N.A.M.R.).

By the **Decision no. 1734/03.12.2021** The Răcari Courthouse partially admitted the request for summons filed by the claimant-defendant Sotir Mădălina Rebeca, in contradiction with the defendant-claimant CONPET SA, summoned as impleader being the Romanian State, through the Ministry of Public Finance, and the National Agency for Mineral Resources. It obliges the defendant-claimant to pay to the claimant-defendant the amount of 3504 euros representing compensations for the lack of use of the land building, with an area of 523 sqm, registered in the land book no. 72587 of Cojasca locality, Dâmbovița County. It admits the request for impleader of the Romanian State, through the Ministry of Public Finance, formulated by the defendant-claimant. It obliges the impleaded person to pay to the defendant-claimant the amount of 3504 euros representing compensations due by the defendant-claimant to the claimant-defendant for the lack of use of the land, of 523 sqm, registered in the land book no. 72587 of Cojasca locality, Dâmbovița County. It admits the counterclaim filed by the claimant defendant Conpet S.A. in contradiction with the claimant-defendant Sotir Mădălina Rebeca. It obliges the claimant-defendant to allow the access of CONPET SA, on the land owned by the former, located in Cojasca commune, Cojasca village, Dâmbovița county, on a 2.4 meter wide corridor, located along the main crude oil transport pipeline with a diameter of 14^{3/4} ", Cartojani-Teleajen Refinery (Lukoil), for the purposes provided by the provisions of art. 7 et seq. of Law no. 238/2004, throughout the existence of the pipeline located on the claimant's land, but not later than the date of termination of the oil concession agreement concluded by CONPET S.A. with the Romanian State. It obliges the defendant-claimant to pay to the claimant-defendant an annual rent of 14.5

Euro, in exchange for the right of access on the land, from the date of finality of the decision until the date of termination of the concession agreement. It obliges the defendant-claimant to pay to the claimant-defendant the amount of RON 3,855 representing legal expenses. It obliges the claimant-defendant to pay to the defendant-claimant the amount of RON 2,020 representing legal expenses. It compensates the legal expenses owed to each other by the defendant-claimant and the claimant-defendant, and in the end the defendant-claimant will pay the claimant-defendant the amount of RON 1,835. With appeal within 30 days of communication.

Sotir Mădălina Rebeca and the Ministry of Public Finance filed an appeal against this decision.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: 13,04,2022

6. File no. 18792/281/2019 - Prahova Court

Parties: Conpet S.A. - claimant

Andex Import - Export S.R.L. - Defendant

Subject matter: Conpet is suing Andex Import - Export S.R.L. so that by the decision that the court will pronounce, it will order:

1. The obligation of the defendant Andex Import - Export S.R.L. upon payment to Conpet S.A. of the amount of RON 46,176.27 representing damages in the amount of 20% due according to art. 16 of the service contract no. S-CA 97/15.03.2018, following the unilateral termination of the contract due to the fault of the defendant.
2. The obligation of the defendant Andex Import - Export S.R.L. upon payment to Conpet S.A. of the penalties in the amount of RON 2,123.62, due by the defendant in accordance with the provisions of art. 15.1 (1) and art. 15.2 of the contract for the non-execution in whole or in part of the collection, transport and temporary storage services from the locations of Conpet S.A. sent through orders between August 2018 and December 2019, according to Annex 2.
3. The obligation of the defendant to pay the legal expenses.

Andex Import - Export S.R.L. filed a counterclaim requesting the obligation of Conpet S.A. to:

1. the refund of the amount of RON 22,704.89, representing a guarantee of good execution constituted by SGB and executed abusively by Conpet on the date of notification of the unilateral termination.
2. the payment of the amount of RON 10,000 (plus VAT) representing the value of the services provided under the contract and not paid by Conpet S.A.
3. the payment of the amount of RON 25,000 as damages, representing the damage caused by Andex Import-Export S.R.L. (unrealized benefit) because of the abusive termination of contract no. S-CA 97/15.03.2018.

