

**List of case files pending with the law courts on December 31, 2015 in which
CONPET S.A. is a defendant**

1. Case file no. 2785/105/2008 – regional court of Ploiesti

Parties: Edizol S.A. – plaintiff

Conpet S.A. – defendant

Object: Edizol S.A. requests Conpet S.A. to be forced to pay an annual rent, corresponding to the last 3 years, because of the defendant exercising the easement right on the land property of the plaintiff with a total area of 6,924 square meters within the premises of Petrobrazi, Brazi commune, Prahova County, generated by the existence of two pipes which belong to the defendant. The claims of the plaintiff were temporarily quantified to the amount of RON 57,024. Based on the real estate property appraisal study, the plaintiff quantified its claims to the amount of RON 95,572 and RON 31.824 a year, and requested Conpet to be obligated to pay these amounts.

Specifications: By judgment no. 16226 / November 28, 2014, the Regional Court of Ploiesti rejects the absence of the passive judicial status of defendant SC Conpet SA invoked by the latter, as ungrounded. The court approves the exception of the absence of the passive judicial status of the defendant Romanian State by the Ministry of Public Finance, invoked by the latter. The court rejects the action formulated by plaintiff SC Edizol SA against the Romanian State by the Ministry of Public Finance as formulated against an entity without passive judicial status. It approves the exception of the passive judicial status of defendant D.G.F.P. Prahova, invoked by the latter. It rejects the action formulated by plaintiff D.G.F.P. Prahova as formulated against an entity without passive judicial status. It rejects the exception of the passive judicial status absence of defendant A.N.R.M. invoked by the latter as ungrounded. It rejects the action as ungrounded. It obligates the plaintiff SC Edizol SA to pay to defendant Conpet SA trial expenses of RON 2,100 representing the expert's fee. The judgment can be appealed after notification.

Procedural status: --

Deadline: --

2. Case file no. 3715/105/2007 – Prahova Local Court

Parties: Fondul Proprietatea S.A. – plaintiff

Conpet S.A. – defendant

Object: Fondul Proprietatea S.A. has filed a lawsuit and has requested:

- declaring the nullity of art. 4 of the Resolution of General Assembly of Shareholders no. 2 / April 25, 2007

- maintaining at the Trade Register Office the judgment which will be ruled in this case, and removing from the trade register the mentions, if any, based on the resolution of the General Assembly of Shareholders, whose nullity we request.

- obligating the defendant to pay trial expenses

Specifications: Suspended based on the provisions of art.244 par. 1 of the Civil Procedure Code

Procedural status: First instance

3. Case file no. 33317/3/2007– Bucharest Local Court

Case file no. 5555/2/2014 (old format number 2192/2014) – Bucharest Court of Appeal

Parties: A.V.A.S. – plaintiff

Conpet S.A., Fondul Proprietatea S.A., Regisco S.A., National Securities Commission – defendants

Object: A.V.A.S. formulates a security claim action for 524,366 shares from the share capital of Conpet S.A. against Fondul Proprietatea S.A., Registrul Independent Regisco S.A., National Securities Commission, Conpet S.A., requesting the following:

- Obligating the defendant Fondul Proprietatea S.A. to transfer in full ownership and possession to the State Asset Capitalization Authority 524,366 shares from the share capital of Conpet S.A.
- Obligating defendants Regisco, C.N.V.M. and Conpet S.A. to modify the number of shares in the security registers.

Specifications: Between March and November 2008 file no. 33317/3/2007 was suspended, and the court stated that its settlement depends on the resolution of the aspects related to the territorial competence of the court regarding file no. 43918/3/2007.

On May 13, 2009, in file no. 33317/3/2007, the Bucharest Local Court approved the exception of lis pendens by deciding to reunite and judge together the two files on the docket of this court.

