

## **The list of files pending at the law court on 31 December 2015 wherein the company CONPET S.A. has the capacity of claimant**

### **1. File no. 11921/105/2011 – Bacau County Court (Tribunal)**

**Parties:** Conpet S.A. - claimant

Biodiesel S.R.L. - defendant

**Object: Claims.** Conpet S.A. files a writ of summons requesting that:

- The defendant BIODIESEL S.R.L should be ordered to pay to CONPET S.A. the amount of 216,821.44 lei, which is the equivalent value of land rent for the period June 2009 - April 2011;
- The defendant BIODIESEL S.R.L should be ordered to pay to CONPET S.A. late fees owed by the defendant under Article 11 of Contract no. ADM 89/27 April 2009 concluded between the parties as a result of the defendant's failure to fulfill its rent payment obligation. Conpet requests that the defendant should be obliged to pay the late fees owed from the due date until the effective date of payment (the date of meeting the obligation to pay the value of the main debt representing the rent due for the period May 2009 - April 2011). We mention that the amount owed by the defendant as late fees until by 11 November 2011 is 30,653.77 lei;
- The defendant should be ordered to pay damages for the lack of use of the land from the expiration date of the contract (28 April 2011) until the present. In assessing damages for the lack of use we considered the amount of the rent agreed with the defendant through lease contract no. ADM 89/27 April 2009;
- The defendant BIODIESEL S.R.L should be ordered to pay the legal costs that we will determine in this case.

**Specifications:** Through sentence no. 445/04 March 2015 Moinesti Law Court dismisses the breach of contract exception. It partially admits the request filed by the claimant Conpet SA Ploiesti in opposition to the defendant Biodiesel SRL. It orders the defendant to pay to the claimant the sum of 191,554.60 lei, representing the equivalent value of the rent. It orders the defendant to pay to the claimant the sum of 106,026.58 lei representing the equivalent value of the late fees still owed, as of 20 November 2014 until the effective payment of the rent value. It rejects the claim for damages. It compels the defendant to pay to the claimant the amount of 10,599.31 lei representing the judicial stamp duty to the extent of lawsuit admission and the expert's fee. The judgment was appealed against by Conpet and Biodiesel.

**Procedural stage:** Appeal

**Trial date:** Through the Ruling of 08 March 2016, it orders the suspension of the appeal trial in accordance with Art. 244 (1) 1 Civil Procedure Code (old), until the final resolution of file no. 5119/260/2014. With right of appeal throughout the suspension period.

## **2. File no. 19024/281/2009 – Ploiesti Law Court**

**Parties:** Conpet S.A. Ploiesti - claimant

Compania de Transport Feroviar S.A. Ploiesti (Railway Transport Company) - defendant

**Object:** Conpet files a writ of summons requesting that the defendant should be ordered to pay the sum of 50,511.6 lei representing the payment difference from the value of LDH 70-675 locomotive engine repair, amounting to 60,381.60 lei, as well as legal costs.

**Specifications:** Through the ruling of 02 September 2011 the court suspended the case under Art. 36 of Law 85/2006.

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** Suspended

## **3. File no. 6179/105/2009 - Prahova County Court**

**Parties:** Conpet S.A. - creditor

Petroconduct S.A. – debtor

**Object: Bankruptcy.** Conpet S.A., through Statement of claim no. 5949/23 February 2010, requested that it should be registered in the statement of affairs with the amount of 202,890.47 lei representing penalties for failure to timely fulfill the obligations undertaken under contract no. L45/18 March 2004 and MST9/09 June 2004, value of tubular material, transport costs, loading, unloading tubular material, legal costs. Conpet SA was registered on the list of creditors of the debtor S.C. Petroconduct S.A. with the amount of 62,739.06 lei.

**Specifications:** The judicial liquidator of the debtor entered the company on the debtor's preliminary list with only part of the debt claimed by Conpet, namely 62,739.06 lei of the total receivables in the amount of 216,412.56 lei, although our company used all remedies available.

**Trial date:** 07 April 2016

## **4. File no. 2811/105/2010\* - Ploiesti Court of Appeal**

**Parties:** Conpet S.A. - claimant

ICIM S.A. - defendant

**Object:** Conpet S.A. files a writ of summons requesting that ICIM should be ordered to pay the amount of 338,144.32 lei representing penalties due to our company, in relation to the delayed execution of the works undertaken by the defendant under contract no. 0135/1995, concluded between the parties, as well as the payment of legal costs.

**Specifications:** Through sentence no. 594/05 March 2014, Prahova County Court dismisses the prescription exception. It dismisses the lawsuit as unfounded. The judgment was appealed against by Conpet.

Through decision no. 73/04 February 2015, Ploiesti Court of Appeal allows the claimant's appeal. It cancels the sentence appealed against and sends the case back to the first instance court for retrial.

Through sentence no. 1447/22 June 2015, Prahova County Court dismisses the statute of limitations exception. It admits the lawsuit. It orders the defendant to pay the claimant the sum of 292,754.68 lei, representing late fees, as well as pay the first instance and appeal legal costs amounting to 12,568 lei, representing the judicial stamp, stamp duty and expertise fee. The judgment was appealed against by ICIM S.A.

**Procedural stage:** Appeal

**Trial date:** 04 April 2016

## **5. File no. 25050/281/2011 - Prahova County Court**

**Parties:** Conpet S.A. - claimant

Rafinaria Astra Romana SA (Romanian Astra Refinery) - defendant

**Object: Declaratory action.** Conpet S.A. files a writ of summons requesting the following:

1. Declaration of Conpet's ownership of property over 2 buildings built by Conpet on the land owned by SC Rafinaria Astra Romana SA;

2. Establishment in favour of Conpet of an easement right over the land belonging to the defendant, land located in Ploiesti, Petrolului Blvd. no. 59, consisting of Conpet's right to pass through the defendant's land for the use and utility of the two buildings;

3. Establishment in favour of Conpet of a right of superficies over the land privately owned by SC Rafinaria Astra Romana SA, land located in Ploiesti, Petrolului Blvd. no. 59, consisting of the right to use the land areas occupied by the two buildings belonging to Conpet.

**Specifications:** Through sentence no. 3406/12 March 2015, Ploiesti Law Court admits the exception of the lack of passive locus standi of Refinery Astra Română SA. It rejects the request as filed against a person without a passive locus standi. It admits the exception of late claim amending the main claim. It rejects the amending claim as filed too late. The judgment was filed second appeal against by Conpet.

