

**SC CONPET SA**

Str. Anul 1848 nr. 1-3, Ploiesti, 100559, Prahova, Romania  
Tel: +40 - 244 - 401 360; fax: + 40-244 - 51 64 51  
e-mail: conpet@conpet.ro; web: www.conpet.ro  
Cod unic de înregistrare: R 1350020, Cod CAEN 4950  
Înregistrată la Registrul Comerțului Prahova sub nr.J29/6/22.01.1991  
Capital social subscris și varsat 28 569 842,40 lei



**Current Report no. 26/2014  
as per Law no. 297/2004 and CNVM Regulation no. 1/2006**

|                                                                                                 |                                                                                                   |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Date of the Report                                                                              | 17.10.2014                                                                                        |
| Name of the Issuing Entity                                                                      | S.C. CONPET S.A. Ploiesti                                                                         |
| Registered Offices                                                                              | No. 1-3 , Anul 1848 Street, Ploiesti                                                              |
| Phone/Facsimile/e-mail                                                                          | 0244/ 401360/ 516451/ 402385/<br><a href="mailto:actionariat@conpet.ro">actionariat@conpet.ro</a> |
| Sole Registration Number                                                                        | 1350020                                                                                           |
| No. at the Trade Registry                                                                       | J29/ 6/ 22.01.1991                                                                                |
| Subscribed and Paid-Up Share Capital                                                            | 28,569,842.40 Lei                                                                                 |
| Total no. of shares                                                                             | 8,657,528 nominative shares                                                                       |
| The regulated market where the issued securities are being traded: B.V.B., I <sup>st</sup> Tier |                                                                                                   |

**Reporting significant events:**

The situation of the litigations pending with the law court at 15.10.2014, where CONPET is acting as party to the lawsuit, prepared by the Legal Department in view of transmission thereof to the Financial Supervisory Authority (Rom. A.S.F.), as per the provisions of art.113, letter A, paragraph (1) j) of the C.N.V.M. Regulation no.1/2006 regarding the issuers and transferable securities operations.

We hereby attach the *Situation of the litigations pending with the law court at 15.10.2014*, where S.C. CONPET S.A. is acting as party in the lawsuit.

**General Director  
Eng. Liviu Ilași**

S.s. Illegible

Stamp

**Head of Investor Relations and Capital Market**

**Ec. Bogdan Pînzariu**


S.s. Illegible



The situation of the litigations pending with the law court at 15.10.2014, where CONPET is acting as party in the lawsuit.


a). Litigations where S.C. CONPET S.A. is acting as plaintiff:

| File no./Court                                     | Defendant                                                                              | Object                                                     | Amount subject to litigation                                                  | Trial stand/term                                                                                                                      |
|----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 11921/105/2011<br>Moinești<br>District Court       | Biodiesel SRL                                                                          | Claims (land rentals, penalties, other liquidated damages) | 365,391.41 RON                                                                | First instance<br>05.11.2014                                                                                                          |
| 19024/281/2009<br>Ploiești<br>District Court       | Railway Transport Company "Transport Feroviar SA"                                      | Claims (works consideration)                               | 50,511.65 RON                                                                 | Suspended                                                                                                                             |
| 6179/105/2009<br>Prahova<br>Court of Law           | Petroconduct SA                                                                        | Insolvency Receivable entered in the statement of affairs  | 62,739.06 RON- Amount based on which CONPET is included in the Creditors List | 03.12.2014                                                                                                                            |
| 2811/105/2010<br>Prahova<br>Court of Law           | ICIM SA                                                                                | Penalties due to late execution of the contracted works    | 338,144.32 RON                                                                | Appeal. In first instance the actio was dismissed as no case to answer. The decision was challenged by appeal by CONPET<br>20.10.2014 |
| 16284/288/2011<br>Ramnicu Valcea<br>District Court | Natural persons                                                                        | Execution challenge – partition by court                   | 108,634.48 RON<br>7,417 RON court fees                                        | First instance<br>Suspended                                                                                                           |
| 25050/281/2011<br>Ploiești<br>District Court       | "Astra Romana SA"<br>Refinery                                                          | Declarator                                                 | 1,368.89 RON goods stock<br>inventory value                                   | First instance<br>27.11.2014                                                                                                          |
| 25051/281/2011<br>Ploiești<br>District Court       | SC Foradex SA<br>Electricity Distribution Branch – Electrica Distributie Muntenia Nord | Declarator                                                 | 19,130.11 RON goods stock<br>inventory value                                  | First instance<br>23.10.2014                                                                                                          |