By judgment no. 3307 / March 23, 2011, the Bucharest Local Court rejects the case against CNVM as formulated against an entity without passive judicial status. It rejects the request to obligate the defendant Fondul Proprietatea SA to transfer in full ownership 524,366 shares, finding res judicata. It rejects the request to modify the number of shares in the security registers as without scope. The judgment was appealed by OPSPI and MECMA. By judgment no. 460 / October 20, 2011 it rejects the appeals, as ungrounded. A recourse was filed against the judgment by OPSPI and MECMA.

By judgment no. 2820 / May 23, 2013 ICCJ rejects the exception of the nullity declared by MECMA, currently the Ministry of Economy, invoked by appellee CNVM. It approves the recourses declared by OPSPI and MECMA, currently the Ministry of Economy, against judgment nr. 460 of October 20, 2011 of the Bucharest Court of Appeal – Civil Section VI, which it modifies, namely it approves the appeals declared by OPSPI and MECMA against the authentication of February 23, 2011 and commercial judgment no. 3307 of March 23, 2011 of Bucharest Court of Law – Commercial Section VI. It terminates the authentication and in part the judgment and sends the case for retrial to the same court. It maintains the ruling from the judgment on approving the exception of the passive judicial status of CNVM (currently the Financial Supervision Authority) and rejecting the case towards it.

By judgment no. 1296 / March 19, 2014 the Bucharest Court of Law rejects the request as ungrounded. The judgment was appealed by MECMA.

By judgment no. 118 / January 30, 2015 the Bucharest Court of Appeal approves the appeal formulated by the appellants – plaintiffs DEPARTMENT FOR ENERGY WITHIN THE MINISTRY OF ECONOMY and MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AS SUCCESSOR IN RIGHTS OF THE MINISTRY OF ECONOMY, TRADE AND BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT against Civil Judgment no. 1296 of March 19, 2014 ruled by the Bucharest Local Court – Civil Section VI in file no. 33317/3/2007 against appellees-defendants FONDUL PROPRIETATEA SA, DEPOZITARUL CENTRAL SA, CONPET SA. It changes its ruling as follows: It rejects the exceptions as ungrounded. It approves the case formulated by the appellants-plaintiffs DEPARTMENT FOR ENERGY WITHIN THE MINISTRY OF ECONOMY and MINISTRY OF ECONOMY AS SUCCESSOR IN RIGHTS OF THE MINISTRY OF ECONOMY, TRADE AND BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT against defendant FONDUL PROPRIETATEA SA. It finds the ownership rights of plaintiff MINISTRY OF ECONOMY over 524,366 shares of CONPET SA. It obligates the defendants to make the necessary endeavors for the registration in the shareholders' register. DEPARTMENT FOR ENERGY WITHIN THE MINISTRY OF ECONOMY and FONDUL PROPRIETATEA SA have formulated a recourse.

Procedural status: Recourse

Deadline: February 02, 2016

4. Case file nr. 43918/3/2007 – Bucharest Court of Law

Parties: A.V.A.S. – plaintiff

Conpet S.A., Fondul Proprietatea S.A., Regisco S.A., Comisia Nationala a Valorilor Mobiliare – defendants

Object: security claim – transfer to Fondul Proprietatea S.A. in full ownership and possession of 524366 shares from the share capital of Conpet S.A. and modifying the number of shares in the security registers.

Specifications: Between March and November 2008, file no. 33317/3/2007 was suspended, and the court found that its settlement depended on the resolution of aspects related to the territorial competence of the court for file no. 43918/3/2007.

On May 13, 2009, in file no. 33317/3/2007, the Bucharest Court of Law approved the exception of lis pendens and decided to reunite and rule together the two files from the docket of this court.

Procedural status: First instance

5. Case file no. 8296/281/2007 – Ploiesti Regional Court

Parties: Cornea Rodica Aurora – plaintiff

Conpet S.A., Petrotrans S.A., Regionala Transgaz Bucharest, Ministry of Finance – defendants

Object: Cornea Rodica Aurora requested the joint obligation of the defendants to pay civil compensations of EUR 74,000 representing the damage caused by the presence of some pipes which belong to the defendants in the basement owned by the plaintiff and to pay civil compensations temporarily assessed at RON 10,000 for February 2004 – February 2006 following the use of some pipes which crossed the property of the plaintiff.