**Procedural stage:** Second appeal

**Trial date:** 28 January 2016

## **6. File no. 29201/3/2012\* - Bucharest County Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - civil party

Dinu Ion, Petrisor Marius Sever, Petrisor Dumitru Valeriu, Pavel Vasile, Benim Nicolae, Dumitru Gabriel, Tinca Florinel Mircea, Costachi Constantin, Spirea Geon, Dragut Marian, Cobzaru Eugen Constantin, Nita Sorin, Constantin George Alin, Marin Georgian Ciprian, Chelaru Ioan Iulian, Dumitru Constantin, Sandu Marian, Toncu Stefan - defendants

**Object: Theft. Bribery. Law 78/2000, Use of forgery. Association for the commission of criminal offences.** Conpet SA became a civil party for the amount of 6,267,625.71 lei, representing the equivalent value of the stolen crude oil.

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 25 January 2016

## **7. File no. 3033/105/2012 - Prahova County Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - creditor

Vasrep Petro Construct SRL - debtor

**Object: Bankruptcy.** Conpet SA lodges a claim requesting its registration on the list of creditors of Vasrep Petro Construct SRL with the amount of 126,877 lei, including VAT, representing the cost of the material, labour and equipment needed in order to bring the pipeline to the state it had before the illegal act had been committed by the debtor, act consisting in the unlawful destruction and stealing of two pipe sections of 4,120 m.l. (linear meters) of the pipe Ø 10¾ " Iancu Jianu – Ghercesti. Conpet files an appeal against the measure of non-inclusion of CONPET S.A. on the preliminary List with this receivable, which is the object of file no. 3033/105/2012/a1. Through Sentence no. 1958/19 November 2012, Prahova County Court rejected the appeal filed by Conpet. Conpet filed a second appeal. Ploiesti Court of Appeal admitted the second appeal, quashed the sentence rendered on the merits and sent it for retrial.

By sentence no. 1008/17 September 2014 (file no. 3033/105/2012/a1\*), Prahova County Court admits the appeal against the preliminary title. It orders that the challenging creditor CONPET S.A. be included on the final consolidated list of creditors of the debtor with the amount of 1,473,628 lei equivalent value of materials, labour and equipment, as well as 120 lei legal stamp duty for the second appeal and 2,500 lei expert's fee. Vasrep filed a second appeal.

Through decision no. 141/15 January 2015, Ploiesti Court of Appeal dismissed the second appeal as unfounded.

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 28 January 2016

## **8. File no. 2803/120/2013 - Dambovita County Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - creditor

Ecprod SRL - debtor

**Object: Insolvency. Lodgement of claim.** Conpet SA lodged a claim against debtor Ecprod's estate in the amount of 25,728.89 lei. Conpet is entered on the List of Creditors with the amount of 25,728.89 lei.

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 11 January 2016

**9. File no. 6445/105/2013 - Constanta Court of Appeal (the case was initially brought before Prahova County Court, and in appeal before Ploiesti Court of Appeal)**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - claimant

Arelco Power SRL - defendant

**Object: Claims.** Conpet SA files a writ of summons requesting the court that, through the judgment to be rendered, it should order the obligation of the defendant to pay the sum of 399,159.60 lei representing damages under Art. 15.2 of contract no. P-CA 394 concluded on 13 December 2010, damages justified by the failure to fulfill its obligation to supply electricity, incumbent on it under this contract.

Subsidiarily, in case of rejection of the main head of claim, Conpet requests that the defendant should be compelled to pay the amount of 220,833.86 lei representing damages under Art. 13.1 para. 1 of contract no. P-CA 394 concluded on 13 December 2010, damages justified by the failure to fulfill its obligation to supply electricity, incumbent on it under this contract.

Conpet subsequently increased its claims requesting that:

The defendant ARELCO POWER S.R.L. should be compelled to pay to Conpet S.A. the amount of **655,544.75 lei** representing damages under Art. 15.2. of contract no. P-CA 394 concluded with us, the claimant, on 13 December 2010, damages justified by the failure to fulfill the obligation of supplying electricity during the period 01 November 2011 (date of interruption of electricity supply by the defendant) – 25 June 2012 (date of termination by CONPET of contract no. PCA 394/13 December 2010), obligation incumbent on it under this contract.

Subsidiarily, in case of rejection of the main head of claim, Conpet requests that the defendant Arelco Power S.R.L. should be compelled to pay to Conpet S.A. the amount of **361 440.12 lei** representing damages under Art. 13.1. para. (1) of contract no. P-CA 394 concluded with us, the claimant, on 13 December 2010, damages justified by the failure to fulfill the obligation of supplying electricity during the period 01 November 2011 (date of interruption of electricity supply by the defendant) – 25 June 2012 (date of termination by CONPET of contract no. PCA 394/13 December 2010), obligation incumbent on it under this contract

**Specifications:** Through sentence no. 1227/27 May 2015 Prahova County Court admits the lawsuit as specified. It orders the defendant to pay to the claimant the sum of 655,544.75 lei as damages. It orders the defendant to pay to the claimant the sum of 8346.6 lei as legal costs. The judgment was appealed against by both parties.

SC Arelco Power SRL requests the displacement of the case, such request being the object of file no. 3954/1/2015. Through Ruling no. 2649/24 November 2015, the High Court of Cassation and Justice

(HCCJ) admits the request filed by claimant SC Arelco Power SRL for the displacement of the case which is the object of file no. 6445/105/2013 of Ploiești Court of Appeal. It displaces the judgment of the case from Ploiești Court of Appeal to Constanța Court of Appeal. It preserves the procedural documents drafted.

On 07 December 2015, Ploiesti Court of Appeal removes the case from the docket and submits it to Constanța Court of Appeal, according to certificate no. 24932/03 December 2015 and ruling no. 2649/24 November 2015 rendered by the HCCJ.

**Procedural stage:** Appeal

**Trial date:** -

#### **10. File no. 6444/105/2013 - Ploiesti Court of Appeal**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - claimant

Arelco Power SRL - defendant

**Object: Claims.** Conpet SA files a writ of summons requesting the court that, through the judgment to be rendered, it should order the defendant to pay the sum of 267,302 lei representing damages under Art. 15.2 of contract no. P-CA 393 concluded on 13 December 2010, damages justified by the failure to fulfill its obligation to supply electricity, incumbent on it under this contract.

Subsidiarily, in case of rejection of the main head of claim, Conpet requests that the defendant should be compelled to pay the amount of 145,722.97 lei representing damages under Art. 13.1 para. 1 of contract no. P-CA 394 concluded on 13 December 2010, damages justified by the failure to fulfill its obligation to supply electricity, incumbent on it under this contract.