|                                                   |                            |                                                                                                                                                |                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 20024/3/2012<br>Bucharest Court of<br>Law         | Perfect Metal SRL          | Declarator, in contractual obligation( to provide work) and claims representing delay penalties                                                | 12,561,804.6 RON                       | In first instance the action was partially approved for the amount of 203,013.96 lei – delay penalties. The first instance decision was appealed by CONPET and Perfect Metal S.R.L.. The appeals were rejected as no case to answer. The decision can be challenged by second appeal, after the communication thereof. |
| 3033/105/2012/a1*<br>Prahova Court of<br>Law      | Vasrep Petro Construct SRL | Insolvency. Proof of debt.                                                                                                                     | 126,877 RON                            | First instance – retrial the court admits the challenge to the preliminary deed. Disposes the registration of the creditor CONPET S.A. in the debtor's consolidated list of creditors, with the amount of 1,473,628 Lei. The decision can be appealed after the communication thereof.                                 |
| 1915/105/2011<br>Prahova District<br>Court        | Nac Industrii SRL          | Contractual obligation( to provide work); damages payable                                                                                      | 25,080,937.98 RON                      | In first instance the action was approved. The decision can be appealed after the communication thereof.                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| 1361/311/2013<br>Slatina<br>District Court        | Natural persons            | Contractual obligation( to provide work); full reparation of the damage                                                                        | 42,427 RON – equivalent value of works | In first instance the action was dismissed. The decision can be challenged by second appeal after the communication thereof.                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| 33715/281/2012<br>Ploiesti<br>District Court      | BEJ Mihai Goslan           | Levy of execution /Partial annulment enforcement of judgment/partial dissolution of garnishment /reduction quantum garnished amount/court fees | 107,327.86 RON                         | First instance<br>16.10.2014                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| 2803/120/2013<br>Dambovita<br>District Court      | ECPROD SRL                 | Insolvency. Proof of debt                                                                                                                      | 25,728.89 RON                          | First instance<br>20.10.2014                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| 6711/288/2013<br>Ramnicu Valcea<br>District Court | Natural persons            | Cancellation of the file act no. 16284/288/2011                                                                                                | --                                     | Appeal. In first instance the action was dismissed. The decision was appealed by CONPET<br>30.10.2014                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |

|                                                 |                                                         |                             |                                |                                                                                                                         |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 6445/105/2013<br>Prahova Court of Law           | Arelco Power SRL                                        | Claims (liquidated damages) | 655,544.75 Lei                 | First instance<br>28.10.2014                                                                                            |
| 6444/105/2013<br>Prahova Court of Law           | Arelco Power SRL                                        | Claims (liquidated damages) | 530,096.11 Lei                 | First instance<br>03.11.2014                                                                                            |
| 6443/105/2013<br>Prahova Court of Law           | Arelco Power SRL                                        | Claims (liquidated damages) | 2,438,378.91 Lei               | First instance<br>09.10.2014                                                                                            |
| 25148/281/2013<br>Ploiesti District Court       | Confind SRL                                             | Claims (liquidated damages) | 166,227.10 Lei                 | First instance                                                                                                          |
| 8491/281/2013<br>Ploiesti Court of Justice      | Natural person                                          | Levy of execution           | 13,154.24 Lei                  | First instance<br>16.10.2014                                                                                            |
| 109/281/2014<br>Ploiesti Court of Justice       | Geluval Stor SRL                                        | Claims                      | 1,440.90 Lei                   | In first instance the court admits the action. The decision can be appealed after the communication thereof.            |
| 113/281.2014<br>Ploiesti Court of Justice       | ICIM SA                                                 | Claims                      | 36,000 Lei                     | First instance<br>24.11.2014                                                                                            |
| 2980/281/2014<br>Ploiesti District Court        | Natural person<br>Legal Executor Office<br>Goslan Mihai | Levy of execution           | 5,322.93 Lei                   | First instance<br>05.12.2014                                                                                            |
| 4680/281/2014<br>Ploiesti District Court        | Euroins Romania<br>Insurance Reinsurance<br>SA          | Claims                      | 12, 883.04 Lei – civil damages | In first instance the court admits the action. The decision can be challenged by appeal after the communication thereof |
| 992/317/2014<br>Targu Carbunesti District Court | Natural person                                          | Claims                      | 2,163.44 Lei - civil damages   | In first instance the court admits the action. The decision can be challenged by appeal after the communication thereof |
| 8509/280/2014<br>Pitești                        | Natural person                                          | Claims                      | 3,987.34 Lei                   | First instance<br>21.10.2014                                                                                            |