Specifications: The case is suspended based on art. 36 from Law no. 85/2006.

Procedural status: First instance

6. Case file no. 8297/281/2007 – Ploiesti Regional Court

Parties: Rusu Mihaela – plaintiff

Conpet S.A., Petrotrans S.A., Regionala Transgaz Bucharest, Ministry of Finance – defendants

Object: Rusu Mihaela requested the joint obligation of the defendants to pay civil compensations of EUR 74,000 representing the damage caused by the presence of some pipes which belong to the defendants in the basement owned by the plaintiff.

Specifications: The case is suspended based on art. 36 from Law no. 85/2006.

Procedural status: First instance

7. Case file no. 2378/105/2009 – Prahova Local Court

Parties: Cojocaru Irinel (Bojboiu Marilena) - plaintiff

Conpet S.A. – defendant

Object: Bojboiu Marilena has filed a lawsuit and has requested the following:

- Obligating Conpet S.A. to transfer in full ownership and possession of the land with an area of 2500 square meters (**RON 551,518 RON – equivalent value of 2,500 square meters of land**) located in Ploiesti, Rezervoarelor Street, F.N., which is in its exclusive ownership;
- Setting boundaries between its property and neighboring properties;
- Trial expenses;

The plaintiff has requested that if the court does not approve the main claim, the court would rule as follows:

- Obligating Conpet to pay compensations corresponding to the replacement and circulation value of the land with an area of 2,500 square meters, and secondarily
- Instituting a superficies right in favor of the defendant and obligating it to monthly pay for the non-use of the aforementioned land, according to a rent to be determined on the circulation value of the land.

Note: Plaintiff Cojocaru Irinel bought the litigation rights from former plaintiff Bojboiu Marilena. Consequently, Cojocaru Irinel remained the plaintiff.

Specifications: By its authentication of May 24, 2011 the court suspended the case based on art. 244 par. 1 from the Civil Procedure Code. On October 22, 2014 the case was placed on the docket again.

Procedural status: February 17, 2016

8. Case file no. 6544/105/2011* - Prahova Local Court

Parties: Conpet S.A. - defendant

ICIM S.A. - defendant

E.T.H. Arhitectural Systeme S.R.L. by judicial liquidator Dascalescu & Co – plaintiff

Object: Claims. E.T.H. Arhitectural Systeme S.R.L. has filed a lawsuit and has requested the following:

1. Obligating defendant ICIM as a main claim, and if as general contractor it does not fully receive the equivalent value of the contract from beneficiary Conpet, obligating beneficiary Conpet to pay the amount of RON 325,378.20 representing the equivalent value of performed and unpaid works, and obligating the defendant to pay the penalties corresponding to this amount until full payment is made according to the contractual provisions;

2. obligating defendant ICIM as a main claim, and if as general contractor it does not fully receive the equivalent value of the contract from beneficiary Conpet, obligating the beneficiary to pay the delay penalties of 0.05% per day of delay, applied to the value of the unpaid invoices;

3. obligating defendant ICIM as a main claim, and if as general contractor it does not fully receive the equivalent value of the contract from beneficiary Conpet, obligating the beneficiary to pay the amount of **RON 696,577.60** according to fiscal invoice no. 0002 / June 27, 2011 representing the equivalent value of additionally performed works, the amount paid to date updated to the inflation rate, and obligating the defendant to pay the interests/penalties corresponding to this amount from the due date to the actual payment, calculated according to art.371 in.2 par.2 from the Civil Procedure Code;

4. obligating defendant ICIM to return the performance bond of RON 232,017.18 withheld according to the contract;

5. obligating the defendant as a main claim, and if as general contractor it does not fully receive the equivalent value of the contract from beneficiary Conpet, obligating the beneficiary to pay the amount of RON 124,828.9 penalties to the performance bond, namely:

a) interests/penalties for the amount set up as a performance bond, 50% of which had to be reimbursed, namely RON 105,166.90;

b) interests/penalties for the amount set up as a performance bond, 30% of which had to be reimbursed, namely RON 19,662 and trial expenses.