Conpet subsequently increased its claims requesting that:

The defendant Arelco Power S.R.L. should be compelled to pay to Conpet S.A. the amount of **530,096.11 lei** representing damages under Art. 15.2. of contract no. P-CA 394 concluded with us, the claimant, on 13 December 2010, damages justified by the failure to fulfill the obligation of supplying electricity during the period 01 November 2011 (date of interruption of electricity supply by the defendant) – 25 June 2012 (date of termination by CONPET of contract no. PCA 394/13 December 2010), obligation incumbent on it under this contract.

Subsidiarily, in case of rejection of the main head of claim, Conpet requests that the defendant Arelco Power S.R.L. should be compelled to pay to Conpet S.A. the amount of **288.055.97 lei** representing damages under Art. 13.1. para. (1) of contract no. P-CA 394 concluded with us, the claimant, on 13 December 2010, damages justified by the failure to fulfill the obligation of supplying electricity during the period 01 November 2011 (date of interruption of electricity supply by the defendant) – 25 June 2012 (date of termination by CONPET of contract no. PCA 394/13 December 2010), obligation incumbent on it under this contract

**Specifications:** Through sentence no. 1281/09 June 2015, Prahova County Court admits the writ of summons as specified. It orders the defendant to pay to the claimant the sum of 530,096.11 lei as damages. It orders the defendant to pay to the claimant the sum of 14,300 lei as legal costs, representing the judicial stamp, stamp duty and expert's fee. The judgment was appealed against by Arelco Power SRL.

SC Arelco Power SRL requests the displacement of the case, which was the object of file no. 3953/1/2015 pending on the dockets of HCCJ. Through Ruling no. 2316/11 November 2015, HCCJ admits the request for displacement of the case which is the object of file no. 6444/105/2013, pending on the dockets of Ploiești Court of Appeal.

**Procedural stage:** Appeal

**Trial date:** 18 January 2016

### **11. File no. 6443/105/2013 - Prahova County Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - claimant

Arelco Power SRL - defendant

**Object: Claims.** Conpet SA files a writ of summons requesting the court that, through the judgment to be rendered, it should order the defendant to pay the sum of 1,474,050.28 lei representing damages under Art. 15.2 of contract no. P-CA 393 concluded on 13 December 2010, damages justified by the failure to fulfill its obligation to supply electricity, incumbent on it under this contract.

Subsidiarily, in case of rejection of the main head of claim, Conpet requests that the defendant should be compelled to pay the amount of 788,919.29 lei representing damages under Art. 13.1 para. 1 of contract no. P-CA 394 concluded on 13 December 2010, damages justified by the failure to fulfill its obligation to supply electricity, incumbent on it under this contract.

**Specifications:** Through the Ruling of 03 October 2013, Ploiesti Law Court cancels the writ of summons. Conpet filed a request for reexamination. On 06 February 2014, the court admitted the request for reexamination filed. It cancelled the cancellation ruling of 03 October 2013. It submitted the case to the panel vested initially.

Through sentence no. 1437/22 June 2015, Prahova County Court admits the amended lawsuit. It orders the defendant to pay 2,438,378.91 lei as damages. It orders the defendant to pay to the claimant the sum of 32,489 lei, as legal costs, i.e. 27,989 lei as stamp duty and 4,500 lei as expert's fee. The judgment was appealed against by Arelco Power SRL.

Conpet filed a request for correction of a clerical error on the grounds that the court had not recorded in the operative part of the judgment full identification data of the parties. The deadline of the request is: 22 December 2015.

**Procedural stage:** Appeal

**Trial date:** -

### **12. File no. 7932/118/2013 - Constanta County Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - aggrieved party

Bivolaru Gabriel, Chihaiia Marin, Mangalea Gheorghe, Arhire Adrian, Poenaru Marius Ciprian, Boamfa Paul, Luca Viorel, Meridicos Romeo Ovidiu, Dimofte Petronel, Matei Marius Ionut, Ahalanei Ioan - defendants

Romeo International Service Company SRL Navodari – defendant

Romeo International Service Company SRL Navodari represented by Nicolaidis Constantin – defendant

Ministry of Public Finance – ANAF (National Agency for Fiscal Administration) - civil party

DGFP (General Directorate of Public Finances) - civil party

**Object: Tax evasion criminal offences (Law 87/1994, Law 241/2005), Art. 323 Criminal Code, Aggravated (qualified) Theft (Art. 208-209 of the Criminal Code).** Conpet SA became a civil party for the amount of 928,785.94 lei representing the equivalent value of the crude oil stolen amount (277 tons), of the pipeline repair works and the laboratory tests.

**Specifications:** Through sentence no. 472/17 December 2015, Constanta County Court allowed the civil lawsuit filed by the civil party Conpet SA Ploiești. It orders the defendants Bivolaru Gabriel, Chihaiia Marin, Mangalea Gheorghe, Ahalanei Ioan, Arhire Adrian, Poenaru Marius Ciprian, Boamfa Paul, Merdicos Romeo Ovidiu, Dimofte Petronel, Luca Viorel and Matei Marius Ionut to jointly pay to the civil party Conpet SA the amount of 90,814.50 lei (equivalent value of 30 tons of crude oil stolen on 27 May 2013). It orders the defendants Bivolaru Gabriel, Chihaiia Marin, Mangalea Gheorghe, Ahalanei Ioan, Arhire Adrian, Poenaru Marius Ciprian, Boamfa Paul, Merdicos Romeo Ovidiu, Dimofte Petronel, Luca Viorel and Matei Marius Ionut to jointly pay to the civil party Conpet SA the amount of 93,841.65 lei (equivalent value of 31 tons of crude oil stolen on 31 May 2013). It orders the defendants Bivolaru Gabriel, Chihaiia Marin, Mangalea Gheorghe, Ahalanei Ioan, Arhire Adrian, Poenaru Marius Ciprian, Boamfa Paul, Merdicos Romeo Ovidiu, Dimofte Petronel, Luca Viorel and Matei Marius Ionut to jointly pay to the civil party Conpet SA the amount of 111,027.27 lei (equivalent value of 36 tons of crude oil stolen on 03 June 2013). It orders the defendants Bivolaru Gabriel, Chihaiia Marin, Mangalea Gheorghe, Ahalanei Ioan, Arhire Adrian, Poenaru Marius Ciprian, Boamfa Paul, Merdicos Romeo Ovidiu, Dimofte Petronel, Luca Viorel and Matei Marius Ionut to jointly pay to the civil party Conpet SA the amount of 585,977.25 lei (equivalent value of 190 tons of crude oil stolen on 04 June 2013 and 05 June 2013). It orders the defendants Bivolaru Gabriel, Chihaiia Marin, Mangalea Gheorghe, Ahalanei Ioan, Arhire Adrian, Poenaru Marius Ciprian, Boamfa Paul, Merdicos Romeo Ovidiu, Dimofte Petronel, Luca Viorel and Matei Marius Ionut to jointly pay to the civil party Conpet SA the amount of 17,289.32 lei representing the equivalent value of the decommissioning of the handmade plant and bringing the pipe back to its original condition. It orders the defendants Bivolaru Gabriel, Chihaiia Marin, Mangalea Gheorghe, Ahalanei Ioan, Arhire Adrian, Poenaru Marius Ciprian, Boamfa Paul, Merdicos Romeo Ovidiu, Dimofte Petronel, Luca Viorel and Matei Marius Ionut to jointly pay to the civil party Conpet SA the amount of 9576.84 lei representing the equivalent value of the laboratory tests, for 17 samples of oil products. It takes note that the civil party Conpet SA has given up its civil claims amounting to 20,268.11 lei for the act of 03 August 2013 because the damage has been fully recovered. The judgment can be appealed against after communication.