Clarifications: By the **Sentence no. 7008/06.11.2020** the Ploiești Courthouse admits the main request, obliges the defendant to pay to the claimant the amount of RON 46,176.27, representing damages and to pay the amount of RON 2,123.62, representing delay penalties. It dismisses the counterclaim as unfounded. It obliges the defendant to pay to the claimant the amount of RON 2,143.29 representing legal expenses, respectively equivalent to the judicial stamp duty. The decision was appealed by Andex Import - Export S.R.L.

By **Decision no. 1863/30.06.2021** The Prahova Court rejected the appeal, as unfounded. With the right to appeal within 30 days from the communication, which will be submitted to the Prahova Court. So far, the appeal of Andex Import - Export S.R.L. has not been registered with the courts.

Procedural status of the case: --

Deadline: --

7. File no. 5022/270/2020 - Onești Courthouse

Parties: Bacău Regional Water Company S.A. - Claimant

Radu Marian - Defendant counterclaimant

SC E.On Energie România S.A.-Counterclaimant

SC Delgazgrid S.A. - Counterclaimant

SC Transgaz S.A. - Counterclaimant

SC Conpet S.A. - Defendant and counterclaimant

General Secretariat of the Government of Romania - Counterclaimant

Romanian State by the Ministry of Public Finance - Counterclaimant

Subject matter: We render the subject of the counterclaim filed by the defendant Radu Marian

By counterclaim, the defendant Radu Marian requested the obligation of the claimant and the other counterclaimants, including our undersigned Conpet S.A., to:

1. *"the prior purchase of the free right of way, because we had no advantage, no favor, but only suffered, caused us only inconvenience and damage, although all were obliged not to aggravate the situation of the servient tenement, not to cause damage to the owner of the servient tenement by the exercise of the easement, but on the contrary to contribute to its preservation and even to improve it, they were obliged to conclude an agreement or expropriation for all the real estate mentioned, taking into account that all the property mentioned is in the aisle of construction which is of public utility established in accordance with the laws mentioned, the constructions were carried out with their consent, but after a fair and prior compensation inclusive with the payment of claims, compensations invoked until the moment of effective expropriation or real estate exchange of value equal to the claimed amount, if it suits us, or even other options if they are*

convenient for us... we formulate a counterclaim against the claimant and we sue the claimant and the following defendants in tort proceedings. ”

2. *“The obligation of the defendants to move the transport networks located on its property, to another location, at a distance of min. 20m from the limits of our property, at their expense and until the network is moved, demand the immediate cessation of transport on these infrastructures until they agree to pay the damages and will guarantee that in case of damage resulting in material or human damage, they will accept our claims and will compensate us immediately without further comments.”*

3. *“The obligation of the defendants to bring the land in the state it was in before, the dismantling of the manifold illegally placed by Transgaz”.*

4. *“The obligation of the parties jointly and severally to pay compensation of EUR 1,000,000 (one million) in this case for infringement of fundamental rights and freedoms, for all criminal activity carried out to date and for all material and non-material damage caused, plus a monthly fee of EUR 5,000 (five thousand) and the tax to be paid to the state for this fee for each defendant, injured party, respectively for me and my wife, in the amount valid at the time of filing this counterclaim, summons in tort proceedings, at the Onești Courthouse, at the Bacău Court, for every month since 1990 when we became owners of the first plot of land and the claimant and the other defendants transport on these transport networks, illegally located on our property until present, a value that in the future requires to have a periodic indexation ex officio, equal to the coefficient of the minimum wage, as a reference index and to be transmitted to our descendants who will own these goods (buildings, land, everything on them, etc.) after our death, as long as these transport networks exist.”*

5. *“The obligation of the defendants that in case of damages resulting in material, moral or human damages to compensate us in advance for the repair of damages, both for damages caused by failures and for damages caused during the repair of failures. We also claim compensation for damages caused by previous damages and their repair, as well as prior compensation for damages caused by current damages and those caused by their repair.”*

6. *“The obligation of the claimant and the defendants to pay the legal expenses or, in the absence of such legal possibility, pursuant to Article 90 (1) and (2) (a) (b) and (c) and paragraph 3 of the Code of Civil Procedure, I request legal assistance under the conditions of the special law on public legal aid, namely the granting of exemptions both for the payment of this stamp duty and any fees during the entire case until the payment of damages, because we have no material possibilities to support this civil case without endangering our own livelihood and that of our family.”*