Procedural status: First instance

Deadline: January 20, 2016

9. Case file no. 650/215/2013* - Craiova Regional Court

Parties: Conpet S.A. – defendant

Mihai Constantin – plaintiff

Mihai Norica - plaintiff

Object: Obligation to do. Mihai Constantin and Mihai Norica have filed a lawsuit requesting the court to rule as following by its judgment:

- based on art. 494 from the Civil Code, defendant Conpet to be obligated to remove its oil transportation pipe;

- defendant Conpet to be obligated to pay compensations, based on articles 998 and 999 from the Civil Code for the damages caused by assembling this pipe near their households. The plaintiffs have temporarily quantified their claims to RON 2,000.

Specifications: By judgment no. 8895 / June 19, 2014 the Regional Court of Craiova rejected the formulated case. It takes note that the defendant, by its legal representative, has not requested trial expenses. The judgment was appealed by Mihai Constantin and Mihai Norica.

By judgment no. 195 / February 03, 2015 the Dolj Local Court approves the appeal. It cancels the judgment. It sends the case for retrial to the same first-instance court.

Procedural status: First instance - retrial

Deadline: February 03, 2016

10. Case file no. 5248/111/2014* – Hunedoara Local Court

Parties: Conpet SA – defendant

Poenar Ioan – plaintiff

Object: Obligation to do.

Specifications: By judgment no. 27 / January 08, 2015, Bihor Local Court approved the exception of the functional non-competence of Civil Section II invoked by the court ex officio. It transfers the case formulated by the plaintiff to Administrative and Fiscal Section III (where it receives *).

By judgment no. 1238 / April 16, 2015, Bihor Local Court approves the exception of its territorial non-competence. It rejects the competence for settling the claim indicated by Hunedoara Local Court.

Procedural status: First instance

Deadline: --

11. Case file no. 5119/260/2014 – Ploiesti Regional Court

Parties: Conpet SA – defendant

Biodiesel SRL – plaintiff

Object: Biodiesel SRL has filed a lawsuit and has requested the court to find the nullity of lease contract no. ADM 89 / April 27, 2009 and to obligate Conpet to pay trial expenses.

Specifications: By judgment no. 1415 / September 17, 2015 Onesti Regional Court transferred the resolution of the case in favor of Ploiesti Regional Court

Procedural status: First instance

Deadline: December 02, 2015

12. Case file no. 2549/270/2015 – Onesti Regional Court

Parties: Conpet SA – defendant

Societatea Nationala de Transport Gaze Naturale Transgaz SA- defendant

Moraru Daniel – plaintiff

Moraru Nicoleta Valentina – plaintiff

Object: Moraru Daniel and Moraru Nicoleta Valentina have filed a lawsuit and have requested the court to rule the following by its judgment:

- obligating the defendants to remove the structures they illegally erected on the land of the plaintiffs, located within the city limits of Tg. Ocna, Magura Street no. 7, cadastral number 2165, and if they refuse, authorizing the plaintiffs to remove them on their expense;

- obligating the defendants to pay the trial expenses incurred with this lawsuit

Procedural status: First instance

Deadline: January 28, 2016

13. Case file no. 2194/270/2015 – Onesti Regional Court

Parties: Conpet SA – defendant

Isache David – plaintiff

Isache Mihaela – plaintiff

Object: Claims. Isache David and Isache Mihaela have filed a lawsuit and have requested the court to obligate Conpet to pay the amount of RON 24,500 representing material damages for rebuilding the personal-property well and basement which were affected by the pollution from July 2014.

Procedural status: First instance

Deadline: February 02, 2016

14. Case file no. 8156/281/2014 – Ploiesti Regional Court

Parties: Conpet SA – civil party

Matei Marinel – revision applicant

Object: Aggravated theft. Revision. Matei Marinel has requested the revision of criminal judgment no. 1383 / October 14, 2013 ruled by Ploiesti Court of Appeal in file no. 19230/281/2011.