**Procedural stage:** -

**Trial date:** -

**13. File no. 25148/281/2013 - Prahova County Court**



**Parties:** Conpet SA - claimant

Confind SRL - defendant

**Object: Claims.** Conpet SA files a writ of summons requesting the court that, through the judgment to be rendered, it should compel the defendant to pay the following amounts:

- 166,227.10 lei representing damages under Art. 19.1 letter b of the contract of design works and services no. L-CA 2 of 04 April 2010 concluded between Conpet and Confind, as a result of contract termination;

- 4,429.54 lei representing legal costs.

**Specifications:** Through judgment no. 5323/22 April 2015, Ploiesti Law Court admits the exception of lack of material jurisdiction of Ploiești Law Court, invoked ex officio. It declines the jurisdiction of solving the request in favour of Prahova County Court – 2nd Civil Division, of administrative and tax contentious matters. Without right of appeal.

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 12 January 2016

#### **14. File no. 113/281/2014 - Ploiesti Law Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - applicant

ICIM SA - defendant

**Object: Claims.** Conpet filed a writ of summons requesting the court that, through the judgment to be rendered, it should order:

1. the compelling of the defendant to pay the amount of 33,597.76 lei representing the amount it has undertaken to pay to us through the document titled "Minutes of negotiation", amount which is the result of the negotiation between Conpet and ICIM regarding the value of the works to remedy the damage caused to us by the defendant on 13 September 2012, on the pipe Ø 6" RA Moreni – Ploiesti in the Petrom Aricesti Warehouse area and of the crude oil lost on that occasion

2. the compelling of the defendant to pay the interest related to the main debt, from the due date (08 December 2012) until the full payment of the debt

3. the compelling of the defendant to pay legal costs.

**Specifications:** Through sentence no. 1014/28 January 2015, Ploiesti Law Court admits the request stated. It orders the defendant to pay the amounts of 33,597.76 lei representing the equivalent value of the remediation works on the damaged pipe O 6 RA Moreni-Ploiești and of the lost crude oil and 5,338 lei statutory interest calculated until 14 November 2014. It orders the defendant to pay the statutory interest from 15 November 2014 until the full payment of the debt. It orders the defendant to pay legal costs in the amount of 2,585 lei stamp duty and expert's fee. The judgment can be appealed against after communication.

**Procedural stage:** -

**Trial date:** -

Conpet lawsuit probability of admission: 50%

#### **15. File no. 1862/114/2014 - Buzau County Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - creditor

Geluval Stor SRL - debtor

**Object:** Conpet SA lodges a claim requesting its registration on the list of creditors of Geluval Stor SRL with the amount of 1,440.90 lei, representing late fees due for payment later than the deadline set forth in the contract of a value of 7 invoices issued by Conpet for services provided under Contract no. STA 101/20 March 2012 concluded with the defendant, which amount the debtor was compelled to pay through sentence no. 8867/16 June 2014 rendered by Ploiesti Law Court in the file no. 109/281/21014, which became final by non-appeal.

Conpet SA was registered on the preliminary list of creditors' claims against the debtor Geleval Stor SRL with the amount of 1,440.90 lei.

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 28 January 2016

#### **16. File no. 6625/120/2013 - Dambovita County Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - aggrieved party

Dumitru Nica Constantin, Dumitru Nica Gheorghe, Marasescu Marian, Vlada Aurica, Aslan Razvan Aurel, Grigorascu Dominel Ion, Georgescu Anda, Stanca Ion, Constantin Andrei Marius, Maricescu Constantin, Duniag Instal SRL through legal representative Dumitru Nica Gheorghe, Anaver Villa Grup SRL through legal representative Dumitru Nica Gheorghe,

MECMA for Petrotrans SA Ploiesti through Liquidator Rominsolv SPRL - aggrieved party

OMV Petrom SA Asset 6 Muntenia Central - aggrieved party

Bucsani Town Hall - aggrieved party

Şotanga Town Hall - aggrieved party

Romtelecom S.A. - **South** East Operations Division - Dambovita Telecommunications Centre - aggrieved party

**Object: theft.** Conpet became a civil party for the amount of 2,083.20 lei, representing the value of 350 linear metres stolen from the pipe Ø 10" F2 Siliste – Ploiesti.

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 13 January 2016

## 17. File no. 2980/281/2014 - Ploiesti Law Court

**Parties:** Conpet - claimant

BEJ Goslan (Legal Executor Office) - appellee

**Object:** Conpet files a challenge on enforcement against all enforcement documents drafted by the Legal Executor Office (BEJ) Goslan Mihai in file no. 710/2013 requesting the court that, through the judgment to be rendered, it should order: - partial cancellation of the forced execution as regards the amount of 5,322.93 lei; partial cancellation of the notification dated 20 January 2014, by which the initiation of the foreclosure of the company was communicated to us;

- Ruling no. 710 of 20 January 2014;

- Securities Summons of 20 January 2014;

- Formal Communication of 20 January 2014, requesting the communication in writing of the income and assets belonging to the company;

-Notification of 20 January 2014, regarding the measure of garnishment of the accounts held by the company in banking units;

-Garnishment Orders of 20 January 2014 issued to garnished third parties Raiffeisen Bank, Banca Comerciala Romana (Romanian Commercial Bank), BRD-GSG

in the sense of reducing the amount for which the forced execution was initiated, from the amount of 51,506.95 lei, representing the main debt and enforcement expenses, to the amount of 46,184.02 lei, representing the main debt and enforcement expenses, the difference between the two amounts, i.e. 5,322.93 lei, representing the amount of the enforcement expenses challenged by our company. Basically, we are requesting the reduction of enforcement expenses from the amount 7,243.65 lei VAT included to the amount of 1,920.93 lei, VAT included.