Conpet filed a counterclaim in this case, requesting the court:

1. *To order the claimants Radu Marian and Radu Elena to allow CONPET S.A. the exercise of the right of legal easement established by the provisions of art. 7 et seq. of Law no. 238/2004 on the arable land outside*

the town, their property, located in the city of Tg. Ocna, Progresului street, no. 6, Bacău County. The exercise of the right of legal easement is to be done on a corridor 2.4 meters wide located along the crude oil transport pipeline Ø 10^{3/4}" Vermești - Tg. Ocna, for the purpose of permanent access to the pipeline to daily check the condition of the pipeline and the execution of any repair works. The exercise of the right of easement is to be carried out throughout the existence of the pipeline located on the claimants' land but not later than the date of termination of the oil concession agreement concluded by us, signed by CONPET S.A., with the Romanian State.

2. To establish the amount of the annual rent provided by law due by us, subscribed by CONPET SA, to the claimants Radu Marian and Radu Elena in exchange for exercising the right of legal easement starting with the date of finality of the sentence in this case, rent consisting of the annual use for the land affected by the exercise of the easement.

At the same time, Conpet also formulated in the case a Request to show the holder of the right by which I indicated as holder of the property right over the crude oil transport pipeline Ø 10 3 / 4" Vermești - Tg. Ocna with inventory number 120036/P.I.F. 1952 located on the arable land outside the town of the claimants Radu Marian and Radu Elena located in the city of Tg. Ocna, Progresului street, no. 6, Bacău County, Romanian State represented by: Ministry of Public Finance, headquartered in Bucharest, Apolodor Str., no.17, District 5, and the National Agency for Mineral Resources, headquartered in Bucharest, Dacia Boulevard, no. 59, district 1.

Clarifications: By the **Conclusion of 03.11.2021**, the Onești Courthouse admitted the exception of the inadmissibility of the counterclaim formulated by the defendant Radu marian in contradiction with the defendants E.On Energie România SA, Delgazgrid SA, Transgaz SA, Conpet SA, the General Secretariat of the Romanian Government and the Romanian State through the Ministry Public Finance, motivated by the fact that the conditions of art. 209 para.1 of the Code of Civil Procedure, and will reject the counterclaim regarding these defendants, motivated by the fact that the claims of the defendant Radu Marian in contradiction with these 6 defendants do not derive from the same legal relationship and are not closely related to the claim brought before the court by the lawsuit. However, the 6 defendants will also appear in the Ecris program on the summons. The court finds that this trial will be between CRAB Bacău and Radu Marian - the main claim and the counterclaim. All the exceptions and requests invoked by the 6 defendants will no longer be questioned, compared to the solution of admitting the exception of inadmissibility of the counterclaim in contradiction with these defendants.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: Sentence postponed until 16.03.2022

8. File no. 1657/91/2020* - Vrancea Court

Parties: Vasile Maria Ilaria - at S.C.P.A. Buruian, Caracaș and Associates - Claimant

Dragu Georgeta - the S.C.P.A. Buruian, Caracaș and Associates - Claimant

The company Conpet SA Ploiești - Defendant

Romanian State - through the Ministry of Public Finance - Defendant

National Agency for Mineral Resources - Defendant

Subject matter: By their summons, the claimants Vasile Maria-Ilaria and Dragu Georgeta requested to the court (we copy the petition for the summons) to:

A. In particular, order the defendant to pay an annual rent of EUR 496.64 for the protection area of the pipeline belonging to the defendant and which crosses the building privately owned by the undersigned, as of the date of registration of this summons.

B. In particular, order the defendant to pay a lump sum of EUR 508,080 in respect of the attribution of the use of the property according to its intended purpose, namely that the undersigned may not build buildings on the privately owned building.

C. In particular, order the defendant to pay legal interest on the annual rent provided for in point A, from the date of registration of the present summons.

D. In particular, order the defendant to pay legal interest for the lump sum compensation provided for in point B, from the date of registration of the present summons.