Procedural status: First instance

Deadline: January 26, 2016

15. Case file no. 3091/281/2015 – Ploiesti Regional Court

Parties: Conpet – appellee

Berbec N. Paun Sorin – revision applicant

Maicanescu Alexandru Macedon - appellee

Object: Aggravated theft. Revision. Matei Marinel has requested the revision of criminal judgment no. 1275 / April 17, 2014 ruled by Ploiesti Regional Court in file no. 28758/281/2011.

Specifications: By judgment no. 2310 / December 09, 2015 Ploiesti Regional Court rejects in principle as inadmissible the revision application formulated by revision applicant Berbec N. Paun Sorin, regarding criminal judgment no. 1275 / April 17, 2014 of Ploiesti Regional Court pronounced as final by criminal judgment 1017 / October 23, 2014 of the Ploiesti Court of Appeal. The judgment can be appealed after notification.

Procedural status: --

Deadline: --

16. Case file no. 2043/105/2015 – Prahova Local Court

Parties: Conpet SA – defendant

Sandu Nicusor – plaintiff

Object: Sandu Nicusor has filed a lawsuit requesting the court by its judgment to find that during the period in which he was the employee of SC Petrotrans SA, SC Conpet SA and GFR Logistic Brazi SRL he performed activities classified as group I and/or II, 100% or less, as the case may be, from the working hours, and special and/or different work conditions, as the case may be, after April 01, 2001, and obligating the defendants to issue certificates for this purpose.

Procedural status: First instance

Deadline: February 09, 2016

17. Case file no. 16082/281/2015 – Ploiesti Regional Court

Parties: Conpet SA - defendant

Ovidenie Dumitru - plaintiff

Object: Real estate claim. Ovidenie Dumitru has filed a lawsuit requesting Conpet to return the land with an area of 335 square meters located in Brazi Commune, T 31, PLOT 178/19; to bring the land to its initial state; and to pay the lack of use for the last 3 years. Conpet has filed an application to prove the holder of the real right.

Procedural status: First instance

Deadline: January 27, 2016

18. Case file no. 14960/280/2015 – Pitesti Regional Court

Parties: Conpet – defendant

Cirstea Stelian - plaintiff

Cirstea Gherghina – plaintiff

Object: Obligations to do + Claims. The plaintiffs have filed a lawsuit and have requested the court, by its ruling, to obligate Conpet SA:

1. to pay the lack of use as of the date on which the land was acquired – October 06, 2014, which it temporarily assesses to RON 1,000
2. to remove the pipes and installations from the land owned by the plaintiffs, and if this is not possible, to obligate Conpet to pay a monthly amount equivalent to the value of a rent for the land affected by the pipes and installations erected on it – on the level of the rents applied on the free market.
3. Payment of trial expenses.

Procedural status: First instance

Deadline: --

19. Case file no. 3979/105/2015 – Prahova Local Court

Parties: Conpet – defendant

Sandu Alexandru – plaintiff

Object: The plaintiff has filed a lawsuit and has requested the return of the amount of RON 181,737.32 prescribed at CEC, with the receipt kept at the Prahova Local Court, representing the difference between the amount which was seized (RON 279,950 – prescribed at CEC) in file 5516/105/2012, completed in 2014 and the amount which was foreclosed by BEJ Divoiu (RON 98,212.68) upon the request of Conpet.

Clarifications: By judgment no. 2137 / September 08, 2015 Prahova Local Court rejected the exception of the passive lawsuit status, invoked by the defendant. It rejects the lawsuit as ungrounded. The judgment can be appealed after notification.

Procedural status: --

Deadline: --

Approved,

CORPORATE MANAGEMENT DIVISION MANAGER

Legal adviser Anamaria Dumitrache

LEGAL SERVICE MANAGER

Legal adviser Vasile Geanta

The undersigned, Alexandru Tănase, certified translator under no. 33106, hereby certify the accuracy of the translation into the English language of the document written in the Romanian language, which has been seen by me, registered under no. 2016/1052/3/3

Translator,

Alexandru Tănase