- it should order:

- either cancellation:

- Of the Notification dated 20 January 2014, by which the initiation of the foreclosure of the company was communicated to us;

- Of Ruling no. 710 of 20 January 2014;

- Of the Securities Summons of 20 January 2014;

- Of the Formal Communication of 20 January 2014, requesting the communication in writing of the income and assets belonging to the company;

- Of the Notification of 20 January 2014, regarding the measure of garnishment of the accounts held by the company in banking units;

- Of the Garnishment Orders of 20 January 2014 issued to garnished third parties Raiffeisen Bank, Banca Comerciala Romana (Romanian Commercial Bank), BRD-GSG

for the amount of 5,322.93 lei lei representing enforcement expenses.

- or correction:

- Of the Notification dated 20 January 2014, by which the initiation of the foreclosure of the company was communicated to;
- Of Ruling no. 710 of 20 January 2014;
- Of the Securities Summons of 20 January 2014;
- Of the Formal Communication of 20 January 2014, requesting the communication in writing of the income and assets belonging to the company;
- Of the Notification of 20 January 2014, regarding the measure of garnishment of the accounts held by the company in banking units;
- Of the Garnishment Orders of 20 January 2014 issued to garnished third parties Raiffeisen Bank, Banca Comerciala Romana (Romanian Commercial Bank), BRD-GSG

in the sense of reducing the amount for which the forced execution was initiated, from the amount of 51,506.95 lei, representing the main debt and enforcement expenses, to the amount of 46,184.02 lei, representing the main debt and enforcement expenses, the difference between the two amounts, i.e. 5,322.93 lei, representing the amount of the enforcement expenses challenged by our company. Basically, we are requesting the reduction of enforcement expenses from the amount 7,243.65 lei VAT included to the amount of 1,920.93 lei, VAT included. - legal costs

- Legal costs.

**Specifications:** Through sentence no. 2064/13 February 2015, Ploiesti Law Court partially admits the challenge on enforcement filed. It cancels partially ruling no. 710/20 January 2014, as well as subsequent documents issued by B.E.J. Goslan Mihai in the enforcement file no. 710/2013, by reducing the lawyer's fee from the amount of 1,500 lei to the amount of 500 lei, as well as the legal executor's fee from the amount of 5,488.65 lei to the amount of 4,426.33 lei, the amount of other enforcement expenses being subsequently maintained. It orders the appellee to pay to the appellant the amount of 111 lei, representing the value of copying the enforcement file, as legal costs. The judgment can be appealed against after communication.

**Procedural stage:** -

**Trial date:** -

#### **18. File no. 4055/311/2014 - Slatina Law Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - claimant

Neacsu Ioana - defendant

Neacsu Marian - defendant

**Object:** Conpet files a writ of summons against the said Neacsu Ioana and Neacsu Marian (heirs Neacsu Ion Lucian) requesting the court that, through the judgment to be rendered, it should order the defendants to proceed to the full compensation in kind of the damage suffered through the illegal acts of the deceased consisting in the scraping and degradation of a portion of the crude oil pipeline 10 3/4" Icoana - Cartojani. If the defendants do not fulfill their obligation to bring the

pipeline back to its initial state, the company should be authorized to proceed to the execution of the necessary repair works at the expense of the defendants.

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 05 February 2016

#### **19. File no. 14544/280/2014 - Pitesti Law Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - claimant

Lavi Star 2007 - defendant

**Object:** Conpet files a writ of summons requesting the court that, through the judgment to be rendered, it should compel the defendant to pay the amount of 13,182.82 lei representing civil damages and pay legal costs in the amount of 764.1 lei.

**Specifications:** Through sentence no. 3522/22 April 2015, Pitesti Law Court dismissed the lawsuit. The judgment can be appealed against after communication.

**Procedural stage:** -

**Trial date:** -

#### **20. File no. 4317/105/2014 - Prahova County Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - claimant

Ploiesti Municipality - Local Public Finance Service - defendant

**Object:** Conpet files an administrative contentious action, requesting the court that, through the judgment to be rendered, it should order: - the cancellation of Decision no. 259/07 May 2014 issued by Ploiesti Municipality - Local Public Finance Service, by which the objection filed by Conpet against Taxation Decision no. 22271/26 February 2014 and the Tax Audit Report no. 22271/26 February 2014 was rejected; - partial cancellation of Taxation Decision no. 22271/26 February 2014, as well as subsequent documents, respectively of the findings of the Tax Audit Report with the consequence of exemption from payment of the amount of 770,966 lei representing additional tax liabilities and of the amount of 712,065 lei representing accessories related to the basic liability.

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 19 January 2016

#### **21. File no. 22494/281/2014 - Ploiesti Law Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - claimant

Cezar Filip Prodimpex - defendant

**Object:** Conpet files a writ of summon, requesting the court that, through the judgment to be rendered, it should order: the defendant SC CEZAR FILIP PRODIMPEX to pay the amount of 67,258.87 lei consisting of legal costs incurred by the claimant in the case that was the object of file no. 2434./105/2009, first instance (merits) and appeal procedural stages, the merits being tried at Prahova County Court and the appeal at Ploiesti Court of Appeal.

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 25 February 2016

## **22. File no. 1510/262/2014 - Moreni Law Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - claimant

Pirvu Gheorghe - defendant

Pirvu Nicolae - defendant

Grigorescu Gabriel - defendant

Zlateanu Dragos Marian - defendant

Darmanesti commune, legally represented by the Mayor of Darmanesti Commune - defendant

SC Nimb Dambovita SA - defendant

**Object:** Conpet files a writ of summon, requesting the court that, through the judgment to be rendered, it should order the defendants to jointly pay to Conpet the sum of 34,944.18 lei as civil damages - representing the value of the works of remediation of the pipeline and the optic fibre cable Link 14 destroyed on 03 June 2011, within the limits of Darmanesti commune, Dambovita county, works required in order to bring them back to their initial state, previous to committing the act, i.e. back to a working condition, amount to which is added the legal interest starting from the date when the judgment rendered in this case became final until the date of the actual payment; payment of legal costs.

**Specifications:** Through the Ruling of 08 January 2015, Moreni Law Court suspended the proceedings against the defendant SC Nimb Dambovita SA. It severed the case with regard to the other defendants and established a new file (184/262/2015).

**Procedural stage:** Merits - Suspended

**Trial date:** -

## **23. File no. 20825/281/2014 - Prahova County Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - petitioner

GNM Prahova County Commissioner's Office - appellee

**Object:** Conpet files a complaint against the Minutes of Finding and Sanctioning a Contravention Series AA no. 11785 concluded by GNM - Prahova County Commissioner's Office, through its employee Popescu Ion, on 22 May 2014, document which we consider unfounded and unlawful,

requesting the court: to cancel this document. Subsidiarily, to turn the contravention sanction into a "warning".