| District Court                            |                                                                                |                                                                                               |  |                                                                                                           | In first instance the court admits the action. The decision can be challenged by appeal after the communication thereof |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 9200/280/2014<br>Pitești District Court   | Lavi Star 2007 SRL                                                             | Claims                                                                                        |  | 1,482.49 Lei                                                                                              |                                                                                                                         |
| 4055/311/2014<br>Slatina District Court   | Natural persons                                                                | Contractual obligation                                                                        |  | --                                                                                                        | First instance<br>24.10.2014                                                                                            |
| 12474/281.2014<br>Ploiesti District Court | Natural person                                                                 | Claims                                                                                        |  | 1,735.28 Lei – civil damages                                                                              | First instance                                                                                                          |
| 14544/280/2014                            | Lavi Star 2007 SRL                                                             | Claims                                                                                        |  | 13,182.82 Lei – civil damages                                                                             | First instance<br>12.11.2014                                                                                            |
| 4317/105/2014<br>Prahova Court of Law     | Ploiesti City – Local Public Finance Service                                   | Action before administrative court/Cancellation assessment Decision and other subsequent acts |  | 770,996 Lei – fiscal supplementary obligations<br>712,065 – accessories related to fundamental obligation | First instance<br>10.11.2014                                                                                            |
| 22494/281/2014<br>Ploiesti District Court | Cezar Filip Prodimpex SRL                                                      | Claims                                                                                        |  | 67,258.87 Lei – legal expenses                                                                            | First instance                                                                                                          |
| 1510/262/2014<br>Moreni District Court    | Natural persons<br>Darmanesti Locality through the Mayor<br>Nimb Dambovita SRL | Claims                                                                                        |  | 34,944.18Lei – civil damages                                                                              | First instance<br>27.11.2014                                                                                            |
| 26354/281/2014<br>Ploiesti District Court | OF SYSTEMS SRL                                                                 | Claims                                                                                        |  | 21,945 Lei – penalties related to failure to observe the contractual clauses                              | First instance                                                                                                          |



b). Litigations where CONPET S.A. is acting as defendant:

| File no.                                                   | Plaintiff                               | Object                                                                                              | Amount subject to litigation                                            | Trial stand/term                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2785/105/2008<br>Ploiesti<br>District Court                | Edizol SA                               | Claims of annuity                                                                                   | 57,024 RON                                                              | First instance<br>06.11.2014                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| 5555/2/2014<br>(33317/3/2007)<br>Bucharest<br>Court of Law | Ministry of<br>economy MECMA<br>(OPSPI) | Securities recovery action related to a number<br>of 524,366 shares of CONPET S.A. share<br>capital | -                                                                       | Appeal in first instance – retrial, the action was<br>rejected as no case to answer. The decision<br>was challenged by appeal by the Ministry of<br>Economy<br>24.10.2014                                                                                       |
| 8296/281/2007<br>Ploiesti<br>District Court                | Natural person                          | Civil damages                                                                                       | 74,000 EUR                                                              | First instance<br>Suspended                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| 8297/281/2007<br>Ploiesti<br>District Court                | Natural person                          | Civil damages                                                                                       | 74,000 EUR                                                              | First instance<br>Suspended                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| 6517/108/2010<br>Timisoara<br>Court of Appeal              | Alfa Trust SRL                          | Contract partial resolution. Compensations<br>payment                                               | 2,018,984.1<br>RON+TVA                                                  | In first instance the court rejects the action<br>against CONPET, approves the action against<br>Pecica City and obliges Pecica City to the<br>payment of 262,900 Euro to SC Alfa Trust SRL<br>The decision was appealed against by Pecica<br>City<br>Suspended |
| 6544/105/2011*<br>Prahova<br>Court of Law                  | ETH Arhitectural<br>Sisteme SRL         | Claims                                                                                              | 1,146,784.7 RON<br>plus liquidated<br>damages (penalties<br>/interests) | First instance<br>17.10.2014                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| 3715/105/2007<br>Prahova<br>Court of Law                   | Fondul Proprietatea<br>SA               | Certify nullity of GMS decision no.2/24.04.2007<br>Legal expenses payment obligation                | --                                                                      | First instance<br>Suspended                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| 43918/3/2007<br>Bucharest<br>Court of Law                  | AVAS                                    | Securities recovery action related to a number<br>of 524,366 shares of CONPET S.A share<br>capital  | --                                                                      | First instance<br>Suspended                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |



|                                                       |                                                               |                                                                                        |                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                           |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2378/105/2009<br>Prahova<br>Court of Law              | Natural person                                                | Recovery of possession of immovable property                                           | 551,518 RON –<br>equivalent value of<br>2,500 sqm of land | Suspended                                                                                                                                                                 |
| 650/215/2013<br>Craiova<br>District Court             | Natural persons                                               | Contractual obligation (to provide work).<br>Claims                                    | 2,000 RON                                                 | Appeal. In first instance the court rejects the<br>action. The plaintiffs formulated appeal.<br>21.10.2014                                                                |
| 7272/302/2013<br>Bucharest Sector<br>5 District Court | Natural person<br>Legal Executor<br>Office Raduta<br>Nicoleta | Levy of execution                                                                      | 117.43 Lei                                                | In first instance the action is partially approved.<br>The decision can be appealed against after the<br>communication thereof.                                           |
| 7273/302/2013<br>Bucharest Sector<br>5 District Court | Natural person<br>Legal Executor<br>Office Raduta<br>Nicoleta | Levy of execution                                                                      | 117.43 Lei                                                | In first instance the action is admitted. The<br>decision can be challenged by appeal after the<br>communication thereof.                                                 |
| 574/317/2014<br>Targu Carbunesti<br>District Court    | Natural person                                                | Claims                                                                                 | 1,000 Lei                                                 | In first instance the court admits the action.<br>Obliges CONPET to the payment of 4,800 Lei.<br>The decision can be appealed against after the<br>communication thereof. |
| 648/120/2014<br>Dambovitza<br>Court of Law            | Natural person                                                | Appeal against the disciplinary termination<br>decision<br>Individual Labour Agreement | Rights equivalent<br>value                                | In first instance the court rejects the appeal.<br>The decision can be appealed against after the<br>communication thereof.                                               |
| 9839/105/2013<br>Prahova Court of<br>Law              | Natural Person                                                | Appeal against termination of contract/Moral<br>damages                                | Rights equivalent<br>value/10,000 Lei                     | First instance<br>17.10.2014                                                                                                                                              |
| 7715/105/2012<br>Prahova Court of<br>Law              | Natural person                                                | Labour litigation; contractual obligation to<br>provide work                           | --                                                        | In first instance the action was dismissed. The<br>decision can be challenged by second appeal<br>after the communication thereof.                                        |




c) Litigations where CONPET S.A. is acting as civil party:

| File no.                                                                                          | Respondents     | Object                          | Claimed damage   | Trial stand/term                                                                                                                    |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 5516/105/2012<br>Ploiesti<br>Prahova Court of<br>Law                                              | Natural persons | Aggravated theft. Civil damages | 93,436.39 RON    | Appeal. In first instance the action was approved. The decision was appealed against by the respondents<br>29.10.2014               |
| 28758/281/2011<br>Ploiesti<br>District Court                                                      | Natural persons | Aggravated theft. Civil damages | 68,888.08 RON    | In first instance the action was approved. The decision was appealed against by the respondents.<br>17.10.2014                      |
| 3592/317/2012<br>Craiova District<br>Court                                                        | Natural persons | Aggravated theft. Civil damages | 4,335.92 RON     | Appeal. In first instance – retrial of CONPET civil action was dismissed. The decision was appealed against by CONPET<br>23.10.2014 |
| 29201/3/2012<br>Bucuresti<br>Court of Law                                                         | Natural persons | Aggravated theft. Civil damages | 6,267,625.72 RON | First instance                                                                                                                      |
| 7932/118/2013<br>Constanta<br>Court of Law                                                        | Natural persons | Aggravated theft. Civil damages | 928,758.94 Lei   | First instance<br>15.10.2014                                                                                                        |
| 2623/116/2013<br>Calarasi Court of<br>Law<br>(split from file no.<br>2037/116/2013 -<br>competed) | Natural persons | Aggravated theft. Civil damages | 170,191.17 Lei   | In first instance the action was approved. The decision was appealed against after the communication thereof.                       |
| 1174/185/2013<br>Balcesti<br>District Court                                                       | Natural person  | Aggravated theft. Civil damages | 171,699.45 Lei   | First instance<br>17.11.2014                                                                                                        |
| 6625/120/2013<br>Dambovita<br>Court of Law                                                        | Natural persons | Aggravated theft. Civil damages | 2,083.20 Lei     | First instance<br>09.10.2014                                                                                                        |
| 118/255/2014<br>Marghita<br>District Court                                                        | Natural persons | Aggravated theft. Civil damages | 27,231.59 Lei    | In first instance the action was approved. The decision was appealed against after the communication thereof.                       |
| 8285/94/2014<br>Buftea District<br>Court                                                          | Natural persons | Aggravated theft. Civil damages | 17,918.50 RON    | First instance<br>05.11.2014                                                                                                        |

d) Litigations where CONPET S.A. is acting as petitioner:

| File no.                                         | Appellee                                                           | Object                 | Amount subject to litigation             | Trial stand/term                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 5969/236/2012<br>Bolintin Vale<br>District Court | Giurgiu National Environmental Guard                               | Complaint of violation | Protocol cancellation/fine<br>50,000 RON | In first instance Conpet complaint was rejected; CONPET formulated appeal<br>22.10.2014                                                                                                               |
| 875/247/2013<br>Insuratei<br>District Court      | National Environmental Guard - Braila<br>Commissioner's Office     | Complaint of violation | Protocol cancellation/fine<br>50,000 RON | In first instance Conpet complaint was rejected; CONPET formulated appeal                                                                                                                             |
| 2677/262/2013<br>Moreni<br>District Court        | National Environmental Guard - Dambovitza<br>Commissioner's Office | Complaint of violation | Protocol cancellation/fine<br>30,000 RON | In first instance the action was partially approved. The penalty sanction was replaced by a warning letter. The decision was appealed against by NGM – Dambovitza Commissioner's Office<br>22.10.2014 |
| 2338/335/2013<br>Teleorman<br>Court of Law       | National Environmental Guard - Teleorman<br>Commissioner's Office  | Complaint of violation | Protocol cancellation/fine<br>25,000 RON | In first instance Conpet complaint was rejected; the decision was appealed against after the communication thereof                                                                                    |
| 935/335/2014<br>Videle<br>District Court         | National Environmental Guard - Teleorman<br>Commissioner's Office  | Complaint of violation | 25,000 RON                               | In first instance the action was partially approved. The penalty sanction was replaced by a warning letter. The decision was appealed against by NGM – Teleorman Commissioner's Office<br>06.11.2014  |
| 617284 /2014<br>Racari<br>District Court         | National Environmental Guard - Dambovitza<br>Commissioner's Office | Complaint of violation | 1,500 Lei                                | In first instance the action was partially approved. The penalty sanction was replaced by a warning letter. The decision can be appealed against after the communication thereof.                     |