E. In the alternative, we request you to order the other two defendants to pay the amounts indicated in the preceding paragraphs. '

Conpet filed a counterclaim in this case, requesting the court:

1. To order the applicants Vasile Maria - Ilaria, Dragu Georgeta and Dragu Maria to allow CONPET S.A. the exercise of the right of legal easement established by the provisions of art. 7 et seq. of Law no. 238/2004 on the land owned by them, located in Focșani city, Vrancea County. The exercise of the right of legal easement is to be done on a 2.4-meter-wide corridor located along the crude oil transport pipeline Ø 20" Bărăganu - Borzești/Rafo Onești, for the purpose of permanent access to the pipeline in order to daily check the condition of the pipeline and the execution of accidental and planned repair works. The exercise of the right of easement is to be carried out throughout the existence of the pipeline located on the claimants' land but not later than the date of termination of the oil concession agreement concluded by us, the undersigned CONPET S.A., with the Romanian State.

2. To establish the amount of the annual rent provided by law due by us, the undersigned CONPET SA, to the claimants Vasile Maria - Ilaria, Dragu Georgeta and Dragu Maria in exchange for exercising the right of legal easement, rent consisting in the equivalent value of the annual land use affected by the exercise of the legal easement.

Clarifications: By the **Conclusion no. 71/09.03.2021** the competence to settle the case is declined in favor of the Second Civil Section of Administrative and Fiscal of the Vrancea Court. No appeal. Thus, the file no. 1657/91/2020* has been created.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: 19.04.2022

9. File no. 220/262/2017 * - Moreni Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA - defendant

Chivu Ion - claimant

Subject matter: Chivu Ion filed a request for a summons requesting the court to order:

- obliging Conpet SA to move the oil pipeline that crosses the land owned by him, with an area of 1,753 sq. m. located in the commune of Ocnîța T14, P114.
- establishing the access location with the obligation of Conpet SA to pay an afferent annual rent both for the access road and for the pipeline that causes damage to the land.
- compensations for the land affected by the pipeline.

The claimant assessed his claims provisionally at the amount of RON 45,540/year x 3 years (RON 136,620).

Conpet filed a counterclaim requesting the court to:

- Order the claimant CHIVU ION to completely dismantle the existing construction (concrete foundation) located on the crude oil pipeline Ø 6^{5/8"} Ochiuri - Moreni, which under-crosses the land owned by him, located in Ocnîța, T 14, p114, cadastral no. 159 Land book no. 70441 Dâmbovița county. This construction was carried out without authorization, and Ocnîța City Hall ordered the works to be stopped.
- To oblige the claimant to allow the company CONPET SA to exercise the right of legal easement established by the provisions of art. 7 et seq. of Law no. 238/2004 on the land owned by the claimant CHIVU ION located in Ocnîța commune, T 14, p114, cadastral no. 159 Land book no. 70441 Dâmbovița County. The exercise of the right of legal easement is to be carried out on a 2.4 meter wide corridor located along the crude oil transport pipeline Ø 6^{5/8"} Ochiuri - Moreni that under-crosses the claimant's land, in order to have permanent access to the pipeline in order to daily check the condition of the pipe and perform any repair works.
- To establish the amount of the annual rent provided by law due by us to the claimant in exchange for exercising the right of legal easement, starting with the date of finality of the decision by which the claimant is obliged to allow CONPET SA to exercise the right of legal easement.

Conpet filed a request to show the owner of the property right over the transport pipeline that under-crosses the land owned by the claimant Chivu, introducing the Romanian state in question through the Ministry of Finance and NAMR.

Conpet filed a request to increase the amount, requesting the court to oblige the claimants to demolish the constructions (concrete platforms) built in the protection and safety area of the pipeline.

Clarifications: By the **sentence no. 1000/05.11.2019** the Moreni Courthouse partially admits the main request. It admits in part the counterclaim. The decision was appealed by Conpet SA and Chivu Ion.

By the **Decision no. 223/02.06.2020**, the Dâmbovița Court rejects the appeal filed by the Romanian State through the Ministry of Public Finance-General Regional Directorate of Public Finance Ploiești against the Conclusion of 05.04.2019. It admits the appeals exercised against the civil sentence no. 1000/05.11.2019 pronounced by the Moreni Courthouse in the file no. 1220/262/2017. It annuls the sentence under appeal and remits the case for retrial to the first instance.