**Specifications:** Through sentence no. 1274/30 January 2015, Ploiesti Law Court dismisses the complaint as unfounded. It maintains the minutes series AA no. 11785 of 22 May 2014 as legal and well-founded. The judgment was appealed against by Conpet.

**Procedural stage:** Appeal

**Trial date:** --

#### **24. File no. 26354/281/2014 - Prahova County Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - claimant

OF SYSTEMS SRL - defendant

**Object:** Conpet files a writ of summons, requesting the court that, through the judgment to be rendered, it should order: the defendant OF SYSTEMS SRL to pay the amount of 21,945.00 lei representing penalties applied for failure to comply with the terms of the product supply contract no. P-CA 245 of 09 July 2014.

**Specifications:** Through sentence no. 5707/04 May 2015, Ploiesti Law Court dismisses the statute of limitations exception invoked by the defendant as unfounded. It rejects the request as unfounded. The judgment was appealed against by Conpet.

**Procedural stage:** Appeal

**Trial date:**

#### **25. File no. 8285/94/2014 - Buftea Law Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - civil party

Marin Dumitru - defendant

Costache Mihaita - defendant

**Object:** Aggravated theft. Conpet became civil party for the amount of 17,918.50 lei representing the value of the amount of 13.1 tons of crude oil stolen and unrecovered (9,163.87 lei) and of the works to remedy the damaged pipeline, required in order to bring it back to the state it was in before the committing of the act, i.e. back to a working condition (8,754.63 lei).

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 20 January 2016

#### **26. File no. 24383/212/2014 – Constanta Court of Appeal**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - civil party

Suin Oncer and others - defendants

**Object:** Aggravated theft. Conpet became a civil party for the amount of 4,320.64 lei, representing the value of the remedial works on the damaged pipeline.

**Specifications:** Through sentence no. 1185/19 October 2015, Constanta Law Court partially admits the civil action exerted by SC CONPET SA PLOIEȘTI. It orders defendants ION LUPU, MURTAZA EDWIN, SUIN ONCER, BONDARENCO MIHAI and CICORSCHI LAURENȚIU, to jointly pay to the civil party the amount of 4,320.64 lei, amount to which is added the legal interest starting from the date when this judgment becomes final until the full payment of the debt, the remaining damage (5,605.26 lei) being recovered by the return of the oil product (2,000 liters). The defendants have filed an appeal.

**Procedural stage:** Appeal

**Trial date:** 01/28/2016

## **27. File no. 184/262/2015 - Moreni Law Court (disjoint (severed) from file no. 1510/262/2014)**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - claimant

Pirvu Gheorghe - defendant

Pirvu Nicolae - defendant

Grigorescu Gabriel - defendant

Zlateanu Dragos Marian - defendant

Darmanesti commune, legally represented by the Mayor of Darmanesti Commune - defendant

**Object:** Conpet files a writ of summons, requesting the court that, through the judgment to be rendered, it should order the defendants to jointly pay to Conpet the amount of 34,944.18 lei as civil damages - representing the value of works of remediation of the pipeline and the optic fibre cable Link 14 destroyed on 03 June 2011, within the limits of Darmanesti commune, Dambovita county, works required in order to bring them back to their initial state, previous to committing the act, i.e. back to a working condition, amount to which is added the legal interest starting from the date when the judgment rendered in this case became final until the date of the actual payment; payment of legal costs.

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 01/21/2016

## **28. File no. 9874/256/2014 - Medgidia Law Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - petitioner

GNM Constanta County Commissioner's Office - appellee



**Object: Complaint of violation.** Conpet files a complaint against the Minutes of Finding and Sanctioning a Contravention Series AA no. 09048 concluded by GNM - Constanta County Commissioner's Office, requesting the annulment of this document and the exemption of our company from payment of the fine (90,000 lei). Subsidiarily, we have requested the replacement of the fine with the "warning" sanction.

**Specifications:** Through sentence no. 754/05 June 2015, Medgidia Law Court partially admits the complaint. It replaces the contraventional fine with the sanction of warning. The judgment can be appealed against after communication.

**Procedural stage:** -

**Trial date:** -

### **29. File no. 6819/118/2013 - Constanta County Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - creditor

Tobias SRL - debtor

**Object: Bankruptcy.** Conpet S.A. requested that it should be registered in the statement of affairs of the debtor with the amount of 663 lei representing the value of 230 kg of aluminum (575 lei) and 110 kg of scrap iron (88 lei), delivered to Tobias SRL on 04 June 2013, under waste sale and purchase contract no. 2013/ADM/15 February 2013 concluded between Conpet and Tobias.

The request filed by Conpet was partially admitted by the official receiver, in the sense that our company was entered on the list of creditors with a debt amounting to 643.11 lei, lei representing the 19.89 difference of 3% environmental fund and owed to the Environmental Fund Administration.

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 21 January 2016

### **30. File no. 677/281/2015 - Ploiesti Law Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - civil party

Gheorghe E. Daniel, Gheorghe I. Ionut Liviu, Buga T. Florin - defendants

**Object:** Theft. Conpet became a civil party for the amount of 12,988.86 lei representing the value of remediation works on the damaged pipe (material, labour, transportation) required in order to bring it back to a working condition, the 6 stolen tubes having been recovered.

**Specifications:** Through sentence no. 971/20 May 2015, Ploiesti Law Court admits the civil action exerted by the civil party Conpet S.A. and will compel the defendants to jointly pay the sum of 12,988.86 lei as pecuniary damages. The judgment can be appealed against after communication.

**Procedural stage:** -

**Trial date:** -

### **31. File no. 1742/281/2015 - Ploiesti Law Court**

**Parties:** Conpet - objector

Dobrogeanu Dumitru - appellee

**Object:** Conpet files a challenge on enforcement against all enforcement documents drafted by the Legal Executor Office Goslan Mihai in enforcement file no. 1296/2014, as follows:

- The Notification of 22 December 2014, received by Conpet SA on 14 January 2015, by which initiation of the foreclosure of the company was communicated to us; - Ruling no. 1296 of 22 December 2014; - The Securities Summons of 22 December 2014; - Formal Communication of 22 December 2014, requesting the communication in writing of the income and assets belonging to the company;

- The Notification of 22 December 2014, regarding the measure of garnishment of the accounts held by the company in banking units;

- The Ruling of 17 December 2014, by which the request filed by creditor Dobrogeanu Dumitru was admitted and the enforcement (foreclosure) against our company was allowed. - Garnishment Orders of 22 December 2014, issued to the garnished third parties: • Raiffeisen Bank • Banca Comerciala Romana (Romanian Commercial Bank), •BRD-GSG;

- Orders of the Legal Executor Office (BEJ) Goslan Mihai of 14 January 2015 issued to the garnished third parties regarding the diminishment of the amount garnished; Notification from the Legal Executor Office (BEJ) Goslan Mihai of 14 January 2015 to Conpet regarding the diminishment of the amount garnished.