|                                              |                                                                    |                                             |               |                              |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|
| 96/113/2014<br>Faurei<br>District Court      | The Public Prosecutor's Office attached<br>to Braila Court of Law  | Complaint against<br>prosecutor's solutions | 11,926.63 Lei | First instance<br>16.10.2014 |
| 20825/281/2014<br>Pitesti District<br>Court  | The Public Prosecutor's Office attached<br>to Pitesti Court of Law | Complaint against<br>prosecutor's solutions | 2,461.66 Lei  | First instance<br>16.10.2014 |
| 20825/281/2014<br>Ploiesti<br>District Court | National Environmental Guard -<br>Prahova Commissioner's Office    | Complaint of violation                      | 50,000 Lei    | First instance               |



e) Litigations where CONPET S.A has double quality:

| File no.                                       | Plaintiff                                       | Object                                                                                                                          | Amount subject to Litigation | Trial stand/term                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 5216/204/2008*<br>Prahova<br>Court of Law      | Natural persons                                 | Compensations related to failure of land use; annuity/counterclaim filled in by CONPET                                          | ~4,908,674 RON               | Second appeal. In first instance, the court approves restricted action formulated by the plaintiffs. Approves the counterclaim formulated by CONPET. CONPET filed for appeal. In appeal the court annuls the decision in the first instance and retrial. Approves partially the counterclaim filled in by CONPET by obliging the plaintiffs to allow CONPET access on their territory. Obliges CONPET to pay the plaintiffs an annuity of 262 Lei. The decision was appealed against by the plaintiffs.<br><br>28.10.2014 |
| 2707/223/2010**<br>Dragasani<br>District Court | Natural persons                                 | Compensation related to failure of land use; annuity/ counterclaim filled in by CONPET                                          | 15,000 RON                   | First instance – retrial<br><br>27.10.2014                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| 2037/204/2011<br>Campina<br>District Court     | Natural persons                                 | Compensations related to failure of land use; annuity; contractual obligation to provide work/ counterclaim filled in by CONPET | 9,000 RON                    | First instance<br>10.11.2014                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| 155/214/2012<br>Costesti<br>District Court     | Individual<br>Enterprise "Cioaba Iulian Florin" | Compensations related to failure of land use; contractual obligation to provide work / Counterclaim filled in by CONPET SA      | 40,000 RON                   | In first instance the action was partially approved. The counterclaim was partially approved. CONPET was obliged to the payment of 9,300 Lei representing compensations. The individual enterprise Cioaba Iulian Florin was obliged to admit CONPET's access to the crude oil pollution affected land. The decision was challenged with second appeal.<br><br>CONPET S.A                                                                                                                                                  |

|                                                       |                 |                                                                                  |                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                 |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                                       |                 |                                                                                  |                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                  | appeal by CONPET.<br>09.10.2014 |
| 378/105/2007<br>Prahova<br>Court of Law               | Petroconduct SA | Claims/ Counterclaim filled in by<br>Petroconstruct SA                           | 195,528 RON -<br>CONPET claims<br>46,214.01 RON -<br>SC<br>Petroconstruct<br>SA claims                            | First instance<br>Suspended                                                                                                                                                      |                                 |
| 132/229/2012<br>Fetesti<br>District Court             | Natural person  | Contractual obligation to provide work/<br>Counterclaim possessory action        | 39,852 RON,<br>VAT excluded -<br>good inventory<br>value                                                          | In first instance the court rejects the principal claim.<br>The counterclaim is rejected. The decision can be<br>challenged by second appeal after the<br>communication thereof. |                                 |
| 5519/315/2013<br>Targoviste<br>District Court         | Natural person  | Contractual obligation to provide work /<br>Counterclaim formulated by CONPET SA | 114,376 Lei                                                                                                       | First instance<br>30.10.2014                                                                                                                                                     |                                 |
| 538/317/2014<br>Targu<br>Carbunesti<br>District Court | Natural person  | Civil damages. Claims/Counterclaim filled<br>in by CONPET SA                     | 50,000 Lei                                                                                                        | First instance<br>15.10.2014                                                                                                                                                     |                                 |
| 1269/105/2014<br>Prahova Law<br>Court                 | Natural person  | Claims/Counterclaim filled in by CONPET<br>SA                                    | 36 salaries<br>corresponding to<br>the last gross<br>salary of HSEQ<br>director; the<br>difference<br>between the | First instance<br>22.10.2014                                                                                                                                                     |                                 |