Procedural status of the case: Merits- retrial

Deadline: 24.03.2022

10. File no. 32294/299/2020 - Bucharest District 1 Courthouse

Parties: Conpet SA - defendant - counterclaimant

Bob Mihăiță - claimant-defendant

NAMR - defendant

Subject matter: Bob Mihăiță files a summons requesting the court to order:

1. The obligation of Conpet SA and NAMR to move the crude oil pipeline crossing the land owned by the claimant located in Cernavoda, plot 1, plot A6/2, Constanța county.
2. The establishing the equivalent value of the lack of use, for a period of three years, prior to the formulation of the summons, amounting to RON 150,000.
3. In the alternative, the obligation of Conpet SA to pay an annual rent for the use of the land owned by the claimant, from the date of filing the summons, in the amount of EUR 5/sq. m./year for the area of 14,645 sq. m., land affected by the protection and safety area, during the existence of legal easement.
4. The payment of legal expenses.

By the request for clarification of the summons, the claimant stated the following:

„.....

3. For the method of calculating the amount of RON 150,000, I indicated the value of a rent, estimated at RON 4,166/month, for a period of three years prior to the formulation of the summons, which the defendants in the present case should pay as compensation for the damage suffered as a result of the impossibility of capitalizing the land crossed by their pipelines

4. We request, in the alternative, that the defendant SC Conpet SA be obliged to pay an annual rent for the use of the land personally owned by the undersigned, from the date of filing the summons, during the existence of the legal easement, until the lifting of the pipelines on the land of the undersigned.

5. The value of the 3rd head of the claim is RON 10,000, estimated value, following that, after performing the report of forensic technical expertise, the real estate assessment specialty, performed in question to stamp according to the value of the land related to the protection and safety area of the construction.

6. I request that the court oblige the defendant Conpet SA to pay all the compensations requested in the summons, and the defendant the National Agency for Mineral Resources to be obliged to move/decommission the oil pipelines on the land owned by the undersigned.

..... “

Conpet filed a counterclaim seeking the following from the court:

1. To order the claimant to allow CONPET S.A. the exercise of the right of legal easement established by the provisions of art. 7 et seq. of Law no. 238/2004 on the land owned by the claimant Bob Mihăiță located in Cernavoda, plot 1, plot A6/2, Constanța County. The exercise of the right of legal easement is to be carried out on a 2.4 meter wide corridor located along each of the main crude oil transport pipelines that under-cross the claimant's land, respectively the F1 main crude oil transport pipeline Ø 14¾ ", F2 main crude oil transport pipeline Ø 20 ", and Pipeline 28 Constanța - Bărăganu of crude oil transport Ø 28", for the purpose of permanent access to pipelines for daily verification of the condition of pipelines and execution of possible repair works. The exercise of the right of legal easement is to be carried out throughout the existence of the pipelines located on the land of the claimant, but no later than the date of termination of the oil concession agreement concluded by us, the undersigned Conpet SA, with the Romanian State.
2. To establish the amount of the annual rent provided by law due by us, the undersigned, to the claimant in exchange for exercising the right of legal easement.

Clarifications: By the **Conclusion of 06.08.2021**, the Bucharest District 1 Courthouse rejects the exception of the belatedly of the request for impleader and of the request for showing the right holder, invoked by the National Agency for Mineral Resources, as unfounded. It rejects the exception of the lack of representative capacity of the National Agency for Mineral Resources, invoked ex officio, as unfounded. It rejects the request for an impleader from the Romanian State, through the Ministry of Finance and the National Agency for Mineral Resources, as inadmissible in principle. It rejects the request to show the right holder of the Romanian State, through the Ministry of Finance and the National Agency for Mineral Resources, as inadmissible in principle. The conclusion can be appealed with the merits.

Procedural status of the case: Merits

Deadline: 19.04.2022

HEAD OF LEGAL SERVICE

Legal Adviser Cornel BĂNICĂ

"I certify the reality and accuracy of the information"

HEAD OF LITIGATION OFFICE

Legal Adviser Valentin MARCU

Activity Coordinator Irinel GEANTĂ

Activity Coordinator Ana PÎRVU

Legal Adviser Octavian TACHE

Legal Adviser Cătălina DĂU