We are requesting the court:

1. To order the partial cancellation (annulment) of the enforcement (forced execution) as regards the amount of 6,805.92 lei.

2. To cancel:

- The Notification of 22 December 2014, received by Conpet SA on 14 January 2015, by which initiation of the foreclosure of the company was communicated to us; - Ruling no. 1296 of 22 December 2014;

- The Securities Summons of 22 December 2014; - Formal Communication of 22 December 2014, requesting the communication in writing of the income and assets belonging to the company;

- The Notification of 22 December 2014, regarding the measure of garnishment of the accounts held by the company in banking units;

- The Ruling of 17 December 2014, by which the request filed by creditor Dobrogeanu Dumitru was admitted and the enforcement (foreclosure) against our company was allowed. - Garnishment Orders of 22 December 2014, issued to the garnished third parties: • Raiffeisen Bank • Banca Comerciala Romana (Romanian Commercial Bank), •BRD-GSG;

- The Orders of the Legal Executor Office (BEJ) Goslan Mihai of 14 January 2015 issued to the garnished third parties regarding the diminishment of the amount garnished; - The Notification from the Legal Executor Office (BEJ) Goslan Mihai of 14 January 2015 to Conpet regarding the diminishment of the amount garnished,

in the sense of reducing the amount for which the forced execution was initiated, from the amount of 59,921.92 lei, representing the main debt and enforcement expenses, to the amount of 53,116.00 lei, representing the main debt, the difference between the two amounts, i.e. 6,805.92 lei, representing the enforcement expenses challenged by our company. Basically, we are requesting the cancellation of the enforcement expenses.

3. To order, under Art. 719 of the Civil procedure Code:

- either the cancellation of:

- The Notification of 22 December 2014, received by Conpet SA on 14 January 2015, by which initiation of the foreclosure of the company was communicated to us; - Ruling no. 1296 of 22 December 2014;

- The Securities Summons of 22 December 2014; - Formal Communication of 22 December 2014, requesting the communication in writing of the income and assets belonging to the company;

- The Notification of 22 December 2014, regarding the measure of garnishment of the accounts held by the company in banking units;

- The Ruling of 17 December 2014, by which the request filed by creditor Dobrogeanu Dumitru was admitted and the enforcement (foreclosure) against our company was allowed. - Garnishment Orders of 22 December 2014, issued to the garnished third parties Raiffeisen Bank, Banca Comerciala Romana (Romanian Commercial Bank), BRD-GSG;

- The Orders of the Legal Executor Office (BEJ) Goslan Mihai of 14 January 2015 issued to the garnished third parties regarding the diminishment of the amount garnished; - The Notification from the Legal Executor Office (BEJ) Goslan Mihai of 14 January 2015 to Conpet regarding the diminishment of the amount garnished,

for the amount of 6,805.92 lei, representing enforcement expenses.

- or the correction of:

- The Notification of 22 December 2014, received by Conpet SA on 14 January 2015, by which initiation of the foreclosure of the company was communicated to us; - Ruling no. 1296 of 22 December 2014;

- The Securities Summons of 22 December 2014; - Formal Communication of 22 December 2014, requesting the communication in writing of the income and assets belonging to the company;

- The Notification of 22 December 2014, regarding the measure of garnishment of the accounts held by the company in banking units;

- The Ruling of 17 December 2014, by which the request filed by creditor Dobrogeanu Dumitru was admitted and the enforcement (foreclosure) against our company was allowed. - Garnishment Orders of 22 December 2014, issued to the garnished third parties Raiffeisen Bank, Banca Comerciala Romana (Romanian Commercial Bank), BRD-GSG;

- The Orders of the Legal Executor Office (BEJ) Goslan Mihai of 14 January 2015 issued to the garnished third parties regarding the diminishment of the amount garnished; - The Notification from the Legal Executor Office (BEJ) Goslan Mihai of 14 January 2015 to Conpet regarding the diminishment of the amount garnished,

in the sense of reducing the amount for which the forced execution was initiated, from the amount of 59,921.92 lei, representing the main debt and enforcement expenses, to the amount of 53,116.00 lei, representing the main debt, the difference between the two amounts, i.e. 6,805.92 lei, representing the enforcement expenses challenged by our company. Basically, we are requesting the cancellation of the enforcement expenses.

4. Legal costs.

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 14 January 2016

### **32. File no. 717/105/2015 - Prahova County Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - creditor

Fotbal Club Petrolul Ploiesti SA (Football Club) - debtor

**Object:** Bankruptcy. Conpet lodged a claim requesting its registration on the list of creditors of debtor Football Club Petrolul Ploiesti SA with a debt amounting to 14,465.33 lei. Conpet was registered on the list of creditors of the debtor with the amount requested.

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 10 February 2016

### **33. File no. 11376/281/2015 - Ploiesti Law Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - claimant

Loreto Service SRL - defendant

**Object:** Claims. Conpet filed a writ of summons, requesting the court that, through the judgment to be rendered, it should order the defendant to refund to our company the sum of 11,250.02 lei to be paid by the undersigned company to the defendant as compensation and legal costs, as well as the legal interest starting from 28 November 2013 and thereafter, until the date of the effective payment.

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 23 February 2016

### **34. File no. 2479/281/2015 - Ploiesti Law Court**

**Parties:** Conpet - civil party

Draghici M. Mirica - defendant

**Object:** Aggravated theft. Conpet became a civil party for the amount of 3,327.22 lei representing the value of the remedial works on the damaged pipeline.

**Specifications:** Through sentence no. 2331/11 December 2015, Ploiesti Law Court admits the civil action exerted by the civil party Conpet S.A. and compels the defendant to pay the amount of 3,327.22 lei as pecuniary damage. The judgment can be appealed against after communication.

**Procedural stage:** -

**Trial date:** -

### **35. File no. 13386/3/2015 - Bucharest County Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - creditor

Perfect Metal SRL - debtor

**Object: Bankruptcy.** Conpet S.A. requested that it should be registered in the statement of affairs of the debtor with the amount of 221,189.85 lei representing late fees, damages, interest and legal costs.

The request was admitted but Conpet was entered on the list in the category of unsecured creditors, not in the category of secured creditors such as would have been the case in consideration of the content of our request for inclusion in the preliminary list of creditors. Conpet filed appeal against the preliminary table of receivables, which is the object of file no. 13386/3/201/a1 with a trial date on 18 September 2015. Through judgment no. 7106/18 September 2015, Bucharest County Court dismissed the appeal as unfounded.

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 19 February 2016

### **36. File no. 19602/3/2015 - Bucharest County Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - creditor

Top Birotica SRL - debtor

**Object: Bankruptcy.** Conpet S.A. requested that it should be registered in the statement of affairs of the debtor with the amount of 2,258.72 lei representing late fees due to the late delivery of the equipment that made up the object of contract P-CA 438/17 November 2014.

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 17 February 2016

### **37. File no. 14901/281/2015 - Ploiesti Law Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - complainant

NCMNR - appellee

**Object:** Conpet SA files a complaint against the Minutes of Finding and Sanctioning a Contravention Series R15 no. 0163630/13 July 2015 of C.N.A.D.N.R. S.A. – CESTRIN, document which it considers unfounded and unlawful, and request its annulment.

**Specifications:** Through sentence no. 12376/02 November 2015, Ploiesti Law Court dismisses the complaint. It annuls the minutes contested and removes on the merits the penalties enforced. It takes note that no legal costs are claimed. The judgment can be appealed against after communication.

**Procedural stage:** --

**Trial date:** --

### **38. File no. 13578/281/2015 - Ploiesti Law Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - civil party

Toncu Cristian Madalin – defendant

Toma Liviu Georgian – defendant

**Object:** Conpet SA became a civil party for the amount of 6,259.07 lei, representing the value of the remediation works on the damaged pipe, required in order to bring it back to a working condition, the amount of 3,000 liters of crude oil (5,945.17 lei) found upon the defendants having been recovered.

**Specifications:** Through sentence no. 2396/15 December 2015, Ploiesti Law Court dismisses the civil action exerted by the aggrieved person CONPET S.A., as unfounded. The judgment can be appealed against after communication.

**Procedural stage:** --

**Trial date:** --

### **39. File no. 2899/62/2015 - Brasov County Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - creditor

Condmag SA - debtor

**Object:** Bankruptcy. Lodgement of claim by Conpet against the estate of debtor Condmag SA - 42,950,85 lei representing penalties, consisting of the secured debt of 40,927,28 lei and the unsecured debt of 2,023,57 lei lei.

**Procedural stage:**

**Trial date:** 16 February 2015

### **40. File no. 7849/311/2015 - Slatina Law Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - petitioner

Prosecutor's Office attached to Slatina Law Court - appellee

**Object:** Complaint Art. 340 New Criminal Procedure Code (NCPP)

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 13 January 2016

#### **41. File no. 2391/232/2015 - Gaesti Law Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA – civil party

Ivan Nicolae Sorin – defendant

Deaconu Adrian – defendant

**Object:** Aggravated theft. Conpet became a civil party for the amount of 1,849.63 lei representing the value of remedial works on the pipeline damaged.

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 05 January 2016

#### **42. File no. 9205/311/2015 – Slatina Law Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA – petitioner

Prosecutor's Office attached to Slatina Law Court

**Object:** Complaint Art. 340 New Criminal Procedure Code (NCPP)

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 07 January 2016

#### **43. File no. 4996/256/2015 – Medgidia Law Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - civil party

Sprivac Florin Alexandru, Rosu Adrian – defendants

**Object:** Aggravated theft. Conpet became a civil party for the amount of 7,647.96 lei representing the amount of 200 liters crude oil lost as a result of this deed (541.33 lei), the remediation works on the damaged pipe, required in order to bring it back to a working condition (4,710.95 lei) and the ecological works in the area polluted as a result of the accident (2,395.68 lei).

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 25 February 2016

#### **44. File no. 15042/212/2015 - Constanta Law Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - civil party

Polifroni Dimu, Safir Marius, Staicu Tudor – defendants

**Object:** Conpet SA becomes a civil party in the criminal trial for the amount of 156,414.01 lei representing 151,482.42 lei - the equivalent value of the quantity of 73,026 kg crude oil, 2,936.97 lei the equivalent value of the remedial works on the pipeline, required in order to bring it back to its initial state, previous to committing the deed, i.e. back to a working condition, and 1,987.62 lei the equivalent value of emptying the tanks on farm 3 Mamaia Sat and former factory SC Munca Ovidiu SA.

**Procedural stage:** Merits

**Trial date:** 20 January 2016

#### **45. File no. 18074/212/2015 – Constanta Law Court**

**Parties:** Conpet SA - objector

Public Service of Taxes and Fees Constanta

**Object:** Conpet files a challenge against the enforcement (forced execution) initiated by the Local Council of Constanta City - Public Service of Taxes and Fees Constanta through Summons no. P155921/29 May 2015, received by Conpet SA on 25 June 2015, Enforceable Title no. P114861/29 May 2015 and Enforceable Title no. C552143/29 May 2015, requesting the cancellation of the forced execution, the cancellation of the summons and of the enforceable titles mentioned.

**Procedural stage:** through civil sentence no. 15681/22 December 2015, the court admits the challenge on enforcement filed by objector CONPET SA in opposition to the defendant PUBLIC SERVICE OF TAXES, FEES AND OTHER REVENUES OF THE LOCAL BUDGET CONSTANTA. It orders the cancellation of the enforceable title no. P114861/29 May 2015, of the enforceable title no. C552143/29 May 2015 and of summons no. P155924/29 May 2015 issued by the appellee in the enforcement file H49073/2/2015. It order the refunding to the objector, at the date when this judgment becomes final, of the judicial stamp duty amounting to 408 lei. It dismisses the appellee's request to compel the objector to pay legal costs, as unfounded. With right to appeal within 10 days after communication. The appeal should be filed at Constanța Law Court, under the penalty of nullity.

Endorsed by

DIRECTOR OF CORPORATE MANAGEMENT DIRECTORATE,

Jr. Anamaria Dumitrache

CHIEF OF LEGAL SERVICE,

Legal advisor Vasile Geanta





Bld. Iuliu Maniu 7,  
Cotroceni Business Center,  
Corp A, etaj 2, sector 6, București  
Tel: 021.411.40.45 / 0314.179.954  
Fax: 0318.105.091 / 021.411.40.45  
office@kalimera.ro; www.kalimera.ro

The undersigned, Alexandru Tănase, certified translator under no. 33106, hereby certify the accuracy of the translation into the English language of the document written in the Romanian language, which has been seen by me, registered under no. 2016/1052/1/3

Translator,

Alexandru Tănase

